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Please be advised that you will need to complete this cover page (including signatures) before all of the other tasks assigned to you by your authorizer are visible on your task page. While completing this task, please ensure that you select the correct authorizer (as of June 30, 2018) or you may not be assigned the correct tasks.

## a. SCHOOL NAME <br> ACADEMY CS (SUNY TRUSTEES)

(Select name from the drop down menu)

## b. CHARTER AUTHORIZER (As of <br> SUNY-Authorized Charter School

 June 30th, 2018)(For technical reasons, please re-select authorizer name from the drop down menu).

## c. DISTRICT / CSD OF LOCATION <br> Hempstead

## d1. SCHOOL INFORMATION

|  | PRIMARY ADDRESS | PHONE NUMBER | FAX NUMBER |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | EMAIL ADDRESS | EM |
| :--- |
| 117 N. Franklin St., <br> Hempstead, NY <br> 11550 |
| 516-408-2200 |

d2. PHONE CONTACT NUMBER FOR AFTER HOURS EMERGENCIES

| Contact Name | Dr. Nicholas Stapleton |
| :--- | :--- |
| Title | Chief Education Officer |
| Emergency Phone Number (\#\#\#-\#\#\#- <br> \#\#\#) | $516-408-2200$ |

e. SCHOOL WEB ADDRESS (URL) http://www.academycharterschool.orq
f. DATE OF INITIAL CHARTER

02/2008
g. DATE FIRST OPENED FOR 09/2009

INSTRUCTION

30, 2018

## j. GRADES SERVED IN SCHOOL YEAR 2017-18

Check all that apply
Grades Served $\quad K, 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10$

## k1. DOES THE SCHOOL

No
CONTRACT WITH A CHARTER OR

## EDUCATIONAL MANAGEMENT

 ORGANIZATION?
## I1. FACILITIES

Does the school maintain or operate multiple sites?
Yes, 3 sites

## 12. SCHOOL SITES

Please list the sites where the school will operate for the upcoming school year.

|  | Physical Address | Phone Number | District/CSD | Grades Served at Site (K-5, 6-9, etc.) | Receives Rental Assistance | Rental <br> Assistance <br> for Which <br> Grades (write <br> N/A if <br> applicable) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 1 <br> (same as primary site) | 117 N. <br> Franklin St., <br> Hempstead, <br> NY 11550 | $\begin{aligned} & 516-408- \\ & 2200 \end{aligned}$ | Hempstead | 3-5 | No |  |
| Site 2 | 159 N. <br> Franklin St., Hempstead, NY 11550 | $\begin{aligned} & 516-408- \\ & 2200 \end{aligned}$ | Hempstead | 6-10 | No |  |
| Site 3 | 94 Fulton Ave. <br> Hempstead, NY 11550 | $\begin{aligned} & 516-408- \\ & 2200 \end{aligned}$ | Hempstead | K-2 | No |  |

## I2a. Please provide the contact information for Site 1.

|  | Name | Work Phone | Alternate Phone | Email Address |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School <br> Leader | Dr. Nicholas <br> Stapleton | $516-408-2200$ | $516-902-7458$ | $\frac{$ nstapleton@academy  <br>  charterschool.org }{ Operati }onal <br> Leader |
| Donna Douglas | $516-408-2200$ | $516-967-7986$ | $\frac{\text { ddouglas@academyc }}{\text { harterschool.org }}$ |  |
| Complia <br> nce <br> Contact | Dr. Nicholas <br> Stapleton | $516-408-2200$ | $516-902-7458$ | $\frac{\text { nstapleton@academy }}{\text { charterschool.org }}$ |
| Complai <br> nt <br> Contact | Dr. Nicholas <br> Stapleton | $516-408-2200$ | $516-902-7458$ | $\frac{\text { nstapleton@academy }}{\text { charterschool.org }}$ |
| DASA <br> Coordin <br> ator | Nicole Carr | $516-408-2200$ |  | $\frac{\text { ncarr@academychart }}{}$ |

## I3. Please provide the contact information for Site 2.

|  | Name | Work Phone | Alternate Phone | Email Address |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School <br> Leader | Dr. Nicholas <br> Stapleton | $516-408-2200$ | $516-902-7458$ | $\frac{\text { nstapleton@academy }}{\text { charterschool.org }}$ |
| Operati <br> onal <br> Leader | Donna Douglas | $516-408-2200$ | $516-967-7986$ | $\frac{\text { ddouqlas@academyc }}{\text { harterschool.org }}$ |
| Complia <br> nce <br> Contact | Dr. Nicholas <br> Stapleton | $516-408-2200$ | $516-902-7458$ | $\frac{\text { nstapleton@academy }}{\text { charterschool.org }}$ |
| Complai <br> nt <br> Contact | Dr. Nicholas <br> Stapleton | $516-408-2200$ | $516-902-7458$ | $\frac{\text { nstapleton@academy }}{\text { charterschool.org }}$ |
| DASA <br> Coordin <br> ator | Nicole Carr | $516-408-2200$ |  | $\frac{\text { ncarr@academychart }}{}$ |

14. Please provide the contact information for Site 3.

|  | Name | Work Phone | Alternate Phone | Email Address |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| School <br> Leader | Dr. Nicholas <br> Stapleton | $516-408-2200$ | $516-902-7458$ | $\frac{\text { nstapleton@academy }}{\text { charterschool.org }}$ |
| Operati <br> onal <br> Leader | Donna Douglas | $516-408-2200$ | $516-967-7986$ | $\frac{\text { ddouglas@academyc }}{\text { harterschool.org }}$ |
| Complia <br> nce <br> Contact | Dr. Nicholas <br> Stapleton | $516-408-2200$ | $516-902-7458$ | $\frac{\text { nstapleton@academy }}{\text { charterschool.org }}$ |
| Complai <br> nt <br> Contact | Dr. Nicholas <br> Stapleton | $516-408-2200$ | $516-902-7458$ | $\frac{\text { nstapleton@academy }}{\text { charterschool.org }}$ |
| DASA <br> Coordin <br> ator | Nicole Carr | $516-408-2200$ |  | $\frac{\text { ncarr@academychart }}{}$ |

m1. Are any sites in co-located No space? If yes, please proceed to the next question.

## IF LOCATED IN PRIVATE SPACE IN NYC OR DISTRICTS OUTSIDE NYC

m3. Upload a current Certificate of Occupancy (COO) for each school site that is located in private space in NYC or located outside of NYC. Except for schools in district space (co-location space), school must provide a copy of the annual fire inspection report.

## Site 1 Certificate of Occupancy (COO)

https://nysed-cso-reports.fluidreview.com/resp/17665115/yFPTXS6fVy/
Site 1 Fire Inspection Report
(No response)
Site 2 Certificate of Occupancy
https://nysed-cso-reports.fluidreview.com/resp/17665115/v5Tv/TPScU/

## Site 2 Fire Inspection Report

(No response)

## Site 3 Certificate of Occupancy

https://nysed-cso-reports.fluidreview.com/resp/17665115/awuqmTE9Ae/

Site 3 Fire Inspection Report
(No response)
n1. Were there any revisions to Yes
the school's charter during the
2017-18 school year? (Please
include approved or pending material and non-material charter revisions).
n2. Summary of Charter Revisions

|  | Category (Select Best Description) | Specific Revision (150 word limit) | Date Approved by BOT (if applicable) | Date Approved by Authorizer (if applicable) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Change in Maximum Approved Enrollment | Increased the school's total enrollment to 1296 from 1200. | October 6, 2017 |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |

[^0]p. Our signatures (Head of School and Board President) below attest that all of the information contained herein is truthful and accurate and that this charter school is in compliance with all aspects of its charter, and with all pertinent Federal, State, and local laws, regulations, and rules. We understand that if any information in any part of this report is found to have been deliberately misrepresented, that will constitute grounds for the revocation of our charter. Check YES if you agree and then use the mouse on your PC or the stylist on your mobile device to sign your name).

Signature, Head of Charter School


Signature, President of the Board of Trustees


Date
2018/07/31

Thank you.


September 12, 2017

The Academy Charter School
117 N. Franklin Ave.
Hempstead, NY 11550

Mr. John Williams:
This letter is to confirm that we performed an inspection of the fire alarm on August 28, 2017 at the above noted location and found the system to be in working order.

Yours truly,


Corinne Frisch
Checkmate Security Systems Inc.


CERTIFICATE
Date OCt 162012
No 52391 Approval of Building Alteration

VILLAGE OF HEMPSTEAD
Building Department - Inspection Services
99 NICHOLS COURT, HEMPSTEAD, N. Y.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the Building Alteration and/or Addition in premises $-\perp \cap \cap$ has been completed in accordance with the provisions of the Building Code and Zoning Ordinance of the Village of Hempstead, N. Y.


Mr. John Williams:

This letter is to confirm that we performed an inspection of the fire alarm on August 15, 2017 at the above noted location and found the system to be in working order.

Yours truly,


## Corinne Frisch

Checkmate Security Systems, Inc.
 Approval of Building Alteration

VILLAGE OF WEMPSTEAD
Building Department - Inspection Services
99 NICHOLS COURT, HEMPSTEAD, N. Y.

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that the Building Alteration and for Addition in

has been completed in accordance with the provisions of the Building Code
and Zoning Ordinance of the Village of Hempstead, N. Y. convent Existing Bide. Co enacted Middle Sand
Type of Alteration \& Constrict New Gymnasium

zone ...Bus. B
Building Permit No. 25346
owner Academy Chasten School
117 No. Franklin St -
Hempstend Ny 11550
Building Permit Date $D E L .17,2013$
of this chapter and stating the purpose for which the building, structure or premises may be used in its several parts, and any special stipulations, shall have been issued by the Superintendent of the Building Department and signed by him or his authorized designee.
B. In those instances where an alteration is performed undera permit, the Superintendent of the Building Department shall determine whether the work is so extensive that a certificate of occupancy shall be required or whether a certificate of approval of alteration shall suffice. The Superintendent of the Building Department shall issue such certificate only if it is found that the proposed work has been completed substantially in accordance with the permit and the laws applicable thereto. The certificate shall also indicate the use or uses to which the structure or installation may thereafter be put.
C. Prior to issuance of a certificate of occupancy or certificate of approval of alteration, all concrete curbs, gutters and sidewalks shall be installed, and, where necessary, curb cuts and driveway aprons shall also be installed. One-family residences shall have a paved driveway from the front sidewalk to the rear line of the residence building or into an attached garage.
D. Certificates of completion. [Added 4-18-2000 by L.L. No. 4-2000]
(1) Change of use. Any construction or demolition that results in a change in the floor plan or use of an existing structure, in whole or in part, or any change in the actual use of an existing structure whether accompanied by any alteration, construction or demolition shall require a certificate of completion issued by the Building Department.
(2) Change of use fees.
(a) All fees for a certificate of completion from the Building Department shall accompany each application and be paid to the Village Clerk.
(b) The fees to be charged and required under the provisions of this section shall be determined from time to time by resolution of the Board of Trustees. [Amended 10-2-2007 by L.L. No. 10-2007]
(c) If the construction, installation, demolition or other activity or item of work requiring a permit has been substantially completed prior to the filing of a completed application for a permit, the applicable fees may be doubled at the discretion of the Building Inspector. [Added 10-2-2007 by L.L. No. 10-2007]
§ 50-19. Penalties. 2 [Added 10-2-2007 by L.L. No. 10-2007]
Any person, firm or corporation who violates, disobeys, neglects or refuses to comply with $\S 50-10$ and $\S 50-18$ shall be guilty of a violation and shall be subject to the penalties as prescribed in § 1-16 of this Code.

[^1]

65 JOHN STREET, BABYLON, NY 11702
PHONE: (631) 669-3303 FAX: (631) 669-7259
www.CheckmateSecurity.com
Licensed by the NYS Department of State
Lic. No. 12000017610

September 12, 2017

The Academy Charter School
94 Fulton Ave.
Hempstead, NY 11550

## Mr. John Williams:

This letter is to confirm that we performed an inspection of the fire alarm on September 5, 2017 at the above noted location and found the system to be in working order.

Yours truly,


Corinne Frisch
Checkmate Security Systems, Inc.



## Entry 2 NYS School Report Card Link

## ACADEMY CS (SUNY TRUSTEES)

## 1. CHARTER AUTHORIZER (As of <br> SUNY-Authorized Charter School

June 30th, 2018)
(For technical reasons, please re-select authorizer name from the drop down menu).

## 2. NEW YORK STATE REPORT https://data.nysed.gov/profile.php?instid=800000063985

 CARDProvide a direct URL or web link to the most recent New York

State School Report Card for the charter school (See https://reportcards.nysed.gov/).
(Charter schools completing year one will not yet have a School
Report Card or link to one. Please type "URL is not available" in the space provided).

## The Academy Charter School

## 2017-18 ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

Submitted to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute on:
October 12, 2018
By Wayne Haughton
117 North Franklin Street
Hempstead, NY 11550
The Academy Charter School

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

Wayne Haughton, Executive Director, prepared this 2017-18 Accountability Progress Report on behalf of the school's board of trustees:

| Trustee's Name | Board Position <br> Bishop Barrington Goldson <br> Robert StewartChair; Finance, Judicial, Grievance, and <br> Compliance Committees |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dawn West-Bloise | Trustee; Community Relations Committee |
| Cteven Rowley | Trustee; Finance and Academic Committees |
| Peter J. Goodman | Trustee; Legal/Real Estate Committee |
| Roderick Roberts | Trustee; Fundraising and Community |
| Relations Committee |  |

Wayne Haughton has served as the Executive Director since 2012.

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

The Academy Charter School ("The Academy") opened in the fall of 2009 with 165 students in grades K-2. Located in Hempstead, NY, a school district with approximately $70 \%$ free and reduced price lunch students, The Academy continues to successfully serve a student population with a large percentage of English language learners, special education students, and economically disadvantaged students. To further the mission, The Academy expanded to serve students in grades K-10 in the 2017-18 school year.

The Academy improves student academic achievement by focusing on the development of three important areas of children's growth: mastering core academic subjects, promoting character development, and fostering a lifelong behavior of giving back to the community. The Academy strives to have its children learn, lead, and serve.

The Mission of The Academy:
The Academy Charter School ("The Academy") will offer an exceptional interdisciplinary curriculum in a technology rich environment that challenges students to explore connections across subjects and use experiential learning to bridge the gaps between theory and practice. In addition to core subjects, our students will benefit from high expectations in physical education, health, and the arts. Our focus on character development and community service will cultivate a student body poised to be active, engaged and responsible members of the community. We will employ a committed staff whose teaching and high academic and behavioral expectations will promote the excellence we know our community's children can achieve. Our students will graduate from The Academy with the content mastery and life skills needed to move into the top five percent of their middle and high school classes.

School Enrollment by Grade Level and School Year

| School <br> Year | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-14$ | 81 | 82 | 78 | 78 | 71 | 49 | 43 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 482 |
| $2014-15$ | 109 | 111 | 79 | 77 | 81 | 75 | 78 | 47 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 657 |
| $2015-16$ | 114 | 111 | 114 | 81 | 84 | 80 | 81 | 81 | 45 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 791 |
| $2016-17$ | 107 | 108 | 107 | 108 | 77 | 81 | 80 | 83 | 77 | 117 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 945 |
| $2017-18$ | 100 | 109 | 110 | 104 | 109 | 78 | 82 | 79 | 87 | 117 | 109 | N/A | N/A | 1,084 |

## HIGH SCHOOL COHORTS

## ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT

The state's Accountability Cohort consists specifically of students who are in their fourth year of high school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. For example, the 2014 state Accountability Cohort consists of students who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade anywhere in the 2014-15 school year, were enrolled in the school on the state's annual enrollment-determination day (i.e., BEDS day) in the 2017-18 school

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

year, and either remained in the school for the rest of the year or left for an acceptable reason. (See New York State Education Department's SIRS Manual for more details about cohort eligibility: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/ht)

The following table indicates the number of students in the Accountability Cohorts who are in their fourth year of high school anywhere and were enrolled at the school on BEDS Day in October and remained in the school until June $30^{\text {th }}$ of that year.

Fourth-Year High School Accountability Cohorts

| Fourth <br> Year <br> Cohort | Year Entered <br> $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> Anywhere | Cohort <br> Designation | Number of Students <br> Enrolled on BEDS Day in <br> October of the Cohort's <br> Fourth Year | Number <br> Leaving <br> During the <br> School Year | Number in <br> Accountability <br> Cohort as of <br> June 30th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2015-16$ | $2012-13$ | 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $2016-17$ | $2013-14$ | 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $2017-18$ | $2014-15$ | 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## TOTAL COHORT FOR GRADUATION

Students are also included in the Total Cohort for Graduation (referred to as the Graduation Cohort, Total Graduation Cohort, or Total Cohort interchangeably throughout this report) based on the year they first enter the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. Students enrolled for at least one day in the school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade are part of the school's Graduation Cohort. The school may remove students from the Graduation Cohort if the school has discharged those students for an acceptable reason listed in the SIRS manual, including the following: if they transfer to another public or private diploma-granting program with documentation, transfer to home schooling by a parent or guardian, transfer to another district or school, transfer by court order, leave the U.S., or are deceased.

| Fourth Year Total Cohort for Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fourth Year Cohort | Year <br> Entered $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Anywhere | Cohort Designation | Number of Students Enrolled on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's Fourth Year <br> (a) | Number of Students No Longer at the School Who Had Been Enrolled for at Least One Day Prior to Leaving the School and Who Were Not Discharged for an Acceptable Reason <br> (b) | Total Graduation Cohort (a) $+(\mathrm{b})$ |
| 2015-16 | 2012-13 | 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016-17 | 2013-14 | 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2017-18 | 2014-15 | 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| Fifth Year Total Cohort for Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fifth Year Cohort | Year Entered $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Anywhere | Cohort Designation | Number of Students Enrolled on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's Fifth Year <br> (a) | Number of Students No Longer at the School Who Had Been Enrolled for at Least One Day Prior to Leaving the School and Who Were Not Discharged for an Acceptable Reason <br> (b) | Total Graduation Cohort (a) + (b) |
| 2015-16 | 2011-12 | 2011 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

| $2016-17$ | $2012-13$ | 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $2013-14$ | 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

All students at the school will graduate from high school.

Goal 1: Leading Indicator
Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) each year.

## METHOD

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of the high school cohort and examines students' progress toward graduation based on annual credit accumulation. The measure requires that, based on the school's promotion requirements, 75 percent of the first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn the required number of credits.

Promotion decisions for scholars in grades 9-12 are based on credit accumulation, successful completion of coursework, and passing of Regents exams.

Our promotion in doubt process provides families with formal notifications (promotion in doubt letters) that their child is at risk of not meeting promotion standards and being retained in the same grade for the upcoming school year. $\square$ This notification will occur at the conclusion of the first semester (mid-year) and will be provided along with the second quarter report cards.

Throughout the course of the school year, several parent-teacher conferences are held in which parents are able to discuss student progress with their child's teacher. Report cards are also distributed at the conclusion of each academic quarter. Additionally, school counselors monitor the academic progress of the students within their assigned cohorts and meet with both, students and parents, to discuss the supports necessary to ensure successful completion of the school year.

The school principal, in consultation with counselors, makes promotion decisions for all students in mid-June. $\square$ Promotion decisions are communicated to scholars and families by the end of the school year. $\square$ High school scholars who do not meet promotion requirements in June have the right to attend summer school and be promoted in August if promotion standards are met at that time.

The following are the grade-by-grade promotion standards for the Academy Charter High School and reflect the minimum criteria for promotion from the scholar's current grade to the next grade.


## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

| $\mathbf{9}$ | 5 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 11 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 17 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 22 | 5 |

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. For these two graduation cohorts the Academy achieved this measure.
Percent of Students in First and Second Year Cohorts
Earning the Required Number of Credits in 2017-18

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> promoted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 110 | $96.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 119 | $75.6 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. For these two graduation cohorts the Academy achieved this measure.

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in the second year high school Total Graduation Cohort will score at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation.

## METHOD

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of high school cohorts and examines their progress towards graduation based on Regents exam passage. The measure requires that 75 percent of students in each Graduation Cohort have passed at least three Regents exams by their second year in the cohort. In August of 2018, the 2016 cohort will have completed its second year.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The school met its goal of having over $75 \%$ of students in the 2016 and 2017 cohort earn at least five credits each year.
57.3 percent of The Academy's students in the 2016 cohort scored at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation. This cohort didn't not meet the goal of 75 percent of students in the second year of high school scoring at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation.

## Percent of Students in their Second Year Passing Three Regents Exams by Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Passing Three |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

|  |  | Regents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 | 110 | $57.3 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

After every Regents administration period, the individual trajectories of every student are reviewed. In addition, the item analysis of each examination is reviewed as a component of evaluation of the instructional program. These reviews have resulted in the percentage of students in this cohort scoring at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation increased over eight percentage points from the 2016-17 school year to the 2017-18 school year. It should also be noted that the instructional changes implemented have resulted in the 2017 Cohort having $65.5 \%$ of it's students passing 3 or more Regents after only one year.

## Goal 1: Absolute Measures

Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school Total Graduation Cohort and 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total Graduation Cohort will graduate.

## METHOD

This measure examines students in two high school Graduation Cohorts: those who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade as members of the 2014 cohort and graduated four years later and those who entered as members of the 2013 cohort and graduated five years later. These data reflect August graduation rates. At a minimum, these students have passed five Regents exams required for high school graduation in ELA, mathematics, science, U.S. History, and Global History or met the requirements for the $4+1$ pathway to graduation. ${ }^{1}$

The school's graduation requirements appear in this document below the graduation goal's first measure pertaining to annual grade-by-grade promotion or credit accumulation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who have Graduated After Four Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

Percent of Students in Total Graduation Cohort Who Have Graduated After Five Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^2]
## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

| 2011 | N/A | N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the graduation rate of students completing their fourth year in the charter school's Total Graduation Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. ${ }^{2}$ Given that students may take Regents exams through the summer of their fourth year, district results for the current year are generally not available at this time.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

| Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who <br> Graduate in Four Years Compared to the District |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\qquad$Cohort Charter School  School District  <br> Designa <br> tion Number in <br> Cohort Percent <br> Graduating Number in <br> Cohort Percent <br> Graduating <br> 2012 N/A N/A N/A N/A <br> 2013 N/A N/A N/A N/A <br> 2014 N/A N/A N/A N/A |  |  |  |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort pursuing an alternative graduation pathway (commonly referred to as the $4+1$ pathway) will achieve a Regents equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment required for graduation by the end of their fourth year in the cohort.

[^3]
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## METHOD

The New York State Board of Regents approved regulations establishing alternative pathways to graduation for all students. Students may replace one of the required Social Studies Regents exams with an approved alternative assessment. For more information about requirements and approved assessments refer to the NYSED resource online: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiple-pathways/. The school will document the names of the alternative assessments administered and success rate for students in the templates bellow.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

Percentage of the 2014 Graduation Cohort Pathway Students Demonstrating Success by Exam Type

| Exam | Number of <br> Graduation Cohort <br> Members Tested <br> (a) | Number Passing or <br> Achieving Regents <br> Equivalency <br> (b) | Percentage Passing <br> $=[(b) /(a)]^{*} 100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Overall | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| Pathway Exam Passing Rate |
| :---: |
| by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort |


| Cohort Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Passing a Pathway <br> Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION GOAL

The Academy met one of two leading indicator goals. Because the school only served $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade in the 2017-18 school year, the absolute and comparative measures in this section do not apply.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Leading <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high school <br> Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 needed <br> for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) each <br> year. | Met |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Leading <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Graduation <br> Cohort will score at least 65 on at least three different New York <br> State Regents exams required for graduation by the completion of <br> their second year in the cohort. | Not Met |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will graduate. | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Absolute | Each year, 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will graduate. | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Comparative | Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth <br> year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the school <br> district of comparison. | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
|  | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort <br> pursuing an alternative graduation pathway will achieve a Regents <br> equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment <br> required for graduation by the end of their fourth year. | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Absolute |  |  |

## ACTION PLAN

To meet its goal of 75 percent of students in their second year scoring proficient on at least three different NYS Regents exams required for graduation, the school provides students the opportunity to complete three regents exams by the end of their $9^{\text {th }}$ grade year (one in math, one in science, and one in social studies). Students will have the additional opportunity to complete these exams during their August and January administration following their $9^{\text {th }}$ grade year. At the conclusion of the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade year, students will have the opportunity to complete two additional Regents examinations (one in math and one in science) for a total of 5 Regents examinations offered by the conclusion of their second year.

The teachers work with instructional leadership to align course goals with student achievement data collected during previous administration of regents examinations. Students requiring academic supports, based on Regents examination data, qualify and receive academic intervention services. Additional learning time and academic intervention services is provided in-school, after school, on Saturdays, and during the Summer months.

The school has also departmentalized instruction and made provisions for instructional coaching to include content leads and two Assistant Principals. Assistant Principals have been given specific content areas of instructional oversight and work with content leads to support student achievement through instructional practice.

To ensure on-time graduation for scholars, the school provides curriculum and support for students to complete Regents examination requirements by the conclusion of their $11^{\text {th }}$ grade
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year. For students requiring additional assistance in meeting the graduation requirements on time, the school provides additional learning time and academic intervention services in-school, after school, on Saturdays, and through credit recovery programming. To ensure students have multiple pathways to graduate on time, the school will also be providing students with Career and Technical Education (CTE) coursework.

Further, the school's counselors monitor student progress toward graduation regularly. They work with school principal, assistant principals, students and families to identify the supports needed to assist students in graduating on time.

## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION
All students will be prepared to attend college.

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate their preparation for college by at least one or some combination of the following indicators:

- Passing an Advanced Placement ("AP") exam with a score of 3 or higher;
- Earning a score of 4 or higher on an International Baccalaureate ("IB") exam;
- Passing a College Level Examination Program ("CLEP") exam;
- Passing a college level course offered at a college or university or through a school partnership with a college or university;
- Achieving the college and career readiness benchmark on the SAT; or,
- Earning a Regents diploma with advanced designation; or,


## METHOD

Schools use any method listed here, or any combination thereof, to demonstrate that at least 75 percent of graduates are prepared to engage in rigorous college level coursework. The school should select only those methods listed here that it uses to demonstrate the college readiness of its students and eliminate those that it will not. For instance, high schools that do not deliver an IB Program as part of their high school design do not report on the IB option. The school reports on the number of students who attempted to achieve each indicator, the number who succeeded, and the corresponding percentage. Additionally, the school should report on the overall number of students who graduated after four years, the number of those graduates who achieved any of the relevant measures, and the overall percentage achieving the measure.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students graduating in the 2017-18 school year.
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Percentage of the 2014 Total Cohort Graduates Demonstrating College Preparation by Indicator

| Indicator | Number of Graduates <br> who Attempted the <br> Indicator | Number who Achieved <br> Indicator | Percentage of Graduates <br> who Achieved Indicator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Overall | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, the College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index ("CCCRI") for the school's Total Cohort will exceed the Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

## METHOD

The state's recently finalized ESSA plan includes a College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index that measures the rate of completion among the Total Cohort of a variety of indicators of readiness for the next step after high school. Indicators that are more rigorous and that are therefore more difficult to attain receive greater weight in the new CCCRI (e.g., attaining a Regents diploma and a score of 4 or higher on an IB exam). Conversely, some less rigorous indicators that were not included in the College and Career Readiness Index under the state's NCLB accountability system are included in the CCCRI (e.g., completion of a high school equivalency program). ${ }^{3}$
To achieve this measure, the school must have a CCCRI value that equals or exceeds the 2017-18 CCCRI MIP for all students. The state will calculate and disseminate the MIP in the summer of 2018. The CCCRI is calculated by multiplying the number of students in the cohort demonstrating college and career readiness by the weighting for the method by which the student demonstrated college and career readiness, divided by the number of students in the cohort. The highest possible CCCRI is 200.
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## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## CCCRI Performance by Cohort Year

| Graduation Year | Cohort | Number of <br> Students in <br> Cohort | MIP | School CCCRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2015-16$ | 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $2016-17$ | 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $2017-18$ | 2014 | N/A | TBD | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will exceed that of the district of comparison's Total Cohort.

## METHOD

The school compares the CCCRI of students from the fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## CCRI of Fourth-Year Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School | School District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate into a college or university in the year after graduation.

## METHOD

The ultimate measure of whether a college prep high school has lived up to its mission is whether students actually enroll and succeed in college. Schools track and report the percentage of fourthyear Total Cohort graduates who matriculate into a two or four-year college program in the fall following graduation.
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## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

| Matriculation Rate of Graduates by Year |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | Number of <br> Graduates | Number Enrolled <br> in 2 or 4-year <br> Program in Fall <br> (b) | Matriculation <br> Rate |
|  | (a) | [(b)/(a) $]^{*} 100$ |  |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## SUMMARY OF THE COLLEGE PREPARATION GOAL

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

| Type | Measure (Accountability Plan from 2012-13 or later) | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate <br> their preparation for college by one or more possible indicators <br> of college readiness. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, the CCCRI for the school's Total Cohort will <br> exceed that year's state MIP set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will <br> exceed that of the district's Total Cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate <br> into a college or university in the year after graduation. | N/A |

## ACTION PLAN

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## GOAL 3: ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

## Goal 3: English Language Arts <br> All students at the school will be proficient in the reading and writing of the English language

## BACKGROUND

The Integrated Language Arts Program of The Academy Charter School is based on the premise that students come to school with a wide range of language abilities. To this end, speaking, listening, reading and writing form the basis for the curriculum in the English Language Arts. Through a developmentally appropriate and rigorous approach to Integrated English Language Arts, students develop their ability to communicate ideas, feelings, and facts effectively while honing their skills in
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reading and writing. The Academy Charter School uses a balanced learning approach whereby we incorporate research-based literacy programs along with the New York State Next Generation Learning Standards to ensure that our young people are prepared as they progress towards college and career readiness.

Teachers at every grade level plan and implement learning experiences aligned with the State Standards. Teachers utilize the ReadyGen (K-5), My Perspectives (6-8) and the Holt McDougal Literature (9-10) curriculums, and other standards-based supplementary texts from the EngageNY modules, the Scholastic Guided Reading Program ${ }^{\circledR}$, and the Heinemann Fountas and Pinnell® systems. The Teachers College Writers Workshop as a resource. in their balanced learning approach to instruction.

Students (K-8) receive 135 minutes of instructional daily while students in grades $9-10$ receive 90 minutes. At-risk students also received forty-five minutes of pullout instructions in small groups three times per week. Moreover in grades $6-8$, all students received an additional forty five minutes of instruction 4-5 days per week with the primary focus on re-teaching, practice, and mastery. The school utilizes the assessment data provided by the Renaissance STAR Reading (K-8), NWEA MAP ( $9-10$ ), curriculum related unit assessments and school-designed interim assessments to measure students' progress and inform instructional planning.

Students complete multiple learning experiences in which they are able to utilize their classroom library, school library, and various media sources connected through various mulita-media systems. Each classroom library is leveled in accordance with best practices and students are able to choose from multiple genres, levels, and content when completing assigned tasks and/or independent reading activities.

Students in grade 9 receive 90 minutes of instruction daily while students in grades 10-11 receive 45 minutes. At-risk students also received forty-five minutes of pullout instructions in small groups two times per week. The school utilizes the assessment data provided by the, NWEA MAP diagnostic and progress monitoring assessment, unit assessments and school-designed interim assessments to measure students' progress and inform instructional planning.

Goal 3: Absolute Measure
Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at or above proficiency on the New York State English language arts examination for grades 3-8.

## METHOD

The school administered the New York State Testing Program English language arts ("ELA") assessment to students in 3 through 8 grade in April 2018. Each student's raw score has been converted to a grade-specific scaled score and a performance level.

The table below summarizes participation information for this year's test administration. The table indicates total enrollment and total number of students tested. It also provides a detailed breakdown of those students excluded from the exam. Note that this table includes all students according to grade level, even if they have not enrolled in at least their second year (defined as enrolled by BEDS day of the previous school year).

| Grade | Total <br> Tested | Not Tested $^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ELL | Absent | Refused | Enrolled |  |$|$|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 |
| 4 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 5 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 6 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 7 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 8 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| All | 531 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 6 |

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The following table presents the English language arts test results for all third through eighth grade students and for those third through eighth grade students enrolled in at least their second year at The Academy. Overall, $67.8 \%$ of students, and $74.8 \%$ of students enrolled in at least their second year at the school, achieved a level of proficiency on the 2017-18 English language arts assessment exam.

> Performance on 2017-18 State English Language Arts Exam
> By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

| Grades | All Students |  | Enrolled in at least their <br> Second Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Proficient | Number <br> Tested | Percent <br> Proficient | Number <br> Tested |
|  | $74.3 \%$ | 109 | $77.0 \%$ | 87 |
| 4 | $71.8 \%$ | 103 | $76.1 \%$ | 88 |
| 5 | $51.9 \%$ | 77 | $56.9 \%$ | 58 |
| 6 | $72.1 \%$ | 79 | $75.8 \%$ | 66 |
| 7 | $56.9 \%$ | 79 | $63.1 \%$ | 65 |
| 8 | $75.0 \%$ | 84 | $75.0 \%$ | 57 |
| All | $67.8 \%$ | 531 | $74.8 \%$ | 421 |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

From the 2015-16 to 2017-18 school years, the overall percentage of students enrolled in at least their second year at the school preforming at a proficient level increased nearly 27 percentage points on the English language arts exams. The most notable increase occurred in the $5^{\text {th }}$ through $8^{\text {th }}$ grades where there was a $14,36.1,24.9$, and 28.8 percentage point increase respectively.

| ELA Performance by Grade Level and Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Percent of Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year Achieving Proficiency |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  |
|  | Percent | Number Tested | Percent | Number Tested | Percent | Number Tested |
| 3 | 61.3\% | 62 | 70.9\% | 86 | 77.0\% | 87 |
| 4 | 60.7\% | 61 | 72.9\% | 59 | 76.1\% | 88 |
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| 5 | $42.9 \%$ | 70 | $47.3 \%$ | 74 | $56.9 \%$ | 58 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $39.7 \%$ | 63 | $39.1 \%$ | 64 | $75.8 \%$ | 66 |
| 7 | $38.2 \%$ | 68 | $54.9 \%$ | 71 | $63.1 \%$ | 65 |
| 8 | $46.2 \%$ | 39 | $61.8 \%$ | 68 | $75.0 \%$ | 57 |
| All | $47.9 \%$ | 363 | $58.1 \%$ | 422 | $74.8 \%$ | 421 |

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Index ("PI") on the State English language arts exam will meet that year's state Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

## METHOD

In New York State, ESSA school performance goals are met by showing that an absolute proportion of a school's students who have taken the English language arts test have scored at the partially proficient, or proficient and advanced performance levels (Levels 2 or $3 \& 4$ ). The percentage of students at each of these three levels is used to calculate a PI and determine if the school has met the MIP set each year by the state's ESSA accountability system. To achieve this measure, all tested students must have a PI value that equals or exceeds the state's 2017-18 English language arts MIP for all students. The state plans to calculate and disseminate the MIP in summer 2018. The PI is the sum of the percent of students in all tested grades combined scoring at Level 2, plus two times the percent of students scoring at Level 3, plus two-and-a-half times the percent of students scoring at Level 4. Thus, the highest possible PI is 250.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The Academy's PI in the 2017-18 school year was 175.5. SUNY did not release the MIP, so evolution is not possible at this time.

| Number in <br> Cohort | Percent of Students at Each Performance Level |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |  |
|  | 6 | 26 | 41 | 27 |  |

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state English language arts exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

A school compares tested students enrolled in at least their second year to all tested students in the public school district of comparison. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which
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the school had tested students in at least their second year at the school and the total result for all students at the corresponding grades in the school district. ${ }^{5}$

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The chart below shows the results of this year's assessment of students who were enrolled in at least their second year at The Academy as compared to all tested students in the surrounding public school district, the Hempstead School District on the 2017-18 English language arts exam. The Academy's aggregate percentage of students enrolled in at least their second at the school testing at proficiency was $74.8 \%$. The local district's average was $24.4 \%$.

The Academy met this measure. The school's aggregate percentage of students performing at a proficient level on the English language arts exam was 50.4 percentage points above the Hempstead School District.

## 2017-18 State English Language Arts Exam Charter School and District Performance by Grade Level

| Grade | Percent of Students at or Above Proficiency |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Charter School Students In At Least $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year |  | All District Students |  |
|  | Percent | Number Tested | Percent | Number Tested |
| 3 | 77.0\% | 87 | 29\% | 583 |
| 4 | 76.1\% | 88 | 30\% | 614 |
| 5 | 56.9\% | 58 | 24\% | 497 |
| 6 | 75.8\% | 66 | 21\% | 428 |
| 7 | 63.1\% | 65 | 16\% | 419 |
| 8 | 75.0\% | 57 | 22\% | 404 |
| All | 74.8\% | 421 | 24.4\% | 2945 |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

As shown through the chart below, The Academy has had a significantly higher overall percentage of students enrolled in at least their second year at the school performing at a proficient level on the state English language arts exam for the past three years when compared to the Hempstead School District. Additionally, the percentage of students performing at a proficient level at each individual grade level has far exceeded the district average at every grade level over the past three years.

English Language Arts Performance of Charter School and Local District
by Grade Level and School Year

| Grade | Percent of Students Enrolled in at Least their Second Year Scoring at or Above Proficiency Compared to District Students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  |
|  | Charter School | District | Charter School | District | Charter School | District |
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| 3 |  | $61.3 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $70.9 \%$ | $20.5 \%$ | $77.0 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $60.7 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $72.9 \%$ | $30.5 \%$ | $76.1 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 5 | $42.9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $47.3 \%$ | $15.6 \%$ | $56.9 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| 6 | $39.7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $39.1 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $75.8 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| 7 | $38.2 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $54.9 \%$ | $11.8 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| 8 | $46.2 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $61.8 \%$ | $13.1 \%$ | $75.0 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| All | $47.9 \%$ | $9.5 \%$ | $58.1 \%$ | $16.9 \%$ | $74.8 \%$ | $24.4 \%$ |

Goal 3: Comparative Measure
Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state English language arts exam by an effect size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State.

## METHOD

The SUNY Charter Schools Institute ("Institute") conducts a comparative performance analysis, which compares the school's performance to that of demographically similar public schools statewide. The Institute uses a regression analysis to control for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. The Institute compares the school's actual performance to the predicted performance of public schools with a similar concentration of economically disadvantaged students. The difference between the school's actual and predicted performance, relative to other schools with similar economically disadvantaged statistics, produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3, or performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree, is the requirement for achieving this measure.

Given the timing of the state's release of economically disadvantaged data and the demands of the data analysis, the 2017-18 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2016-17 results, the most recent Comparative Performance Analysis available.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The effect size of The Academy's predicted level of performance on the state English language arts exam was 2.04 , nearly two full point above the comparative goal of .3. Further, the school's third and fourth grades performed exceedingly well, scoring an Effect Size of well over two points above the state's goal for the school.
The Academy's aggregate Effect Size exceeded the comparative measure. This is largely attributable to the high performance at each grade level. Individually, each tested grade at The Academy performed well, exceeding the state's goal by an impressive margin.

2016-17 English Language Arts Comparative Performance by Grade Level

| Grade | Percent <br> Economically <br> Disadvantaged | Number <br> Tested | Percent of Students <br> at Levels 3\&4 |  | Difference <br> between Actual <br> and Predicted | Effect <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Predicted |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\mathbf{9 4 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 3}$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{9 0 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 3}$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{9 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 3}$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{9 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 0}$ |


| 7 | 92.8 | 81 | 53 | 25.3 | 27.7 | 1.67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 93.5 | 77 | 62 | 30.4 | 31.6 | 1.79 |
| All | 93.5 | 488 | 56.9 | 24.2 | 32.6 | 2.04 |


| School's Overall Comparative Performance: |
| :---: |
| Higher than expected to large degree |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The Academy has exceeded the state's Effect Size goal in each of the last three years by an impressive amount.

| English Language Arts Comparative Performance by School Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| School <br> Year | Grades | Percent <br> Economically <br> Disadvantaged | Number <br> Tested | Actual | Predicted | Effect <br> Size |
| $2014-15$ | $3-7$ | 86.2 | 357 | 30.7 | 17.7 | 1.04 |
| $2015-16$ | $3-8$ | 80.7 | 437 | 48.0 | 27.0 | 1.27 |
| $2016-17$ | $3-8$ | 93.5 | 488 | 56.9 | 24.2 | 2.04 |

## Goal 3: Growth Measure ${ }^{6}$

Each year, under the state's Growth Model, the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in English language arts for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the target of 50 .

## METHOD

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they are making in comparison to other students with the same score in the previous year. The analysis only includes students who took the state exam in 2016-17 and also have a state exam score from 2015-16 including students who were retained in the same grade. Students with the same 2015-16 score are ranked by their 2016-17 score and assigned a percentile based on their relative growth in performance (student growth percentile). Students' growth percentiles are aggregated school-wide to yield a school's mean growth percentile. In order for a school to perform above the target for this measure, it must have a mean growth percentile greater than 50 .
Given the timing of the state's release of Growth Model data, the 2017-18 analysis is not yet available. This report contains $\underline{2016-17}$ results, the most recent Growth Model data available. ${ }^{7}$

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

School wide, and at the $6^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}$, and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade level, The Academy exceeded the statewide mean growth percentile. The Academy's $4^{\text {th }}$ grade missed the stated goal by less than one percentage point. The school's $5^{\text {th }}$ grade missed the stated goal by 2.3 percentage points.

## 2016-17 English Language Arts Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level

[^7]| Grade | Mean Growth Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | Target |
| 4 | 49.1 | 50.0 |
| 5 | 47.7 | 50.0 |
| 6 | 51.9 | 50.0 |
| 7 | 56.5 | 50.0 |
| 8 | 61.5 | 50.0 |
| All | $\mathbf{5 3 . 5}$ | 50.0 |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The school's mean growth percentile increased overall and at each grade level, except the 4th grade, in the 2016-17 school year, $5^{\text {th }}$ grade in the 2016-17 school year, and the $6^{\text {th }}$ grade in the 2014-15 school year..

## English Language Arts Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level and School Year

| Grade | Mean Growth Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ | Target |
| 4 | 50.7 | 56.8 | 49.1 | 50.0 |
| 5 | 55.3 | 51.7 | 47.7 | 50.0 |
| 6 | 43.3 | 53.5 | 51.9 | 50.0 |
| 7 | 51.8 | 62.4 | 56.5 | 50.0 |
| 8 | N/A | 57.1 | 61.5 | 50.0 |
| All | 60.1 | $\underline{\mathbf{6 8 . 2}}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{5 3 . 5}}$ | 50.0 |

## SUMMARY OF THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS GOAL

The Academy achieved both comparative goals, one absolute goal, and its growth goal. The only goal the school did not achieve as the absolute goal of 75 percent of all students enrolled at the school in their second year perform at a proficient level on the State English language arts exam.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their <br> second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State English <br> language arts exam for grades 3-8. | Not Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the school's aggregate PI on the state's English language arts <br> exam will meet that year's state MIP as set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their <br> second year and performing at proficiency on the state English language arts <br> exam will be greater than that of students in the same tested grades in the <br> school district of comparison. | Met |
|  | Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the <br> state English language arts exam by an effect size of 0.3 or above <br> (performing higher than expected to a small degree) according to a <br> regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students <br> among all public schools in New York State. (Using 2016-17 results.) | Met |
| Growth | Each year, under the state's Growth Model the school's mean unadjusted <br> growth percentile in English language arts for all tested students in grades 4- <br> 8 will be above the target of 50. (Using 2016-17 results.) | Met |

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

## ACTION PLAN

The Academy will continue to improve its academic performance by strengthening the English language arts curriculum to align with the New York State Next Generation English Language Arts Learning Standards and responsive to data. Strategic intervention will continue to occur based on going comprehensive data analysis from various sources including Fountas and Pinnell, STAR Reading, and writing samples, and in-house created interim assessments. The school will continue integrate reading and writing across content areas, and provide students with extended learning time to achieve its goal in English Language Arts.

## HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered a Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core). ${ }^{8}$ This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

| Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 on Regents English Common Core Exam |
| :--- |
| $\qquad$by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort |
| $\qquad$Cohort <br> Designation |
| Number <br> in <br> Cohort |
| Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 4 on Common Core <br> exam (or Percent Scoring at <br> Least 75 if student took the <br> Regents Comprehensive <br> English Exam) |
| 2012 |
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| 2014 | N/A | N/A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort Designation | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number in Cohort | Percent Level 4 | Number in Cohort | Percent Level 4 | Number in Cohort | Percent Level 4 |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | N/A | N/A |

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered a Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the cut off for passing and meeting the requirement for graduation as scoring at or above Performance Level 3 (partially meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core). This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 3 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on Regents English Common Core Exam by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{10}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in <br> Cohort | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 on the Regents <br> English Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |
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## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | N/A | N/A |

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

## METHOD

The state's finalized and approved ESSA plan in 2018 includes a revised calculation of the high school Performance Index. In it, schools now receive additional credit for students scoring at Accountability Level $4 .{ }^{11}$ To achieve this measure, all tested students in the Accountability Cohort must have a PI that equals or exceeds the state's 2017-18 English language arts MIP for all students. The state plans to calculate and disseminate the MIP in summer 2018.
The Performance Index is calculated as such: (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 2) $+2 *($ percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 3) +2.5 * (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 4). Thus, the highest possible PI is 250. The basis for the percent of students is the school's fourth year Total Cohort for Graduation. The Regents Examination in English Language Arts (Common Core) is scored on a scale from 0 to $100 ; 0$ to 64 is Accountability Level 1,65 to 78 is Accountability Level 2; 79 to 84 is Accountability Level 3, and 85 to 100 is Accountability Level 4.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## English Language Arts Performance Index (PI)
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## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective Total Cohort of students in the school district of comparison. In order to meet or exceed Common Core expectations, a student must achieve Performance Level 4 or 5. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent Achieving Performance Level 4 or Higher on English Regents
of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Level 4 or 5 | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 or <br> 5 | Number <br> in Cohort |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective Total Cohort of students in the school district of comparison. In order to at least partially meet Common Core expectations, a student would need to pass the exam and score at Performance Level 3 or higher (i.e. scoring at least 65 ). Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent Achieving Performance Level 3 or Higher on English Regents
of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number <br> in Cohort |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Accountability Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

English Regents Performance Index (PI) ${ }^{12}$
of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PI | Cohort <br> Size | PI | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.
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## Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on Common Core exam among Students

 Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{13}$| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 4 <br> on Common Core exam (or <br> Scoring at Least 75 on the <br> Regents Comprehensive <br> English Exam) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for graduation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on Common Core exam among Students

Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{14}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 3 <br> on Regents English Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |
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## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS GOAL ${ }^{15}$

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state Measure of Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students from the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison. (Using 2016-17 school district results.) | N/A |
| Growth | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
| Growth | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at least Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
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## ACTION PLAN

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## GOAL 4: MATHEMATICS

## Goal 4: Mathematics

All students at the school will demonstrate competency in the understanding and application of mathematics computation and problem solving

## BACKGROUND

The Mathematics program at The Academy Charter School is based on the premise that students come to school with a wide range of abilities. Through a developmentally appropriate and rigorous approach in Mathematics, students develop their ability to communicate ideas, feelings, and facts effectively while honing their skills in Mathematics. The Academy Charter School uses a combination of the Envision 2.0 and Eureka Math in grades K-8; and Amsco series in grades 9-10, and supplementary materials from the EngageNY modules in meeting the New York State Next Generation Learning Standards. Students complete multiple learning experiences in which they are able to utilize their classroom technology, and manipulatives for practice. Students in grades K-8 receive 90 minutes of instruction daily; and at the high school, Algebra 1 receive 90 minutes of instructional daily while students in other mathematics courses receive 45 minutes of instruction daily. At-risk students also received forty-five minutes of pullout instructions in small groups at least two times per week. The school utilizes the assessment data provided by the STAR and NWEA MAP diagnostic and progress monitoring assessment, unit assessments and school-designed interim assessments to measure students' progress and inform instructional planning.

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State mathematics examination for grades 3-8.

## METHOD

The school administered the New York State Testing Program mathematics assessment to students in 3 through 9 grade in April 2018. Each student's raw score has been converted to a grade-specific scaled score and a performance level.
The table below summarizes participation information for this year's test administration. The table indicates total enrollment and total number of students tested. It also provides a detailed breakdown of those students excluded from the exam. Note that this table includes all students according to grade level, even if they have not enrolled in at least their second year.

## 2017-18 State Mathematics Exam <br> Number of Students Tested and Not Tested

| Grade | Total <br> Tested | Not Tested $^{16}$ |  |  |  | Total <br> Enrolled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IEP | ELL | Absent | Refused | Enren |
| 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 110 |
| 4 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 108 |
| 5 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 80 |
| 6 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 81 |
| 7 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 82 |
| 8 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 86 |
| All | 530 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 547 |

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The following table presents the mathematics assessment results for all third through eighth grade students and for those third through eighth grade students enrolled in at least their second year at The Academy. Overall, $71.3 \%$ of the students who took the 2017-18 state mathematics exam performed at a proficient level. $68.5 \%$ of students enrolled in at least their second year performed at the school tested at a proficient level.

The Academy's students performed at a proficient level on the 2017-18 mathematics assessment exam. $71.3 \%$ of students in at least their second year scored at or above level three on the state mathematics assessment exam. The school missed its goal of $75 \%$ proficiency by 3.7 percentage points.

Performance on 2017-18 State Mathematics Exam
By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

| Grades | All Students |  | Enrolled in at least their <br> Second Year |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Proficient | Number <br> Tested | Percent <br> Proficient | Number <br> Tested |
| 3 | $82.4 \%$ | 108 | $87.1 \%$ | 85 |
| 4 | $67.9 \%$ | 103 | $70.1 \%$ | 87 |
| 5 | $50.6 \%$ | 77 | $61.4 \%$ | 57 |
| 6 | $64.5 \%$ | 79 | $65.2 \%$ | 69 |
| 7 | $66.3 \%$ | 80 | $68.2 \%$ | 66 |
| 8 | $73.4 \%$ | 83 | $70.1 \%$ | 75 |
| All | $68.5 \%$ | 530 | $71.3 \%$ | 439 |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The Academy showed a significant overall increase in the percentage of students performing at a proficient level on the state mathematics assessment exam from the previous year, both overall and at each individual grade level.
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## Mathematics Performance by Grade Level and School Year

| Grade | Percent of Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2015-16$ |  | $2016-17$ |  | 2017-18 |  |
|  | Percent | Number <br> Tested | Percent | Number <br> Tested | Percent | Number <br> Tested |
| 3 | $55.1 \%$ | 69 | $73.0 \%$ | 89 | $87.1 \%$ | 85 |
| 4 | $61.0 \%$ | 59 | $55.2 \%$ | 58 | $70.1 \%$ | 87 |
| 5 | $55.7 \%$ | 70 | $40.2 \%$ | 82 | $61.4 \%$ | 57 |
| 6 | $63.3 \%$ | 60 | $48.4 \%$ | 62 | $65.2 \%$ | 69 |
| 7 | $41.5 \%$ | 65 | $41.4 \%$ | 70 | $68.2 \%$ | 66 |
| 8 | $47.5 \%$ | 40 | $43.3 \%$ | 67 | $70.1 \%$ | 75 |
| All | $54.3 \%$ | 363 | $50.9 \%$ | 428 | $71.3 \%$ | 439 |

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, the school's aggregate Performance Index ("PI") on the state mathematics exam will meet that year's state Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

## METHOD

In New York State, ESSA school performance goals are met by showing that an absolute proportion of a school's students who have taken the mathematics test have scored at the partially proficient, or proficient and advanced performance levels (Levels 2 or $3 \& 4$ ). The percentage of students at each of these three levels is used to calculate a PI and determine if the school has met the MIP set each year by the state's ESSA accountability system. To achieve this measure, all tested students must have a PI value that equals or exceeds the state's 2017-18 mathematics MIP for all students. The state plans to calculate and disseminate the MIP in summer 2018. The PI is the sum of the percent of students in all tested grades combined scoring at Level 2, plus two times the percent of students scoring at Level 3, plus two-and-a-half times the percent of students scoring at Level 4. Thus, the highest possible PI is 250 .

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The Academy's PI in the 2017-18 school year was 178. SUNY did not release the MIP, so evolution is not possible at this time.

Mathematics 2017-18 Performance Level Index (PI)


## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state mathematics exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

A school compares the performance of tested students enrolled in at least their second year to that of all tested students in the public school district of comparison. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year at the school and the total result for all students at the corresponding grades in the school district. ${ }^{17}$

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The chart below shows the percentage of students performing at or above level three on the state mathematics assessment exam enrolled in at least their second year at The Academy as compared to the average of all tested students in the surrounding public school district, the Hempstead School District.

The Academy met this measure. The school's aggregate percentage of students performing at a proficient level on the 2017-18 state mathematics assessment exam exceeded the district average by an impressive 49.3 percentage points. Additionally, each grade level tested at The Academy had a significantly higher percentage of students performing at a proficient level when compared to the Hempstead School District.

| Grade | Percent of Students at or Above Proficiency |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Charter School Students In At Least $2^{\text {nd }}$ Year |  | All District Students |  |
|  | Percent | Number Tested | Percent | Number Tested |
| 3 | 87.1\% | 85 | 33\% | 592 |
| 4 | 70.1\% | 87 | 26\% | 626 |
| 5 | 61.4\% | 57 | 29\% | 510 |
| 6 | 65.2\% | 69 | 11\% | 430 |
| 7 | 68.2\% | 66 | 14\% | 427 |
| 8 | 70.1\% | 75 | 1\% | 218 |
| All | 71.3\% | 439 | 22\% | 2803 |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

As shown through the chart below, The Academy has had a significantly higher overall percentage of students performing at a proficient level on the state mathematics exam for the past three years compared to the Hempstead School District. Additionally, the percentage of students performing at a proficient level at each individual grade level has far exceeded the district average at every grade level over the past three years.
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## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

## Mathematics Performance of Charter School and Local District <br> by Grade Level and School Year

| Grade | Percent of Students Enrolled in at Least their Second Year Who Are at <br> Proficiency Compared to Local District Students |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2015-16$ |  | $2016-17$ |  | $2017-18$ |  |
|  | Charter <br> School | District | Charter <br> School | District | Charter <br> School | District |
| 3 | $55.1 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ | $28.6 \%$ | $87.1 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| 4 | $61.0 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $55.2 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| 5 | $55.7 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $40.2 \%$ | $20.4 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| 6 | $63.3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $48.4 \%$ | $6.8 \%$ | $65.2 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| 7 | $41.5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $41.4 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ | $68.2 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| 8 | $47.5 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $43.3 \%$ | $.4 \%$ | $70.1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| All | $54.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$ | $50.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 9 \%}$ | $71.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the state mathematics exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree) according to a regression analysis controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State.

## METHOD

The Institute conducts a Comparative Performance Analysis, which compares the school's performance to that of demographically similar public schools statewide. The Institute uses a regression analysis to control for the percentage of economically disadvantaged students among all public schools in New York State. The Institute compares the school's actual performance to the predicted performance of public schools with a similar concentration of economically disadvantaged students. The difference between the school's actual and predicted performance, relative to other schools with similar economically disadvantaged statistics, produces an Effect Size. An Effect Size of 0.3 , or performing higher than expected to a meaningful degree, is the requirement for achieving this measure.

Given the timing of the state's release of economically disadvantaged data and the demands of the data analysis, the 2017-18 analysis is not yet available. This report contains 2016-17 results, the most recent Comparative Performance Analysis available.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The Effect Size of The Academy's predicted level of performance on the state mathematics exam 1.67 points above the predicted comparative performance goal.

2016-17 Mathematics Comparative Performance by Grade Level

| Grade | Percent Economically Disadvantaged | Number Tested | Percent of Students at Levels 3\&4 |  | Difference between Actual and Predicted | Effect Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Actual | Predicted |  |  |
| 3 | 94.4 | 102 | 73 | 32.2 | 40.8 | 1.98 |
| 4 | 90.9 | 72 | 49 | 26.1 | 22.9 | 1.19 |


| 5 | 95.1 | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 93.8 | 72 | 51 | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 1}$ |
| 7 | 92.8 | 79 | 43 | 16.9 | $\mathbf{2 6 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}$ |
| 8 | 93.5 | 76 | 45 | $\mathbf{1 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 9}$ |
| All | $\mathbf{9 3 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 7}$ |


| School's Overall Comparative Performance: |
| :---: |
| Higher than expected to large degree |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

As the table below shows, The Academy has exceeded the state's Effect Size goal in each of the last three years by an impressive amount.

## Mathematics Comparative Performance by School Year

| School <br> Year | Grades | Percent <br> Economically <br> Disadvantaged | Number <br> Tested | Actual | Predicted | Effect <br> Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2014-15$ | $3-7$ | 86.2 | 357 | 49.1 | 25.1 | 1.38 |
| $2015-16$ | $3-8$ | 80.7 | 428 | 54.8 | 27.3 | 1.41 |
| $2016-17$ | $3-8$ | 93.5 | 482 | 51.8 | 22.1 | 1.67 |

## Goal 4: Growth Measure ${ }^{18}$

Each year, under the state's Growth Model, the school's mean unadjusted growth percentile in mathematics for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be above the target of 50 .

## METHOD

This measure examines the change in performance of the same group of students from one year to the next and the progress they are making in comparison to other students with the same score in the previous year. The analysis only includes students who took the state exam in 2016-17 and also have a state exam score in 2015-16 including students who were retained in the same grade. Students with the same 2015-16 scores are ranked by their 2016-17 scores and assigned a percentile based on their relative growth in performance (student growth percentile). Students' growth percentiles are aggregated school-wide to yield a school's mean growth percentile. In order for a school to meet the measure, the school would have to achieve a mean growth percentile above the target of 50 .

Given the timing of the state's release of Growth Model data, the 2017-18 analysis is not yet available. This report contains $\underline{2016-17}$ results, the most recent Growth Model data available. ${ }^{19}$

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The Academy's overall mean growth percentile was above the statewide average. Additionally, the eighth grade mean growth percentile was also above the statewide median.
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## 2016-17 Mathematics Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level

| Grade | Mean Growth Percentile |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | Target |
| 4 | 45.6 | 50.0 |
| 5 | 38.1 | 50.0 |
| 6 | 49.0 | 50.0 |
| 7 | 49.2 | 50.0 |
| 8 | 74.8 | 50.0 |
| All | $\underline{\mathbf{5 0 . 8}}$ | 50.0 |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

Overall, The Academy's mean growth percentile has exceeded the state's target in each of the last three school years.

## Mathematics Mean Growth Percentile by Grade Level and School Year

| Grade | Mean Growth Percentile |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2014-15$ | $2015-16$ | $2016-17$ | Target |
| 4 | 51.3 | 61.5 | 45.6 | 50.0 |
| 5 | 45.8 | 51.0 | 38.1 | 50.0 |
| 6 | 59.8 | 55.0 | 49.0 | 50.0 |
| 7 | 48.8 | 51.1 | 49.2 | 50.0 |
| 8 | 0 | 53.1 | 74.8 | 50.0 |
| All | $\mathbf{5 4 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 8}$ | 50.0 |

## SUMMARY OF THE MATHEMATICS GOAL

On the 2017-18 accountability plan progress report, The Academy achieved of its both comparative goals and its growth goal. The only goal the school did not achieve as the absolute goal of 75 percent of all students enrolled at the school in their second year perform at a proficient level on the State English language arts exam.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their <br> second year will perform at proficiency on the New York State mathematics <br> exam for grades 3-8. | Not met |
| Absolute | Each year, the school's aggregate PI on the state's English language arts <br> exam will meet that year's state MIP as set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. |  |
| Comparative | Each year, the percent of all tested students who are enrolled in at least their <br> second year and performing at proficiency on the state mathematics exam <br> will be greater than that of students in the same tested grades in the school <br> district of comparison. | Met |
| Comparative | Each year, the school will exceed its predicted level of performance on the <br> state mathematics exam by an Effect Size of 0.3 or above (performing higher <br> than expected to a small degree) according to a regression analysis <br> controlling for economically disadvantaged students among all public | Met |

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

|  | schools in New York State. (Using 2016-17 results.) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Growth | Each year, under the state's Growth Model the school's mean unadjusted <br> growth percentile in mathematics for all tested students in grades 4-8 will be <br> above the target of 50. (Using the 2016-17 results.) | Met |

## ACTION PLAN

The school will continue to use the existing curriculums during the 2018-2019 school year. Teachers will incorporate materials from other sources including the EngageNY modules where necessary. There will be much focus on curriculum mapping and teachers will continue to use the data from Renaissance STAR assessments (K-8), and interim assessments (3-8) to measure student progress and inform instruction. Teachers will engage in re-teaching strategies with more frequency to ensure mastery. There will be more focus on hands on professional development based on review of data gleaned from item skills analysis report from various sources. Moreover, during the extended learning time (After School and Saturday School), there will be a greater focus on supporting struggling students in Mathematics.

## HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS

```
Goal 4: Absolute Measure
Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.
```


## METHOD

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on any Regents Common Core mathematics exams. ${ }^{20}$ This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam

[^17]by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{21}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in <br> Cohort | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. While, this measurement is not applicable to the school for this Accountability Report, the school is monitoring this indicator for the 2016 and 2017 Cohorts and increasing the rigor where required to have more students meet this benchmark.

| Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort <br> Designation | $2015-16$ |  | $2016-17$ |  | $2017-18$ |  |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | N/A | N/A | 110 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | 119 | $7.6 \%$ |

Goal 4: Absolute Measure
Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the cut off for passing and meeting the requirement for graduation as scoring at or above Performance Level 3 (partially meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents mathematics exams. This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 3 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. It should be noted, however, that the Academy's 2016 and 2017 Cohorts have already met this measure. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam

[^18]by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{22}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in <br> Cohort | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 on a Regents <br> Mathematics Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

As shown in the chart below, the school's 2016 and 2017 cohorts both had over 80 percent if students partially meeting Common Core expectations, scoring at Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam, by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | 115 | $73.0 \%$ | 110 | $80.9 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | 119 | $80.7 \%$ |

Goal 4: Absolute Measure
Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents mathematics exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

## METHOD

The state's finalized and approved ESSA plan in 2018 includes a revised calculation of the high school Performance Index. In it, schools now receive additional credit for students scoring at Accountability Level 4. ${ }^{23}$ To achieve this measure, all tested students in the Accountability Cohort must have a PI that equals or exceeds the state's 2017-18 mathematics MIP for all students. The state plans to calculate and disseminate the MIP in summer 2018.
The Performance Index is calculated as such: (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 2) $+2 *($ percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 3$)+2.5 *$ (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 4). Thus, the highest possible PI is 250. The basis for the percent of students is the school's fourth year Total Cohort for Graduation. Regents Common Core mathematics exams are scored on a scale from 0 to $100 ; 0$ to 64 is Accountability Level 1,65 to 79 is Accountability
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## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

Level 2 ( 65 to 77 for Algebra II); 80 to 84 is Accountability Level 3 ( 78 to 84 for Algebra II), and 85 to 100 is Accountability Level 4.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.


## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective Total Cohort of students in the school district of comparison. In order to meet or exceed Common Core expectations, a student must achieve Performance Level 4 or 5. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

> Percent Achieving Performance Level 4 or Higher on a Mathematics Regents of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Level 4 or 5 | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 or <br> 5 | Number <br> in Cohort |

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective Total Cohort of students in the school district of comparison. In order to at least partially meet Common Core expectations, a student would need to pass the exam and score at Performance Level 3 or higher (i.e. scoring at least 65). Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

> Percent Achieving Performance Level 3 or Higher on a Mathematics Regents of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number <br> in Cohort |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") in Regents mathematics of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Accountability Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

> Mathematics Regents Performance Index (PI) ${ }^{24}$ of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PI | Cohort <br> Size | PI | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.
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## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to grow to meeting the mathematics requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{25}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 4 <br> on Common Core Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to move to meeting the English requirement for graduation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students
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## Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{26}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. While this measurement is not applicable to the school, it should be noted that the school is monitoring students for whom we have grade 8 scores. The chart below displays their current status:

| Cohort | \# of Student <br> in Cohort | \# of Grade 8 <br> Math Scores <br> Available | \# of Students <br> Scoring Level 1 or <br> 8 in Grade 8 | \# of Students Scoring <br> Level 3 or Above on <br> Math Regents | \% of Students Scoring <br> Level 3 or Above on <br> Math Regents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 110 | 30 | 15 | 13 | 86.7 |
| 2017 | 119 | 83 | 55 | 42 | 76.1 |

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS GOAL ${ }^{27}$

The Academy met the only absolute goal for which it could be measure as a result of the school's current grade configuration.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | Met |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in mathematics of students completing <br> their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state Measure of <br> Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will <br> exceed the percentage of comparable students from the district meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting <br> Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will exceed the <br> percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting | N/A |
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|  | Common Core expectations. |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents mathematics of students in <br> the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of <br> comparable students from the school district of comparison. (Using 2016-17 <br> school district results.) | N/A |
| Growth | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics <br> exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
| Growth | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8 8 <br> exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently <br> scoring at least Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |

## ACTION PLAN

The Academy has departmentalized instruction. Each assistant principal has been given specific areas of instructional oversight. Content leads have been assigned for each area and work closely with the assistant principals to change and improve academic programming.

Additionally, the Academy has increased the opportunities for teacher collaboration and coteaching to implement the math program. Academic intervention services are being provided three times per week through the specific design and implementation of additional math courses through the collaborative effort of math teachers and school leadership.

## GOAL 5: SCIENCE

## Goal 3: Science <br> All students at the school will demonstrate competency in the understanding and application of scientific reasoning

## BACKGROUND

The science curriculum used by the school during the 2017 - 2018 school year was the Macmillan/McGraw-Hill, A Closer Look textbook series in grades K-5, New York Science in grades 6-8, and Biology by Miller and Levine, for Living Environment in the high school. Students in grade K -8 receive three (3) forty-five minutes of instruction per week; one period is dedicated to lab activity and two (2) periods for theory. In the high school, the Science program at The Academy Charter School utilizes Biology by Miller and Levine, for Living Environment, Chemistry by Pearson for Chemistry, Earth Science: The Physical Setting by Perfection Learning for Earth Science, and Marine Science by Pearson for Marine Biology to implement instruction. Students receive six (6) forty-five minute periods of instruction per week; one period is dedicated to lab activity and five (5) periods for theory. Unit assessments and school-designed interim assessments are used to measure students' progress and inform instructional planning.

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

Goal 5: Absolute Measure
Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year will perform at or above proficiency on the New York State science examination.

## METHOD

The school administered the New York State Testing Program science assessment to students in $4^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade in spring 2018. The school converted each student's raw score to a performance level and a grade-specific scaled score. The criterion for success on this measure requires students enrolled in at least their second year to score at proficiency.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

$91.3 \%$ of The Academy's students in at least their second year at the school $-95.3 \%$ of 4th grade students and $86.7 \%$ of 8th grade students - tested at a proficient level on the New York State Science exam.

The Academy achieved this goal. $91.3 \%$ of The Academy's students in at least their second year at the school tested at a proficient level on the State Science exam, 16.3 percentage points above the stated goal.

Charter School Performance on 2017-18 State Science Exam
By All Students and Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year

| Grade | Percent of Students at Proficiency |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Charter School Students <br> In At Least 2nd Year | All District Students |  |  |
|  | Percent <br> Proficient | Number <br> Tested | Percent <br> Proficient | Number <br> Tested |
|  | $95.3 \%$ | 86 | N/A | N/A |
| 8 | $86.7 \%$ | 75 | N/A | N/A |
| All | $91.3 \%$ | 161 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the years in which the State Science exam scores have been available, the percentage of The Academy's students testing at a proficient level and enrolled in at least their second greatly exceeded the stated goal of $75 \%$ in two of the previous three years. Although The Academy did not meet their overall goal of $75 \%$ proficiency in the 2016-17 school year, this was solely because of the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade results. Since the 2015-16 school year, the 2016-17 $8^{\text {th }}$ grade is the only cohort who did not meet the goal of $75 \%$ proficiency on the state science exam.

Science Performance by Grade Level and School Year

| Grade | Percent of Students Enrolled in At Least Their Second Year at |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2015-16$ |  |  | $2016-17$ |  | $2017-18$ |  |
|  | Percent <br> Proficient | Number <br> Tested | Percent | Number <br> Tested | Percent <br> Proficient | Number <br> Tested |  |
| 4 | $98.3 \%$ | 58 | $98.3 \%$ | 59 | $95.3 \%$ | 86 |  |
| 8 | $76.9 \%$ | 39 | $46.3 \%$ | 67 | $86.7 \%$ | 75 |  |
| All | $89.7 \%$ | 97 | $70.6 \%$ | 126 | $91.3 \%$ | 161 |  |

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

## Goal 5: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of all tested students enrolled in at least their second year and performing at proficiency on the state science exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested grades in the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares tested students enrolled in at least their second year to all tested students in the public school district of comparison. Comparisons are between the results for each grade in which the school had tested students in at least their second year and the results for the respective grades in the school district of comparison. Given the timing of the state's release of district science data, the 2017-18 comparative data is not yet available. Schools should report comparison to the district's 2016-17 data.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

At the time of this report's submission, the district state science exam results were not available.

> 2017-18 State Science Exam
> Charter School and District Performance by Grade Level

| Grade | Percent of Students at Proficiency |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Charter School Students <br> In At Least 2nd | YearAll District Students ${ }^{28}$ |  |  |
|  | Percent <br> Proficient | Number <br> Tested | Percent <br> Proficient | Number <br> Tested |
|  | $95.3 \%$ | 86 | N/A | N/A |
| 8 | $86.7 \%$ | 75 | N/A | N/A |
| All | $91.3 \%$ | 161 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

At the time of this report's submission, the district state science exam results were not available.

| Science Performance of Charter School and Local District by Grade Level and School Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Percent of Charter School Students at Proficiency and Enrolled in At Least theirSecond Year Compared to Local District Students |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  |
|  | Charter <br> School | District | Charter School | District | Charter School | District |
| 4 | 98.3\% | N/A | 98.3\% | 59 | 95.3\% | N/A |
| 8 | 76.9\% | N/A | 46.3\% | 67 | 86.7\% | N/A |
| All | 89.7\% | N/A | 70.6\% | 126 | 91.3\% | N/A |
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## SUMMARY OF THE SCIENCE GOAL

The Academy met the absolute goal and the comparative goal could not be measured because the district's science score was not available at the time of this report's submission.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of all tested students enrolled in at least <br> their second year will perform at or above proficiency on the <br> New York State examination. | Met |
| Comparative | Each year, the percent of all tested students enrolled in at least <br> their second year and performing at proficiency on the state <br> exam will be greater than that of all students in the same tested <br> grades in the school district of comparison. | N/A |

## ACTION PLAN

For the 2018-2019 school year, the school will continue to use New York Science in grades 6-8 but adopt the Mc Graw-Hill, Inspire Science in grades K-5 which are more clearly aligned to the Next Generation Science Standards. For the 2018 - 2019 school year, the school (K-8) will continue improve the quality of instruction by focusing more on lab instruction.

## HIGH SCHOOL SCIENCE

## Goal 5: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents science exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

New York State schools administer multiple high school science assessments; current Regent exams are Living Environment, Earth Science, Chemistry and Physics. It scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100 ; students must score at least 65 to pass. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass any one of the Regents science exams by their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken a particular Regents science exam multiple times or have taken multiple science exams. Students have until the summer of their fourth year to pass a science exam.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Science Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{29}$
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| Designation | Cohort | Passing with <br> a score of 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

As shown in the chart below, The Academy's 2017 student cohort is already meeting this goal and the 2016 student cohort is less than ten percentage points below the goal of 75 percent proficiency.

Science Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2015-16$ |  | $2016-17$ |  | $2017-18$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | N/A | N/A | 110 | $65.5 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | 119 | $76.5 \%$ |

## Goal 5: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing a Regents science exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Science Regents Passing Rate <br> of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## GOAL 6: SOCIAL STUDIES

## Goal 6: Social Studies

All students at the school will demonstrate competency in the understanding and application of social studies.

The Social Studies program at The Academy Charter School utilizes United States History by Pearson for United States History and World History by Pearson for Global History 1 and Global History 2 to implement instruction. Students receive forty-five minutes of daily instruction in Social Studies. Unit assessments and school-designed interim assessments are used to measure students’ progress and inform instructional planning.

## Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents U.S. History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

New York State administers two high school social studies assessments: U.S. History and Global History. In order to graduate, students must pass both of these Regents exams with a score of 65 or higher. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the two exams by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exams multiple times and have until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

## RESULTS

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.
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| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

As shown in the chart below, The Academy's 2017 student cohort is already meeting this goal and the 2016 student cohort is 12.3 percentage points below the goal of 75 percent proficiency.

## U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2014-15$ |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 |  |  | N/A | N/A | 110 | $62.7 \%$ |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  | 119 | $80.7 \%$ |

## Goal 6: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent to students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents U.S. History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, school presents the most recently available district results.

## RESULTS

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.
U.S. History Passing Rate
of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2011 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

## EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exam multiple times, and had until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

## RESULTS

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

| Global History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 |
| :--- |
| $\qquad$by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{31}$ |
| Cohort <br> Designation |
| Number in <br> Cohort |
| Percent <br> Passing with <br> a score of 65 |
| 2011 |
| N/A |
| 2012 | N/A $_{\text {N/A }}$| N/A |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | N/A |
| N/A |  |

## EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

[^26]
## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Global History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2014-15$ |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 |  |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  | N/A | N/A |

## Goal 6: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents Global History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available district results.

## RESULTS

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.
of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School | School District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort |
| 2011 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## APPENDIX A: OPTIONAL GOALS

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## GOAL 7: ESSA

## Goal 7: ESSA

The Academy will be in good standing pursuant to the state's ESSA accountability system

## Goal 7: Absolute Measure

Under the state's ESSA accountability system, the school is in good standing: the state has not identified the school for comprehensive or targeted improvement.

## METHOD

Because all students are expected to meet the state's performance standards, the federal statute stipulates that various sub-populations and demographic categories of students among all tested students must meet the state standard in and of themselves aside from the overall school results. As New York State, like all states, is required to establish a specific system for making these determinations for its public schools, charter schools do not have latitude in establishing their own performance levels or criteria of success for meeting the ESSA accountability requirements. Each year, the state issues School Report Cards that indicate a school's status under the state accountability system.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The school met its goal of good standing for the 2017-18 school year.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The Academy has been in good standing in each of the last three school years.
Accountability Status by Year

| Year | Status |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2015-16$ | Good standing |
| $2016-17$ | Good standing |
| $2017-18$ | Good standing |



## Entry 4 Expenditures per Child

## ACADEMY CS (SUNY TRUSTEES)Section Heading

Financial Information
This information is required of ALL charter schools. Provide the following measures of fiscal performance of the charter school in Appendix B (Total Expenditures and Administrative Expenditures Per Child):

## 1. Total Expenditures Per Child

To calculate ‘Total Expenditures per Child’ take total expenditures (from the unaudited 201718 Schedule of Functional Expenses) and divide by the year end FTE student enrollment. (Integers Only. No dollar signs or commas).

Note: The information on the Schedule of Functional Expenses on pages 41-43 of the Audit Guide can help schools locate the amounts to use in the two per pupil calculations: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/psc/AuditGuide.htmI

| Line 1: Total Expenditures | 21586188 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Line 2: Year End FTE student enrollment | 1093 |
| Line 3: Divide Line 1 by Line 2 | 19758 |

## 2. Administrative Expenditures per Child

To calculate 'Administrative Expenditures per Child' To calculate "Administrative Expenditures per Child" first add together the following:

1. Take the relevant portion from the 'personnel services cost' row and the 'management and general' column (from the unaudited 2017-18 Schedule of Functional Expenses)
2. Any contracted administrative/management fee paid to other organizations or corporations
3. Take the total from above and divide it by the year-end FTE enrollment. The relevant portion that must be included in this calculation is defined as follows:

Administrative Expenditures: Administration and management of the charter school includes the activities and personnel of the offices of the chief school officer, the finance or business offices, school operations personnel, data management and reporting, human resources, technology, etc. It also includes those administrative and management services provided by other organizations or corporations on behalf of the charter school for which the charter school pays a fee or other compensation. Do not include the FTE of personnel whose role is to directly support the instructional program.

## Notes:

The information on the Schedule of Functional Expenses on pages 41-43 of the Audit Guide can help schools locate the amounts to use in the two per pupil calculations:

## http://www.p12.nysed.gov/psc/AuditGuide.html.

Employee benefit costs or expenditures should not be reported in the above calculations.

| Line 1: Relevant Personnel Services <br> Cost (Row) | 1442751 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Line 2: Management and General Cost <br> (Column) | 1612187 |
| Line 3: Sum of Line 1 and Line 2 | 3054937 |
| Line 5: Divide Line 3 by the Year End <br> FTE student enrollment | 2796 |

## Thank you.
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## Independent Auditors' Report

To the Board of Trustees of The Academy Charter School<br>Hempstead, New York

## Report on the financial statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of The Academy Charter School, which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2018, and the related statements of activities, functional expenses, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

## Management's responsibility for the financial statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditors' responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor
considers internal control relevant to The Academy Charter School's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Academy Charter School as of June 30, 2018, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Prior period financial statements

The financial statements of The Academy Charter School, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, were audited by other auditors whose report dated November 1, 2017 expressed an unmodified opinion on those statements.

## Other matters

## Report on supplementary information required by New York State

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information shown on pages 19 to 22 are required by the New York State Department of Education who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic or historical context. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the accompanying supplementary information shown on pages 19 to 22 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

## Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards, shown on Page 23, as required by the Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

## Other reporting required by government auditing standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated October 24, 2018 on our consideration of The Academy Charter School internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering The Academy Charter School internal control over financial reporting and compliance.


New York, New York
October 24, 2018

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

## Statement of Financial Position

June 30, 2018

| Assets | 2018 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 2,564,817 | \$ | 1,063,517 |
| Accounts and grants receivable - net |  | 602,391 |  | 724,145 |
| Due from government agencies |  | 132,815 |  | 134,666 |
| Prepaid expenses |  | 110,029 |  | - |
| Total current assets |  | 3,410,052 |  | 1,922,328 |
| Property and equipment, net-Note 5 |  | 43,597,972 |  | 22,617,760 |
| Other assets |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted cash and escrow reserves - Note 3 |  | 41,670,702 |  | 3,443,178 |
| Security deposits |  | 182,345 |  | 152,420 |
| Total assets | \$ | 88,861,071 |  | 28,135,686 |
| Liabilities and Net Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 3,678,661 |  | 1,407,677 |
| Accrued salaries and other payroll-related expenses |  | 1,671,372 |  | 1,156,452 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 1,835,238 |  | 769,339 |
| Bonds payable - current portion |  | 335,000 |  | 305,000 |
| Line of credit |  | 445,387 |  | - |
| Obligations under capital lease - current portion |  | 271,362 |  | 322,914 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 8,237,020 |  | 3,961,382 |
| Bonds payable (less current portion; net of unamortized deferred financing costs of $\$ 4,040,494$ in 2018 and $\$ 1,406,432$ in 2017) - Note 7 |  | 78,724,506 |  | 21,643,568 |
| Obligations under capital lease, net of current portion - Note 8 |  | 4,525 |  | 275,887 |
| Total liabilities |  | 86,966,051 |  | 25,880,837 |
| Net assets - unrestricted |  |  |  |  |
| Undesignated |  | 1,816,123 |  | 2,176,162 |
| Reserve - contingency |  | 78,897 |  | 78,687 |
| Total unrestricted net assets |  | 1,895,020 |  | 2,254,849 |
| Total liabilities and unrestricted net assets | \$ | 88,861,071 |  | 28,135,686 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL
Statement of Activities
For the year ended June 30, 2018

|  | 2018 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating revenue and other support |  |  |
| Public school district |  |  |
| General education | \$ 20,254,448 | \$ 17,521,211 |
| Special education | - | 70,692 |
| Total state and local per pupil operating revenue | 20,254,448 | 17,591,903 |
| Grants, contracts and other support |  |  |
| Federal and state grants | 1,146,561 | 848,140 |
| Contributions | 15,600 | 513,841 |
| Interest and other income | 221,029 | 26,798 |
| Total operating revenue and other support | 21,637,638 | 18,980,682 |
| Expenses |  |  |
| Program expenses |  |  |
| Regular education | 16,797,506 | 13,105,332 |
| Special education | 737,152 | 738,850 |
| Food service | 1,091,265 | 682,149 |
| Total program expenses | 18,625,923 | 14,526,331 |
| Supporting services |  |  |
| Management and general | 3,371,544 | 2,916,682 |
| Total program and supporting services expenses | 21,997,467 | 17,443,013 |
| Change in net assets | $(359,829)$ | 1,537,669 |
| Unrestricted net assets - beginning of year | 2,254,849 | 717,180 |
| Unrestricted net assets - end of year | \$ 1,895,020 | \$ 2,254,849 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL
Statement of Functional Expenses
For the year ended June 30, 2018

## Salaries and staff

Instructional personnel
Administrative staff personnel
Noninstructional personnel
Total salaries and staff

## Operating expenses

Payroll taxes and fringe benefits
Retirement
Financial and administrative
Administrative
Marketing and recruitment
Insurance
Legal and professional
Repairs and maintenance
Equipment leasing and maintenance
Staff development
Food costs
Student services
Supplies and instructional materials
Telephone and Internet services
Utilities
Other expenses
Interest expense - facilities loans
Interest expense - equipment lease
Depreciation
Amortization
Total operating expenses
Total expenses

|  |  |  | Program expenses |  |  |  | Supporting <br> services,Management <br> $\&$ general |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 2018 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regular education |  | Special education |  | Food service |  | otal programs |  |  |  |  |
| \$ 6,442,804 | \$ | 149,484 |  | \$ - | \$ | 6,592,288 | \$ | - | \$ | 6,592,288 |
| 2,346,859 |  | 187,749 |  | - |  | 2,534,608 |  | 594,538 |  | 3,129,146 |
| 69,059 |  | - |  | 385,404 |  | 454,463 |  | 1,101,415 |  | 1,555,878 |
| 8,858,722 |  | 337,233 |  | 385,404 |  | 9,581,359 |  | 1,695,953 |  | 11,277,312 |
| 1,830,180 |  | 69,671 |  | 79,623 |  | 1,979,474 |  | 318,706 |  | 2,298,180 |
| 181,281 |  | 6,901 |  | 7,887 |  | 196,069 |  | 29,724 |  | 225,793 |
| 280,000 |  | 25,000 |  | - |  | 305,000 |  | 145,000 |  | 450,000 |
| 88,209 |  | 3,358 |  |  |  | 91,567 |  | 19,905 |  | 111,472 |
| 39,788 |  | 1,514 |  | - |  | 41,302 |  | 10,255 |  | 51,557 |
| 308,221 |  | 11,733 |  |  |  | 319,954 |  | 63,946 |  | 383,900 |
| 100,892 |  | 3,841 |  | - |  | 104,733 |  | 117,125 |  | 221,858 |
| 411,529 |  | 15,666 |  | 17,904 |  | 445,099 |  | 67,476 |  | 512,575 |
| 497,342 |  | 18,933 |  |  |  | 516,275 |  | 103,183 |  | 619,458 |
| 494,199 |  | 18,813 |  | - |  | 513,012 |  | 102,531 |  | 615,543 |
| - |  |  |  | 449,365 |  | 449,365 |  |  |  | 449,365 |
| 34,285 |  | 8,418 |  | - |  | 42,703 |  | - |  | 42,703 |
| 306,646 |  | 11,673 |  |  |  | 318,319 |  | 63,620 |  | 381,939 |
| 120,774 |  | 4,597 |  | - |  | 125,371 |  | 25,384 |  | 150,755 |
| 183,524 |  | 6,986 |  |  |  | 190,510 |  | 38,126 |  | 228,636 |
| 250,411 |  | 9,533 |  | 10,894 |  | 270,838 |  | 44,094 |  | 314,932 |
| 1,689,160 |  | 135,133 |  | 90,089 |  | 1,914,382 |  | 337,832 |  | 2,252,214 |
| 44,426 |  | 3,554 |  | 2,369 |  | 50,349 |  | 8,885 |  | 59,234 |
| 992,993 |  | 37,801 |  | 43,201 |  | 1,073,995 |  | 162,814 |  | 1,236,809 |
| 84,924 |  | 6,794 |  | 4,529 |  | 96,247 |  | 16,985 |  | 113,232 |
| 7,938,784 |  | 399,919 |  | 705,861 |  | 9,044,564 |  | 1,675,591 |  | 10,720,155 |
| \$ 16,797,506 | \$ | 737,152 |  | 1,091,265 | \$ | 18,625,923 | \$ | 3,371,544 | \$ | 21,997,467 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

## Statement of Functional Expenses

For the year ended June 30, 2017

|  |  | Program expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  | Supportingservices |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Regular education |  | Special education | Food service |  |  | Total programs |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and staff |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructional personnel | \$ | 5,165,888 | \$ | 222,907 | \$ | \$ - | \$ | 5,388,795 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,388,795 |
| Administrative staff personnel |  | 1,632,710 |  | 130,617 |  | - |  | 1,763,327 |  | 413,620 |  | 2,176,947 |
| Noninstructional personnel |  | 68,983 |  | - |  | 211,228 |  | 280,211 |  | 922,986 |  | 1,203,197 |
| Total salaries and staff |  | 6,867,581 |  | 353,524 |  | 211,228 |  | 7,432,333 |  | 1,336,606 |  | 8,768,939 |
| Operating expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payroll taxes and fringe benefits |  | 1,420,843 |  | 73,141 |  | 43,701 |  | 1,537,685 |  | 276,532 |  | 1,814,217 |
| Retirement |  | 147,007 |  | 7,567 |  | 4,522 |  | 159,096 |  | 28,611 |  | 187,707 |
| Financial and administrative |  | 280,000 |  | 25,000 |  | - |  | 305,000 |  | 145,000 |  | 450,000 |
| Administrative |  | 56,632 |  | 2,915 |  | - |  | 59,547 |  | 12,764 |  | 72,311 |
| Marketing and recruitment |  | 35,059 |  | 1,805 |  | - |  | 36,864 |  | 7,902 |  | 44,766 |
| Insurance |  | 202,637 |  | 10,431 |  | - |  | 213,068 |  | 45,671 |  | 258,739 |
| Legal and professional |  | 218,341 |  | 11,240 |  | - |  | 229,581 |  | 277,265 |  | 506,846 |
| Repairs and maintenance |  | 213,362 |  | 10,983 |  | 6,562 |  | 230,907 |  | 41,526 |  | 272,433 |
| Equipment leasing and maintenance |  | 350,619 |  | 18,049 |  | - |  | 368,668 |  | 79,023 |  | 447,691 |
| Staff development |  | 236,151 |  | 12,156 |  | - |  | 248,307 |  | 53,224 |  | 301,531 |
| Food costs |  | - |  | - |  | 305,118 |  | 305,118 |  | - |  | 305,118 |
| Student services |  | 50,450 |  | 13,967 |  | - |  | 64,417 |  | - |  | 64,417 |
| Supplies and instructional materials |  | 267,613 |  | 13,776 |  | - |  | 281,389 |  | 60,315 |  | 341,704 |
| Telephone and Internet services |  | 90,543 |  | 4,661 |  | - |  | 95,204 |  | 20,407 |  | 115,611 |
| Utilities |  | 146,778 |  | 7,556 |  | - |  | 154,334 |  | 33,081 |  | 187,415 |
| Other expenses |  | 197,413 |  | 10,162 |  | 6,072 |  | 213,647 |  | 38,422 |  | 252,069 |
| Interest expense - facilities loans |  | 1,388,055 |  | 111,044 |  | 74,030 |  | 1,573,129 |  | 277,611 |  | 1,850,740 |
| Interest expense - equipment lease |  | 52,066 |  | 4,165 |  | 2,777 |  | 59,008 |  | 10,413 |  | 69,421 |
| Depreciation |  | 842,387 |  | 43,364 |  | 25,910 |  | 911,661 |  | 163,950 |  | 1,075,611 |
| Amortization |  | 41,795 |  | 3,344 |  | 2,229 |  | 47,368 |  | 8,359 |  | 55,727 |
| Total operating expenses |  | 6,237,751 |  | 385,326 |  | 470,921 |  | 7,093,998 |  | 1,580,076 |  | 8,674,074 |
| Total expenses |  | 13,105,332 |  | \$738,850 |  | \$682,149 |  | 14,526,331 |  | \$2,916,682 |  | \$17,443,013 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

## Statement of Cash Flows

For the year ended June 30, 2018

| Cash flows from operating activities | 2018 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Change in net assets | \$ | $(359,829)$ | \$ | 1,537,669 |
| Adjustments to reconcile change in net assets |  |  |  |  |
| to net cash provided by operating activities |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  | 1,236,809 |  | 1,075,611 |
| Amortization |  | 113,232 |  | 55,727 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts and grants receivable |  | 121,754 |  | $(259,485)$ |
| Due from government agencies |  | 1,851 |  | $(23,240)$ |
| Security deposits |  | $(29,925)$ |  | $(120,000)$ |
| Prepaid expenses |  | $(110,029)$ |  |  |
| Accounts payable |  | 2,270,984 |  | 307,130 |
| Accrued salaries and other payroll-related expenses |  | 514,920 |  | 122,114 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 1,065,899 |  | $(17,690)$ |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 4,825,666 |  | 2,677,836 |
| Cash flows from investing activities |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in restricted cash and escrow reserves |  | $(38,227,524)$ |  | $(515,139)$ |
| Acquisition of property, plant and equipment |  | $(22,217,021)$ |  | $(1,132,469)$ |
| Net cash used in investing activities |  | $(60,444,545)$ |  | $(1,647,608)$ |
| Cash flows from financing activities |  |  |  |  |
| Repayments |  | $(305,000)$ |  | $(285,000)$ |
| Proceeds from bonds issuance |  | 57,302,706 |  | - |
| Draws on line of credit |  | 445,387 |  | $(1,875)$ |
| Obligations under capital leases |  | $(322,914)$ |  | $(297,427)$ |
| Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities |  | 57,120,179 |  | $(584,302)$ |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | 1,501,300 |  | 445,926 |
| Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year |  | 1,063,517 |  | 617,591 |
| Cash and cash equivalents - end of year | \$ | 2,564,817 | \$ | 1,063,517 |
| Supplemental cash flow disclosures |  |  |  |  |
| Interest paid | \$ | 3,049,173 | \$ | $\xrightarrow{1,937,851}$ |
| Interest capitalized | \$ | 2,194,911 | \$ | 640,934 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

## Note 1 Organization

The Academy Charter School ("TACS"), a 501(c)(3) tax-exempt organization, is a public charter school located in Hempstead, New York. The School opened in February 2009 and commenced operating classes for kindergarten through second grade in September 2009, and added third through tenth grade classes in 2010 through 2018. In fiscal year 2019, TACS added the eleventh grade. The TACS charter was renewed in 2014 for an additional five years. The mission of TACS is to offer an interdisciplinary curriculum in a technology-rich environment that challenges students to explore connections across subjects and use experiential learning to bridge the gaps between theory and practice. Enrollment is open to all potential student candidates, with a preference for those residing in the immediate area.

Effective December 2017, The Academy Charter School - Uniondale location received its charter approval from The State University of New York Charter School Institute. In September 2018, The Academy Charter School - Uniondale began to operate classes from kindergarten to second grade.

From hereon in, The Academy Charter School, Hempstead location, is referred to as "The Academy - Hempstead" and The Academy Charter School - Uniondale is referred to as "The Academy - Uniondale". Collectively, The Academy - Hempstead and The Academy Uniondale will be referred to as "The School".

## Note 2 Summary of significant accounting policies

Basis of presentation and use of estimates. The financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"), which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Reclassifications. Certain accounts relating to the prior year have been reclassified to conform to the current year's presentation. The reclassifications had no effect on 2017 net assets.

Net asset presentation. The classification of the School's net assets and its support, revenue and expenses is based on the existence or absence of donor-imposed restrictions. It requires that the amounts for each of three classes of net assets, permanently restricted, temporarily restricted, and unrestricted, be displayed in a statement of financial position, and that the amounts of change in each of those classes of net assets be displayed in a statement of activities.

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Note 2 Summary of significant accounting policies - (continued)
These classes are defined as follows:
Permanently restricted - Net assets resulting from contributions and other inflows of assets whose use by School is limited by donor-imposed stipulations that neither expire by passage of time nor can be fulfilled or otherwise removed by actions of the School. The School currently does not possess any permanently restricted net assets.

Temporarily restricted - Net assets resulting from contributions and other inflows of assets whose use by the School is limited by donor-imposed stipulations that either expire by passage of time or can be fulfilled and removed by actions of the School pursuant to those stipulations. When such stipulations end or are fulfilled, such temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified to unrestricted net assets and reported in the statement of activities. The School has no temporarily restricted net assets at June 30, 2018.

Unrestricted - the part of net assets that is neither permanently nor temporarily restricted by donor-imposed stipulations.

Cash and cash equivalents. The School considers all short-term, highly liquid investments, such as money market funds, to be cash equivalents.

Revenue recognition. The School recognizes revenue from the state and local governments based on the School's charter status and the number of students enrolled. Such revenue is recorded when services are performed, in accordance with the charter agreement. The New York State Department of Education mandates the rate per pupil. Such revenue is recognized ratably over the related school year in which it is earned.

Grants and contracts revenue is recognized when qualifying expenditures are incurred and/or services are provided to the students during the applicable school year. Funds received in advance or any unspent funds for which qualifying expenditures have not been incurred are recorded as refundable advances. Any unspent amounts might be returned to the granting agency or the granting agency can approve that those amounts be applied to a future grant period.

Accounts and grants receivable. Accounts and grants receivables are recorded at net realizable value. The allowance for doubtful accounts is the School's best estimate of the amount of probable credit losses in existing receivables. Management determines the allowance based on historical write-off experience and reviews its allowance for doubtful accounts periodically. Past due balances are reviewed individually for collectability. Allowances recorded at June 30, 2018 and 2017 are $\$ 293,598$ and $\$ 81,275$, respectively.

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Note 2 Summary of significant accounting policies - (continued)
Property, plant and equipment. Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost. Donated assets are capitalized at the estimated fair value at date of receipt. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred; significant improvements are capitalized. The School capitalizes additions and significant improvements in excess of $\$ 500$. Items with an acquisition cost of less than $\$ 500$ or a useful life of less than one year are expensed in the year purchased. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives of the respective asset. The estimated depreciable lives of the different classes of property are as follows:
Building
Building improvements
Furniture and fixtures
Machinery and equipment
Computer and office equipment

| Useful Life |
| ---: |
| 39 years |
| 39 years |
| 7 years |
| 3 years |
| 3 years |

Depreciation charges for computer equipment financed through capitalized lease obligations are included in depreciation expense. Depreciation for construction-in-progress will commence over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets when the assets are placed in service.

Restricted cash and escrow reserves. Restricted cash and escrow reserves relate to reserve and escrow accounts that are required to be maintained by the School in accordance with the bond indenture and charter requirements

Deferred expenses. Deferred financing costs are amortized over the terms of the bonds and are reported net of accumulated amortization as of June 30, 2018 and 2017 in bond payable - net, on the accompanying statement of financial position, pursuant to Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2015-03.

Refundable advances. Funds received in advance for which qualifying expenditures have not been incurred are reflected as refundable advances from state and local government grants in the accompanying statements of financial position.

Donated goods and services. The School receives donated services from unpaid volunteers. No amounts have been recognized in the accompanying statement of activities, since the services do not meet the specialized skill criteria for recognition under U.S. GAAP.

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Note 2 Summary of significant accounting policies - (continued)
Functional allocation of expenses. Expenses that can be directly identified with the program or supporting service to which they relate are charged accordingly. Other expenses by function have been allocated among program and supporting service classifications using bases determined by management to be reasonable. Management and general expense includes expenses that are not directly identifiable with any other specific function but provide for the overall support and direction of the School.

Income taxes. The School is tax-exempt under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) and has been classified as a publicly supported organization as described in IRC sections 509(A)(1) and 170(B)(1)(A)(II).

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require management to evaluate tax positions taken and recognize a tax liability (or asset) if the School has taken an uncertain position that more likely than not would not be sustained upon examination by taxing authorities. Management has analyzed the tax positions taken and has concluded that, as of June 30, 2018, there are no uncertain positions taken or expected to be taken that would require recognition or disclosure in the accompanying financial statements.

The School is no longer subject to income tax examination by tax authorities for years before June 30, 2014.

Subsequent events. Management has evaluated subsequent events for disclosure and/or recognition in the financial statements through October 24, 2018, the date that the financial statements were available to be issued.

## Note 3 Restricted cash and escrow reserves

Restricted cash and escrow reserve accounts as of June 30, consist of:

|  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | he Academy <br> - Uniondale | The Academy - Hempstead |  | Total |  |  |  |
| Restricted cash - contingency | \$ | 250 | \$ | 78,897 | \$ | 79,147 | \$ | 78,687 |
| Restricted cash - held by trustee |  | 8,231,990 |  | 23,128,156 |  | 31,360,146 |  | 162,272 |
| Interest reserve |  | 2,316,625 |  | 995,183 |  | 3,311,808 |  | 996,011 |
| Debt Service |  | 1,741,475 |  | 5,117,719 |  | 6,859,194 |  | 2,159,516 |
| Repairs and replacement |  | - |  | 60,407 |  | 60,407 |  | 46,692 |
| Total |  | 12,290,340 |  | 29,380,362 | \$ | 41,670,702 | \$ | 3,443,178 |

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

## Note 4 Retirement plan

The School offers a 401(k) plan for all qualifying employees. Employees are eligible for the plan immediately upon employment. Participation in the plan is voluntary. Employees can make pretax contributions up to a maximum of $100 \%$ of their annual compensation, up to IRS limits for each calendar year. The School matches an employee's contribution up to $4 \%$ of the employee's annual compensation. For the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, the School's matching contributions were $\$ 225,793$ and $\$ 187,707$. Such plan assets are held in a separate trust and are not included in the accompanying financial statements. All plan assets are held for the exclusive benefit of the plan's participants and beneficiaries.

## Note 5 Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following as of June 30,:

|  | 2018 |  |  |  |  |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The Academy - Uniondale |  | The Academy - Hempstead |  | Total |  |  |  |
| Land | \$ |  | \$ | 790,000 | \$ | 790,000 | \$ | 790,000 |
| Building |  |  |  | 17,507,416 |  | 17,507,416 |  | 17,507,416 |
| Building improvements |  |  |  | 3,955,414 |  | 3,955,414 |  | 3,941,314 |
| Furniture and fixtures |  | - |  | 2,087,480 |  | 2,087,480 |  | 2,041,110 |
| Machinery and equipment |  | - |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |  | 5,000 |
| Computer and office equipment |  | - |  | 2,311,640 |  | 2,311,640 |  | 1,675,621 |
| Construction-in-progress |  | 8,101,457 |  | 14,607,906 |  | 22,709,363 |  | 1,188,830 |
|  |  | 8,101,457 |  | 41,264,856 |  | 49,366,313 |  | 27,149,291 |
| Less, accumulated depreciation |  | - |  | $(5,768,341)$ |  | $(5,768,341)$ |  | (4,531,531) |
| Total | \$ | 8,101,457 | \$ | 35,496,515 | \$ | 43,597,972 | \$ | 22,617,760 |

## Note 6 Accrued salary and other payroll expenses

Accrued payroll and benefits consist of amounts earned by the staff during the school year, but paid over the summer months. As of June 30, 2018 and 2017, accrued payroll amounted to $\$ 1,243,136$ and $\$ 1,047,789$, respectively. Also included are other payroll accrual of $\$ 428,236$ and $\$ 108,663$ as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

## Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2018

## Note 7 Bonds payable

On March 23, 2011, The Town of Hempstead Local Development Corporation provided financing through the issuance of $\$ 10,505,000$ in Tax-Exempt Education Revenue Bonds (the "Series 2011A Bonds"), bearing interest at $8.25 \%$ per annum, with principal due at varying amounts annually through maturity on February 1, 2041, as well as $\$ 235,000$ in Taxable Education Revenue Bonds (the "Series 2011B Bonds"), bearing interest at 8\% per annum. The Series 2011B Bonds were repaid fully on February 1, 2014. The proceeds of the bonds were used to purchase and renovate a two-story building at Hempstead, New York, to be used as classroom, cafeteria, kitchen, and administration space for The Academy - Hempstead.

On December 23, 2013, The Town of Hempstead Local Development Corporation provided financing through the issuance of $\$ 12,970,000$ in Tax-Exempt Education Revenue Bonds (the "Series 2013A Bonds"), bearing interest at $7.65 \%$ per annum, with principal due at varying amounts annually through maturity on February 1, 2044, as well as \$545,000 in Taxable Education Revenue Bonds (the "Series 2013B Bonds"), bearing interest rate at $7.25 \%$ per annum, with principal due at varying amounts annually through maturity on February 1, 2019. The proceeds of the bonds were used to purchase and renovate a four-story building at Hempstead, New York, to be used as classroom and administration space for The Academy Hempstead.

On August 10, 2017, The Town of Hempstead Local Development Corporation provided financing through the issuance of $\$ 35,900,000$ in Tax-Exempt Education Revenue Bonds (the "Series 2017A Bonds"), bearing interest from $5.45 \%$ to $6.24 \%$ per annum, with principal due at varying amounts annually through maturity on February 1, 2047, as well as $\$ 2,685,000$ in Taxable Education Revenue Bonds (the "Series 2017B Bonds"), bearing interest rate at $6.59 \% \%$ per annum, with principal due at varying amounts annually through maturity on February 1, 2024. The proceeds of the bonds were used for the acquisition, construction, equipment, and furnishing of an approximately 112,500 square foot building for The Academy - Hempstead.

On June 26, 2018, The Town of Hempstead Local Development Corporation provided financing through the issuance of $\$ 19,520,000$ in Tax-Exempt Education Revenue Bonds (the "Series 2018A Bonds"), bearing interest from $6.47 \%$ to $7.24 \%$ per annum, with principal due at varying amounts annually through maturity on February 1, 2048, as well as $\$ 1,945,000$ in Taxable Education Revenue Bonds (the "Series 2018B Bonds"), bearing interest rate at $7.24 \% \%$ per annum, with principal due at varying amounts annually through maturity on February 1, 2027. The proceeds of the bonds were used for the acquisition and renovation of building for The Academy - Uniondale.

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Notes to Financial Statements
June 30, 2018

Note 7 Bonds payable - (continued)
Future minimum principal payments for the next five years and in the aggregate thereafter are as follow:

| June 30, | The Academy - Uniondale |  | The Academy - Hempstead |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | \$ | - | \$ | 335,000 | \$ | 335,000 |
| 2020 |  | - |  | 910,000 |  | 910,000 |
| 2021 |  | 100,000 |  | 975,000 |  | 1,075,000 |
| 2022 |  | 295,000 |  | 1,045,000 |  | 1,340,000 |
| 2023 |  | 315,000 |  | 1,125,000 |  | 1,440,000 |
| Thereafter |  | 20,755,000 |  | 57,245,000 |  | 78,000,000 |
| Unamortized financing charge |  | (1,118,859) |  | (2,921,635 ) |  | (4,040,494 ) |
| Total | \$ | 20,346,141 | \$ | 58,713,365 | \$ | 79,059,506 |
| Bonds payable - current | \$ | - ${ }^{-}$ | \$ | 335,000 | \$ | 335,000 |
| Bonds payable - long term |  | 20,346,141 |  | 58,378,365 |  | 78,724,506 |
| Total bonds payable | \$ | 20,346,141 | \$ | 58,713,365 |  | 79,059,506 |

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL <br> Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2018

## Note 7 Bonds payable -- (continued)

Pursuant to the loan agreements, The Academy - Hempstead is required to comply with various debt covenants. The minimum requirement and actual results as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018 for each of the debt covenants are as follows:


## The days cash on hand is calculated as follows:

Total expenses
Less: Depreciation and amortization
Net expenses
Days
Cash used per day
Cash at year end
Cash used per day
\$ 21,986,449
(1,350,041)
20,636,408
$\begin{array}{r}365 \\ \hline \$ \quad 56,538 \\ \hline\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lr}\$ & 2,563,853 \\ \$ & 56,538\end{array}=$ 45

The Academy - Uniondale is exempt from covenants compliance for the year ended June 30, 2018.

# THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL <br> Notes to Financial Statements 

June 30, 2018

## Note 8 Capital Leases

In August and September 2014, The Academy - Hempstead entered into five capital lease agreements for furniture and fixtures, and computer equipment. The leases, totaling $\$ 1,421,144$, require monthly payments of $\$ 30,230$, including interest at $8.25 \%$, and mature in September 2018 and August 2019.

June 30,
2019

| Amount |
| :--- |
| $\$ \quad 285,771$ |

2020
4,765

290,536
Less effective interest at 8.25\%
Present value of capital lease
Less: current portion

Noncurrent portion
(14,649)

275,887
(271,362)
$\$ \quad 4,525$

## Note 9 Support services

The School entered into an agreement with Victory Schools, Inc., d/b/a Victory Education Partners (VEP), in June 2009, amended in August 2012, to provide services related to certain education and operational aspects of the School. VEP serves as an advisor regarding functions associated with the educational services to be provided to the students at the School and consults with the School with respect to its legal and operational compliance in accordance with the terms of the charter and Charter School Act.

For the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, the service fee was $\$ 450,000$.

# THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL <br> Notes to Financial Statements 
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## Note 10 Concentrations of Credit Risk

The School maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The School has not experienced any losses in such accounts. The management of the School believes it is not exposed to significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

The School received approximately $78 \%$ and $79 \%$ of its operating revenue, which is subject to specific requirements, from per pupil funding from the Districts of Hempstead and Uniondale during the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Additionally, the School's grants receivable consists of approximately $100 \%$ and $80 \%$ from the New York State Department of Education and one organization.

## Note 11 Contingency

The School participates in a number of federal and state programs. These programs require that the School comply with certain laws, regulations, contracts, and agreements applicable to the programs in which it participates. All funds expended in connection with government grants and contracts are subject to audit by government agencies. While the ultimate liability, if any, from such audits of government grants and contracts by government agencies is presently not determinable, it should not, in the opinion of the management, have a material effect on the financial position or results of operations. Accordingly, no provision for any such liability that may result has been made in the accompanying financial statements.

The School is involved in an ongoing litigation related to the middle school construction project which took place in 2014. There is a dispute between the general contractor, DECA Development II, Inc., and Renaissance Contracting Building Corp., in regards to the balance due from a change order. Based upon management's opinion, the outcome of such matters is not expected to have material adverse effect on The School's statement of financial position or statement of activities.

THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL
Statement of Financial Position: The Academy - Hempstead
For the year ended June 30, 2018

|  | 2018 |  | 2017 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 2,563,853 | \$ | 1,063,517 |
| Accounts and grants receivable - net |  | 643,011 |  | 724,145 |
| Due from government agencies |  | 132,815 |  | 135,826 |
| Prepaid expenses |  | 10,029 |  |  |
| Total current assets |  | 3,349,708 |  | 1,923,488 |
| Property and equipment, net |  | 35,496,515 |  | 22,617,760 |
| Other assets |  |  |  |  |
| Restricted cash and escrow reserves |  | 29,380,362 |  | 3,443,178 |
| Security deposits |  | 152,420 |  | 152,420 |
| Total assets | \$ | 68,379,005 | \$ | 28,136,846 |
| $\underline{\text { Liabilities and Net Assets }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 3,547,834 | \$ | 1,408,837 |
| Accrued salaries and other payroll-related expenses |  | 1,671,372 |  | 1,156,452 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 1,819,122 |  | 769,339 |
| Bonds payable - current portion |  | 335,000 |  | 305,000 |
| Line of credit |  | 445,387 |  | - |
| Obligations under capital lease - current portion |  | 271,362 |  | 322,914 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 8,090,077 |  | 3,962,542 |
| Bonds payable (less current portion; net of unamortized deferred financing costs of $\$ 2,921,635$ in 2018 and |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations under capital lease, net of current portion |  | 4,525 |  | 275,887 |
| Total liabilities |  | 66,472,967 |  | 25,881,997 |
| Net assets - unrestricted |  |  |  |  |
| Undesignated |  | 1,826,891 |  | 2,176,162 |
| Reserve - contingency |  | 79,147 |  | 78,687 |
| Total unrestricted net assets |  | 1,906,038 |  | 2,254,849 |
| Total liabilities and unrestricted net assets | \$ | 68,379,005 | \$ | 28,136,846 |

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Statement of Activities: The Academy - Hempstead
For the year ended June 30, 2018

## Operating revenue and other support <br> Public school district

General education
Special education
Total state and local per pupil operating revenue

Grants, contracts and other support
Federal and state grants
1,146,561
848,140
Contributions
Interest and other income
Total operating revenue and other support

| 2018 | 2017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| \$ 20, 254,448 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 17,521,211 \\ 70,692 \end{array}$ |
| 20,254,448 | 17,591,903 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 1,146,561 \\ 15,600 \\ 221,029 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 848,140 \\ 513,841 \\ 26,798 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 21,637,638 | 18,980,682 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 16,797,506 \\ 737,152 \\ 1,091,265 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13,105,332 \\ 738,850 \\ 682,149 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 18,625,923 | 14,526,331 |
| 3,360,526 | 2,916,682 |
| 21,986,449 | 17,443,013 |
| $(348,811)$ | 1,537,669 |
| 2,254,849 | 717,180 |
| \$ 1,906,038 | \$ 2,254,849 |

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Statement of Financial Position: The Academy - Uniondale
For the year ended June 30, 2018

|  |  | 2018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 964 |
| Prepaid expenses |  | 100,000 |
| Total current assets |  | 100,964 |
| Property and equipment, net |  | 8,101,457 |
| Other assets |  |  |
| Restricted cash and escrow reserves |  | 12,290,340 |
| Security deposits |  | 29,925 |
| Total assets | \$ | 20,522,686 |
| $\underline{\text { Liabilities and Net Assets }}$ |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 171,447 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 16,116 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 187,563 |
| Bonds payable (net of unamortized deferred deferred |  |  |
| Total liabilities |  | 20,533,704 |
| Net assets - unrestricted |  | $(11,018)$ |
| Total liabilities and unrestricted net assets |  | 20,522,686 |

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Statement of Activities: The Academy - Uniondale
For the year ended June 30, 2018

## Expenses

Supporting Services
Management and general
Change in net assets

Unrestricted net assets - beginning of year
Unrestricted net assets - end of year
$\$ \quad(11,018)$

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

## Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the year ended June 30, 2018

| Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Program Title | Federal CFDA $\qquad$ No. | Pass-through identifying number | Federal expenditures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. Department of Education <br> Office of Elementary and Secondary Education: |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Title I Grants to Local Education Agencies | 84.010A | 0021-18-4495 | \$ | 295,072 |
| Supporting Effective Instruction State Grants (formerly Improving Teacher Quality State Grants) | 84.367A | 0147-18-4495 |  | 29,187 |
| English Language Acquisition Grants | 84.365A | 0293-18-4495 |  | 10,442 |
| Total U.S. Department of Education |  |  |  | 334,701 |
| Child Nutrition Cluster: |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Department of Agriculture: |  |  |  |  |
| Pass-through New York State Department of Education |  |  |  |  |
| School Breakfast Program | 10.553 |  |  | 148,903 |
| National School Lunch Program | 10.555 |  |  | 482,101 |
| Summer Food Service Program | 10.559 |  |  | 136,210 |
| Total Child Nutrition Cluster (U.S. Department of Agriculture) |  |  |  | 767,214 |
| Total Expenditures of Federal Awards |  |  | \$ | 1,101,915 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards
For the year ended June 30, 2018

## Note 1 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the "Schedule") includes the federal award activity of The Academy Charter School for the year ended June 30, 2018. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards ("Uniform Guidance"). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of The Academy Charter School, it is not intended to, and does not, present the financial position, changes in net position or cash flows of The Academy Charter School.

## Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented using the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards. The amounts reported as expenditures in this Schedule may differ from certain financial reports submitted to federal funding agencies, due to those reports being submitted on either the cash or modified cash basis of accounting.

## Note 3 Indirect Cost Rate

The Academy Charter School has elected not to use the $10 \%$ de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.
accountants and advisors
40 Wall Street, 32nd Floor
New York, NY 10005
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# Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards 

To the Board of Trustees of The Academy Charter School Hempstead, New York

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of The Academy Charter School, which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2018, and the related statements of activities, functional expenses and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 24, 2018.

## Internal control over financial reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered The Academy Charter School's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of The Academy Charter School's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of The Academy Charter School's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

## Compliance and other matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether The Academy Charter School's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

## Purpose of this report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the organization's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the organization's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.


New York, New York
October 24, 2018
accountants and advisors

# Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance 

To the Board of Directors of<br>The Academy Charter School<br>Hempstead, New York

## Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited The Academy Charter School's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of The Academy Charter School's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2018. The Academy Charter School's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of The Academy Charter School's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about The Academy Charter School's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of The Academy Charter School's compliance.

## Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, The Academy Charter School complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2018.

## Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of The Academy Charter School is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered The Academy Charter School's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of The Academy Charter School's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.


New York, New York
October 24, 2018

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

## Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the year ended June 30, 2018

## Schedule I - Summary of auditors' results

## Financial statements

Type of report the auditors issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP:

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified?
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not Considered to be material weakness(es)?

Unmodified opinion

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?

| _yes | X no <br> yes |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\underline{X}$ none reported |

## Federal awards

Internal control over major federal programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified?
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified that are not Considered to be material weakness(es)?
__yes X no
__yes X none reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major federal programs:

Unmodified opinion
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Uniform Guidance under Section 2CFR200.516(a)

$$
\text { yes } \quad \mathrm{X} \text { no }
$$

Identification of major federal programs:
$\frac{\text { CFDA number(s) }}{10 .} \quad \frac{\text { Name of Federal Program or Cluster }}{\text { Child Nutrition Cluster }}$

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between
Type A and Type B programs:
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?
\$750,000
__yes X no

THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
For the year ended June 30, 2018

## Section II - Financial Statement Findings

There are no financial statement findings and questioned costs noted that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards

## Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

There are no federal award findings and questioned costs that were noted.

## THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL

## Corrective Action Plan

For the year ended June 30, 2018

Corrective action plan is not applicable for the year ended June 30, 2018

THE ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings
For the year ended June 30, 2018

There were no prior audit findings

Transmittal Form
Annual Financial Statement Audit Report
for SUNY Authorized Charter Schools

| Charter School Name: | Academy Charter School, The |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $2017-18$ |
| Audit Period: | $2016-17$ |
| Prior Period: | Thursday, November 01, 2018 |
|  | Thursday, November 01, 2018 |
| Report Due Date: |  |
| Date Submitted: | Paul J. Augello, Jr. CPA |
|  | School Fiscal Contact Name: |
| School Fiscal Contact Email: | Paugello@weboosted.com |
| School Fiscal Contact Phone: | $212-786-7913$ |
| School Audit Firm Name: | Ncheng, LLP |
| School Audit Contact Name: | Miaoling Lin |
| School Audit Contact Email: | Mlin@ncheng.com |
| School Audit Contact Phone: | $212-785-0100$ |

Please submit the following items to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute via Epicenter:

Online Portal: https://my.epicenternow.org/Home.aspx

## Required Items:

1) The independent auditor's report on financial statements and notes;
2) Excel template file with appropriate sheets completed: Financial Position, Statement of Activities, Cash Flow and Functional Expenses worksheets; and
3) Reports on internal controls over financial reporting and on compliance.

The additional items listed below should be included if applicable. Please explain the reason(s) if the items are not included. Examples might include: a written management letter was not issued; the school did not expend federal funds in excess of the Single Audit Threshold of \$750,000; the management letter response will be submitted by the following date (should be no later than 30 days from the submission of the report); etc. If not applicable enter "N/A."
And, if applicable:

| Item | If not included, state the reason(s) below. Or, if not applicable fill in"N/A" |
| :--- | :--- |
| Management Letter | N/A |
| Management Letter Response | N/A |
| Form 990; or Extension Form <br> 8868 | Extension |
| Federal Single Audit/ <br> Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Part <br> 200, Subpart F | N/A |
| Corrective Action Plan | N/A |

## Please also submit the following items to the New York State Education Department via online

portal:
Online Portal: https://nysed-cso-reports.myreviewroom.com/

## Required Items:

1) This transmital form (a copy of the Excel file containing the four schedules Does NOT need to be includer
2) Audited Financial Report;

And, if applicable:
3) Management Letter and Response;
4) Federal Single Audit/Uniform Guidance in 2 CFR Part 200, Subpart F.

## ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE

## Statement of Financial Position

as of June 30, 2018

| ASSETS | 2017-18 |  | 2016-17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CURRENT ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 2,564,817 | \$ | 1,063,517 |
| Grants and contracts receivable |  | 602,391 |  | 724,145 |
| Accounts receivables |  | 132,815 |  | 134,666 |
| Prepaid expenses |  | 110,029 |  | - |
| Contributions and other receivables |  | = |  | - |
| TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS |  | 3,410,052 |  | 1,922,328 |
| PROPERTY, BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT, net |  | 43,597,972 |  | 22,617,760 |
| OTHER ASSETS |  | 41,853,047 |  | 3,595,598 |
| TOTAL ASSETS |  | 88,861,071 |  | 28,135,686 |
| LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ | 5,513,899 | \$ | 2,177,016 |
| Accrued payroll and benefits |  | 1,671,372 |  | 1,156,452 |
| Deferred Revenue |  | - |  | - |
| Current maturities of long-term debt |  | 335,000 |  | 305,000 |
| Short Term Debt - Bonds, Notes Payable |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | 716,749 |  | 322,914 |
| TOTAL CURRENT |  | 8,237,020 |  | 3,961,382 |
| LONG-TERM LIABILITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Rent |  | - |  | - |
| All other long-term debt and notes payable, net current maturities |  | 78,729,031 |  | 21,919,455 |
| LIABILITIES |  | 78,729,031 |  | 21,919,455 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES |  | 86,966,051 |  | 25,880,837 |
| NET ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Unrestricted |  | 1,816,123 |  | 2,176,162 |
| Temporarily restricted |  | 78,897 |  | 78,687 |
| TOTAL NET ASSETS |  | 1,895,020 |  | 2,254,849 |

CK - Should be zero

| ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE <br> Statement of Activities as of June 30, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 |  |  |  |  |  | 2016-17 |  |
|  | Unrestricted |  | Temporarily Restricted |  | Total |  | Total |  |
| REVENUE, GAINS AND OTHER SUPPORT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Public School District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Resident Student Enrollment | \$ | 20,254,448 | \$ | - | \$ | 20,254,448 | \$ | 17,521,211 |
| Students with disabilities |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 70,692 |
| Grants and Contracts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State and local |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Federal - Title and IDEA |  | 1,146,561 |  | - |  | 1,146,561 |  | 848,140 |
| Federal - Other |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| NYC DoE Rental Assistance |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Food Service/Child Nutrition Program |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| TOTAL REVENUE, GAINS AND OTHER SUPPORT |  | 21,401,009 |  | - |  | 21,401,009 |  | 18,440,043 |
| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Program Services |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regular Education | \$ | 16,797,506 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,797,506 | \$ | 13,105,332 |
| Special Education |  | 737,152 |  | - |  | 737,152 |  | 738,850 |
| Other Programs |  | 1,091,265 |  | - |  | 1,091,265 |  | 682,149 |
| Total Program Services |  | 18,625,923 |  | - |  | 18,625,923 |  | 14,526,331 |
| Management and general |  | 3,371,544 |  | - |  | 3,371,544 |  | 2,916,682 |
| Fundraising |  |  |  | - |  | = |  | 17,43,013 |
| TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES |  | 21,997,467 |  | - |  | 21,997,467 |  | 17,443,013 |
| SURPLUS / (DEFICIT) FROM SCHOOL OPERATIONS |  | $(596,458)$ |  | - |  | $(596,458)$ |  | 997,030 |
| SUPPORT AND OTHER REVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Foundations | \$ | 15,600 | \$ | - | \$ | 15,600 | \$ | 513,841 |
| Individuals |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Corporations |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Fundraising |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Interest income |  | 221,029 |  | - |  | 221,029 |  | 26,798 |
| Miscellaneous income |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Net assets released from restriction |  | $=$ |  | $=$ |  | $=$ |  | $=$ |
| TOTAL SUPPORT AND OTHER REVENUE |  | 236,629 |  | - |  | 236,629 |  | 540,639 |


| CHANGE IN NET ASSETS | $(359,829)$ |  |  | - |  | $(359,829)$ | 1,537,669 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NET ASSETS BEGINNING OF YEAR |  | 2,254,849 |  | - |  | 2,254,849 |  | 717,180 |
| PRIOR YEAR/PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS |  | $=$ |  | - |  | $=$ |  | - |
| NET ASSETS END OF YEAR | \$ | 1,895,020 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,895,020 | \$ | 2,254,849 |


| ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE <br> Statement of Cash Flows as of June 30, 2018 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2017-18 |  | 2016-17 |  |
| CASH FLOWS - OPERATING ACTIVITIES |  |  |  |  |
| Increase (decrease) in net assets | \$ | $(359,829)$ | \$ | 1,537,669 |
| Revenues from School Districts |  | - |  | - |
| Accounts Receivable |  | 121,754 |  | $(259,485)$ |
| Due from School Districts |  | - |  | - |
| Depreciation |  | 1,350,041 |  | 1,131,337 |
| Grants Receivable |  | 1,851 |  | $(23,240)$ |
| Due from NYS |  | - |  | - |
| Grant revenues |  | - |  | - |
| Prepaid Expenses |  | $(110,029)$ |  | - |
| Accounts Payable |  | 2,270,984 |  | 307,131 |
| Accrued Expenses |  | 514,920 |  | 122,114 |
| Accrued Liabilities |  | 1,065,899 |  | $(17,690)$ |
| Contributions and fund-raising activities |  | - |  | - |
| Miscellaneous sources |  | - |  | - |
| Deferred Revenue |  | - |  | - |
| Interest payments |  | - |  | - |
| Other |  | $(29,925)$ |  | $(120,000)$ |
| Other |  | - |  | - |
| NET CASH PROVIDED FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | \$ | 4,825,666 | \$ | 2,677,836 |
| CASH FLOWS - INVESTING ACTIVITIES | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Purchase of equipment |  | (22,217,021) |  | $(1,132,469)$ |
| Other |  | $(38,227,524)$ |  | $(515,139)$ |
| NET CASH PROVIDED FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | \$ | $(60,444,545)$ | \$ | $(1,647,608)$ |
| CASH FLOWS - FINANCING ACTIVITIES | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Principal payments on long-term debt |  | $(305,000)$ |  | $(285,000)$ |
| Other |  | 57,425,179 |  | $(299,302)$ |
| NET CASH PROVIDED FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | \$ | 57,120,179 | \$ | $(584,302)$ |
| NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | \$ | 1,501,300 | \$ | 445,926 |
| Cash at beginning of year |  | 1,063,517 |  | 617,591 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF YEAR | \$ | 2,564,817 | \$ | 1,063,517 |

## ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE Statement of Functional Expenses as of June 30, 2018

|  | 2017-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Program Services |  |  |  |  |  |  | Supporting Services |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Regular Education | Special Education |  | Other Education |  | Total |  | Fund-raising |  | Management and General |  | Total |  |
| Personnel Services Costs | \$ | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Administrative Staff Personnel 42.00 | 2,346,859 |  | 187,749 |  | - |  | 2,534,608 |  | - |  | 594,538 |  | 594,538 |
| Instructional Personnel 107.00 | 6,442,804 |  | 149,484 |  | - |  | 6,592,288 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Non-Instructional Personnel 46.00 | 69,059 |  | - |  | 385,404 |  | 454,463 |  | - |  | 1,101,415 |  | 1,101,415 |
| Total Salaries and Staff 195.00 | 8,858,722 |  | 337,233 |  | 385,404 |  | 9,581,359 |  | - |  | 1,695,953 |  | 1,695,953 |
| Fringe Benefits \& Payroll Taxes | 1,830,180 |  | 69,671 |  | 79,623 |  | 1,979,474 |  | - |  | 318,706 |  | 318,706 |
| Retirement | 181,281 |  | 6,901 |  | 7,887 |  | 196,069 |  | - |  | 29,724 |  | 29,724 |
| Management Company Fees | 280,000 |  | 25,000 |  | - |  | 305,000 |  | - |  | 145,000 |  | 145,000 |
| Legal Service | 100,892 |  | 3,841 |  | - |  | 104,733 |  | - |  | 117,125 |  | 117,125 |
| Accounting / Audit Services | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting Services | 88,209 |  | 3,358 |  | - |  | 91,567 |  | - |  | 19,905 |  | 19,905 |
| Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interes | 1,733,586 |  | 138,687 |  | 92,458 |  | 1,964,731 |  | - |  | 346,717 |  | 346,717 |
| Repairs \& Maintenance | 411,529 |  | 15,666 |  | 17,904 |  | 445,099 |  | - |  | 67,476 |  | 67,476 |
| Insurance | 308,221 |  | 11,733 |  | - |  | 319,954 |  | - |  | 63,946 |  | 63,946 |
| Utilities | 183,524 |  | 6,986 |  | - |  | 190,510 |  | - |  | 38,126 |  | 38,126 |
| Supplies / Materials | 306,646 |  | 11,673 |  | - |  | 318,319 |  | - |  | 63,620 |  | 63,620 |
| Equipment / Furnishings | 497,342 |  | 18,933 |  | - |  | 516,275 |  | - |  | 103,183 |  | 103,183 |
| Staff Development | 494,199 |  | 18,813 |  | - |  | 513,012 |  | - |  | 102,531 |  | 102,531 |
| Marketing / Recruitment | 39,788 |  | 1,514 |  | - |  | 41,302 |  | - |  | 10,255 |  | 10,255 |
| Technology | 120,774 |  | 4,597 |  | - |  | 125,371 |  | - |  | 25,384 |  | 25,384 |
| Food Service | - |  | - |  | 449,365 |  | 449,365 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Student Services | 34,285 |  | 8,418 |  | - |  | 42,703 |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Office Expense | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| Depreciation | 1,077,917 |  | 44,595 |  | 47,730 |  | 1,170,242 |  | - |  | 179,799 |  | 179,799 |
| OTHER | 250,411 |  | 9,533 |  | 10,894 |  | 270,838 |  | $=$ |  | 44,094 |  | 44,094 |
| Total Expenses | \$ 16,797,506 | \$ | 737,152 | \$ | 1,091,265 | \$ | 18,625,923 | \$ | - | \$ | 3,371,544 | \$ | 3,371,544 |


|  |  | 2016-17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |
| \$ |  | \$ |  |
|  | 3,129,146 |  | 2,176,947 |
|  | 6,592,288 |  | 5,388,795 |
|  | 1,555,878 |  | 1,203,197 |
|  | 11,277,312 |  | 8,768,939 |
|  | 2,298,180 |  | 1,814,217 |
|  | 225,793 |  | 187,707 |
|  | 450,000 |  | 450,000 |
|  | 221,858 |  | 506,846 |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | 111,472 |  | 72,311 |
|  | 2,311,448 |  | 1,920,161 |
|  | 512,575 |  | 272,433 |
|  | 383,900 |  | 258,739 |
|  | 228,636 |  | 187,415 |
|  | 381,939 |  | 341,704 |
|  | 619,458 |  | 447,691 |
|  | 615,543 |  | 301,531 |
|  | 51,557 |  | 44,766 |
|  | 150,755 |  | 115,611 |
|  | 449,365 |  | 305,118 |
|  | 42,703 |  | 64,417 |
|  | - |  | - |
|  | 1,350,041 |  | 1,131,338 |
|  | 314,932 |  | 252,069 |
| \$ | 21,997,467 | \$ | 17,443,013 |

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR ANNUAL BUDGET/QUARTERLY REPORT

|  | TEMPLATE TABS |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-GRAY tab contains the Instructions |  |
| Instructions | Provides description of tabs and input requirements. |
| Funding by District | Charter School Tuition Rates |
| 2- BLUE tabs require input of information |  |
| 1.) Name of School | >Select school name from list. <br> >Enter contact information. |
| 2.) Enrollment | Enter enrollment information for Annual Budget (\& Revisions) and Quarterly Actuals. Includes: <br> >Enrollment by Grade <br> >Enrollment by District |
| 3.) Staffing Plan | Enter staffing plan information for Annual Budget (\& Revisions) and Quarterly Actuals. Includes: <br> >Full Time Equivalent (FTE), by Position Category, By Quarter |
| 4.) Yearly Budget | Enter Yearly Budget information. Includes: <br> >"Pior Year" column may be completed based upon preliminary data, and adjusted with Annual Audited data when the Quarter 2 Actuals are being submitted. (Note: Quarterly Revenue allocation may be set) >Budgeted Enrollment data and Per Pupil Revenue for the current year are populated based upon input on tab "2.) Enrollment." <br> >Budgeted FTE for current year is populated based upon input on tab <br> "3.) Staffing Plan." <br> >All other sources of revenue <br> >All expenses <br> >Budget Revisions, as necessary and approved by the school's Board of Directors, should be submitted when submitting Quarterly |
| 5.) Balance Sheet | Enter Balance Sheet information for EdCorps. Separate schools merged into a primary EdCorp should NOT use this tab. <br> >"Pior Year" column may be completed based upon preliminary data, and adjusted with Annual Audited data when the Quarter 2 Actuals are being submitted. |


| 6.) Quarterly Report | Enter Actual Quarterly Report information . Includes: <br> $>$ Actual Enrollment data and Per Pupil Revenue for the current year <br> are populated based upon input on tab "2.) Enrollment." <br> $>$ >Actual FTE for current year is populated based upon input on tab <br> "3.) Staffing Plan." <br> $>$ All other sources of revenue <br> >All expenses |
| :--- | :--- |
| 7.) Annual Report Requirement | Complete when submitting Actual Quarter 4. |

## CELL COLORS \& GUIDANCE COMMENTS

= Enter information into the light BLUE shaded cells.
= Cells labeled in ORANGE containe guidance regarding the input of information.
= Cells containing RED triangles in the upper right corner contain "guidance comments" on that particular line item Please "mouse-over" the triangle to reveal each comment.

Charter Funding Alphabetical By NYS School District

* (Sum of Charter School Basic Tuition and Supplemental Basic Tuition)

ANNUAL BUDGET \& QUARTERLY REPORT TEMPLATE

## Academy Charter School, The

SCHOOL

| Name: | Academy Charter School, The |
| :--- | :--- |


| CONTACT INFORMATION |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Contact Name: | Paul J. Augello, Jr., C.P.A |
| Contact Title: | CEO, BoostEd Finance |
| Contact Email: | Paugello@weboosted.com |
| Contact Phone: | $917-583-9330$ |

## REPORT PERIOD

| Current Academic Year: | $2018-19$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Prior Academic Year: | $2017-18$ |


|  | ENROLLMENT BY GRADES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GRADES | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| INITIAL BUDGETED ENROLLMENT | 104.976 | 104.976 | 104.976 | 104.976 | 104.976 | 104.976 | 78.732 | 78.732 |

## ENROLLMENT BY DISTRICT


*NOTE: If there are NO budget revisions at the time of quarterly submittal leave the 'REVISED COMPLETELY BLANK. If budget revisions ARE made, the entire "REVISED" budget columns affected quarter(s) must be completed on tabs 2, 3 and 4.

ANNUAL BUDGET

| QUARTER 1 |  | QUARTER 2 |  | QUARTER 3 |  | QUAR' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Revised <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Original <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Revised <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Original <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Revised <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Original <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment |
| 912 |  | 912 |  | 912 |  | 912 |
| 131 |  | 131 |  | 131 |  | 131 |
| 48 |  | 48 |  | 48 |  | 48 |
| 53.756 |  | 53.756 |  | 53.756 |  | 53.756 |
| 17 |  | 17 |  | 17 |  | 17 |
| 16 |  | 16 |  | 16 |  | 16 |
| 14 |  | 13.5 |  | 14 |  | 14 |
| 13.5 |  | 14 |  | 13.5 |  | 13.5 |
| 10.571 |  | 4.043 |  | 10.571 |  | 10.571 |
| 14 |  | 4.58 |  | 4.043 |  | 14 |
| 4.043 |  | 3.5 |  | 4.58 |  | 4.043 |
| 4.58 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.5 |  | 4.58 |
| 3.5 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.5 |
| 3.5 |  | 3.4 |  | 3.5 |  | 3.5 |
| 3.5 |  | 1.8 |  | 3.4 |  | 3.5 |
| 3.4 |  | 1.8 |  | 1.8 |  | 3.4 |
| 1.8 |  |  |  | 1.8 |  | 1.8 |
| 1.8 |  |  |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.8 |
| 1.75 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\longrightarrow$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ $\longrightarrow$


| PRIOR YEAR |
| :---: |
| $2017-18$ |
| Actual <br> Enrollment |


| ANNUAL BUDGET |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QUARTER 1 | QUARTER 2 |  | QUARTER 3 | QUAR' |  |  |
| Original | Revised <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Original <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Revised <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Original <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Revised <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Original <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment |
| Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\square$ |
| :--- |
| $\square$ |
| $\square$ |
| $\square$ |
| $\square$ |
|  |
|  |



|  | ACTUAL ENROLLMENT BY QUARTER |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TER 4 | QUARTER 1 | QUARTER 2 | QUARTER 3 | QUARTER 4 |
| Revised <br> Budgeted <br> Enrollment | Actual Enrollment | Actual Enrollment | Actual Enrollment | Actual Enrollment |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

PLAN - FULL TIME EQUIVALI
STAFFING PLAN - FULL TIME EQUIVALENT ("FTE")

| *NOTE: Enter the number of FTE positions in the "blue" cells. | *NOTE: Enter the number of FTE positions in the "blue" cells. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL FTE | ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL FTE |
| Executive Management | Executive Management |
| Instructional Management | Instructional Management |
| Deans, Directors \& Coordinators | Deans, Directors \& Coordinators |
| CFO / Director of Finance | CFO / Director of Finance |
| Operation / Business Manager | Operation / Business Manager |
| Administrative Staff | Administrative Staff |
| TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF | TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF |


*NOTE: If there are NO budget revisions at the time of quarterly submittal leave the 'REVISED' Column(s) COMPLETEL Y BLANK.

| Q1 |  | ANNUAL BUDGETED FTE |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q2 |  | Q3 |  | Q4 |  |  |  |
| Original | Revised | Original | Revised | Original | Revised | Original | Revised |
| 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |
| 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 |  |
| 12.0 |  | 12.0 |  | 12.0 |  | 12.0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |
| 15.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 15.0 |  | 15.0 |  |
| 41.0 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 0.0 | 41.0 | 0.0 |


| Q1 |  | ANNUAL BUDGETED FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Q3 |  | Q4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original | Revised | Original | Revised | Original | Revised | Original | Revised |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71.0 |  | 71.0 |  | 71.0 |  | 71.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24.0 |  | 24.0 |  | 24.0 |  | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17.0 |  | 17.0 |  | 17.0 |  | 17.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.5 |  | 5.5 |  | 5.5 |  | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 126.5 | 0.0 | 126.5 | 0.0 | 126.5 | 0.0 | 126.5 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTENON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTE |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| Nurse | Nurse |
| Librarian | Librarian |
| Custodian | Custodian |
| Security | Security |
| Other | Other |
| TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL | TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL |
| TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE FTE |  |


| PRIOR YEAR | ANNUAL BUDGETED FTE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-18 | Q1 |  | Q2 |  | Q3 |  | Q4 |  |
| ACTUAL | Original | Revised | Original | Revised | Original | Revised | Original | Revised |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.0 |  | 17.0 |  | 17.0 |  | 17.0 |  |
|  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  | 18.0 |  |
|  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 |  | 8.0 |  |
| 0.0 | 43.0 | 0.0 | 43.0 | 0.0 | 43.0 | 0.0 | 43.0 | 0.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0 | 210.5 | 0.0 | 210.5 | 0.0 | 210.5 | 0.0 | 210.5 | 0.0 |

## ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL,

2018-19

## PLAN - FULL TIME EQUIVAL

*NOTE: Enter the number of FTE $\mid$ Id be input. $\quad{ }^{*}$ NOTE: State the assumptions that are being positions in the "blue" cells.

| ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL FTE |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Q4 |
|  | Actual |
| Executive Management |  |
| Instructional Management |  |
| Deans, Directors \& Coordinators |  |
| CFO / Director of Finance |  |
| Operation / Business Manager |  |
| Administrative Staff | 0.0 |
| TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF |  |



|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTE |
|  | Q4 |
|  | Actual |
| Teachers - Regular |  |
| Teachers - SPED |  |
| Substitute Teachers |  |
| Teaching Assistants |  |
| Specialty Teachers |  |
| Aides |  |
| Therapists \& Counselors | 0.0 |
| Other |  |
| TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL |  |



| NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL FTE |  |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | Q4 |
|  | Actual |
| Nurse |  |
| Librarian |  |
| Custodian |  |
| Security |  |
| Other | 0.0 |
| TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL |  |







|  | ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE <br> Budget / Operating Plan 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenue <br> Total Expenses <br> Net Income <br> Actual Student Enrollment | - | 6,411,219 $\mathbf{5 , 0 8 3 , 6 2 6}$ $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 7 , 5 9 3}$ $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 9}$ | - |  | 6,586,717 $\mathbf{5 , 9 8 4 , 2 7 2}$ 602,445 1,259 |  | - - - | $6,586,717$ $6,533,523$ 53,194 1,259 |
|  | Prior Year Actua 2017-18 <br> Revenue Per Pupil | 1st <br> Original <br> Budget | uarter - 7/1 <br> Revised <br> Budget | $9 / 30$ <br> Variance | Original <br> Budget | arter - 10/1 <br> Revised Budget | $12 / 31$ <br> Variance | Original Budget |
| SCHOOL OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Board Expenses |  | 6,000 |  | - | 6,000 |  | - | 6,000 |
| Classroom / Teaching Supplies \& Materials |  | 34,750 |  | - | 34,750 |  | - | 34,750 |
| Special Ed Supplies \& Materials |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Textbooks / Workbooks |  | 52,500 |  | - | 52,500 |  | - | 52,500 |
| Supplies \& Materials other |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Equipment / Furniture |  | 67,500 |  | - | 67,500 |  | - | 67,500 |
| Telephone |  | 12,250 |  | - | 12,250 |  | - | 12,250 |
| Technology |  | 26,500 |  | - | 26,500 |  | - | 26,500 |
| Student Testing \& Assessment |  | 4,200 |  | - | 12,600 |  | - | 12,600 |
| Field Trips |  | 4,000 |  | - | 12,000 |  | - | 12,000 |
| Transportation (student) |  | 1,000 |  | - | 3,000 |  | - | 3,000 |
| Student Services - other |  | 11,000 |  | - | 33,000 |  | - | 33,000 |
| Office Expense |  | 31,500 |  | - | 31,500 |  | - | 31,500 |
| Staff Development |  | 91,250 |  | - | 91,250 |  | - | 91,250 |
| Staff Recruitment |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Student Recruitment / Marketing |  | 10,500 |  | - | 10,500 |  | - | 10,500 |
| School Meals / Lunch |  | 7,500 |  | - | 7,500 |  | - | 7,500 |
| Travel (Staff) |  | 5,000 |  | - | 5,000 |  | - | 5,000 |
| Fundraising |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Other |  | 28,750 |  | - | 28,750 |  | - | 28,750 |
| TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS | - | 394,200 | - | - | 434,600 | - | - | 434,600 |
| FACILITY OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance |  | 50,000 |  | - | 50,000 |  | - | 50,000 |
| Janitorial |  | 24,500 |  | - | 24,500 |  | - | 24,500 |
| Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest |  | 578,464 |  | - | 578,464 |  | - | 578,464 |
| Repairs \& Maintenance |  | 80,000 |  | - | 80,000 |  | - | 80,000 |
| Equipment / Furniture |  | 5,000 |  | - | 5,000 |  | - | 5,000 |
| Security |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Utilities |  | 65,000 |  | - | 65,000 |  | - | 65,000 |
| TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE | - | 802,964 | - | - | 802,964 | - | - | 802,964 |
| DEPRECIATION \& AMORTIZATION |  |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| RESERVES / CONTINGENCY |  | 340,002 |  | - | 340,002 |  | - | 340,002 |
| DEFERRED RENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE <br> Budget / Operating Plan 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenue <br> Total Expenses <br> Net Income <br> Actual Student Enrollment | - | 6,411,219 $\mathbf{5 , 0 8 3 , 6 2 6}$ $\mathbf{1 , 3 2 7 , 5 9 3}$ $\mathbf{1 , 2 5 9}$ | - <br> - | - | $6,586,717$ $5,984,272$ 602,445 1,259 | - |  | $\begin{array}{r} 6,586,717 \\ 6,533,350 \\ 53,194 \\ 1,259 \end{array}$ |
|  | Prior Year Actua 2017-18 <br> Revenue Per Pupil | 1st Original Budget | arter - 7/1 <br> Revised <br> Budget | $9 / 30$ <br> Variance | Original <br> Budget | arter - 10/1 <br> Revised <br> Budget | $12 / 31$ <br> Variance | Original Budget |
| ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries* Number of Districts: | - | 20 | - | - | 20 | - | - | 20 |
| HEMPSTEAD UFSD | - | 912 | - | - | 912 | - | - | 912 |
| UNIONDALE UFSD | - | 131 | - | - | 131 | - | - | 131 |
| ROOSEVELT UFSD | - | 48 | - | - | 48 | - | - | 48 |
| NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE | - | 54 | - | - | 54 | - | - | 54 |
| WESTBURY UFSD | - | 17 | - | - | 17 | - | - | 17 |
| BALDWIN UFSD | - | 16 | - | - | 16 | - | - | 16 |
| AMITYVILLE UFSD | - | 14 | - | - | 14 | - | - | 14 |
| MALVERNE UFSD | - | 14 | - | - | 14 | - | - | 14 |
| FREEPORT UFSD | - | 11 | - | - | 11 | - | - | 11 |
| SEWANHAKA CENTRAL HS DISTRICT | - | 14 | - | - | 14 | - | - | 14 |
| LONG BEACH CITY SD | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| WYANDANCH UFSD | - | 5 | - | - | 5 | - | - | 5 |
| VALLEY STREAM 30 UFSD | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| WEST HEMPSTEAD UFSD | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| SOUTH COUNTRY CSD | - | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 4 |
| ALL OTHER School Districts: ( Weighted Avg ) | - | 11 | - | - | 11 | - | - | 11 |
| TOTAL ENROLLMENT | $=$ | 1,259 | $=$ | $=$ | 1,259 | $=$ | = | 1,259 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REVENUE PER PUPIL | $=$ | 5,090 | $=$ | $=$ | 5,230 | $=$ | $=$ | 5,230 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXPENSES PER PUPIL | - | 4,036 | - | - | 4,751 | - | - | 5,187 |


| Total Revenue | - - |  | 6,586,717 | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Expenses Net Income Actual Student Enrollment | - |  | $\begin{gathered} 7,333,501 \\ (746,784) \\ 1,259 \end{gathered}$ | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - - |  |
|  | Ruarter-1/1-3/31 |  | 4th Quarter - 4/1-6/30 |  |  |
|  | Revised <br> Budget | Variance | Original <br> Budget | Revised Budget | Variance |
| REVENUE | ? the 'REVISED' Column(s) COMPLETELY BLANK. fted quarter(s) must be completed on tabs 2, 3 and 4. |  |  |  |  |
| REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES <br> 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Pupil Revenue <br> Per Pupil Rate | 25.0\% |  |  |  | 25.0\% 25.0\% |
| HEMPSTEAD UFSD 19,452 | - | - | 4,435,056 | - | - |
| UNIONDALE UFSD $\quad 21,461$ | - | - | 702,848 | - | - |
| ROOSEVELT UFSD | - | - | 214,596 | - | - |
| NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE 15 | - | - | 205,711 | - | - |
| WESTBURY UFSD | - | - | 85,961 | - | - |
| BALDWIN UFSD | - | - | 66,944 | - | - |
| AMITYVILLE UFSD 19 | - | - | 66,864 | - | - |
| MALVERNE UFSD | - | - | 73,339 | - | - |
| FREEPORT UFSD | - | - | 45,326 | - | - |
| SEWANHAKA CENTRAL HS DISTRICT | - | - | 48,447 | - | - |
| LONG BEACH CITY SD | - | - | 23,908 | - | - |
| WYANDANCH UFSD | - | - | 20,655 | - | - |
| VALLEY STREAM 30 UFSD | - | - | 17,578 | - | - |
| WEST HEMPSTEAD UFSD | - | - | 15,619 | - | - |
| SOUTH COUNTRY CSD | - | - | 15,248 | - | - |
| ALL OTHER School Districts: ( Weighted Avg ) 19,040 | - | - | 50,217 | - | - |
| TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average 19,336 | - | - | 6,088,315 | - | - |
| Special Education Revenue |  | - | 25,142 |  | - |
| Grants |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stimulus |  | - |  |  | - |
| DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Development) |  | - |  |  | - |
| Other |  | - | 84,105 |  | - |
| NYC DoE Rental Assistance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  | - |  |  | - |
| TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES | - | - | 6,197,562 | - | - |
| REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING |  |  |  |  |  |
| IDEA Special Needs |  | - |  |  | - |
| Title I |  | - | 83,940 |  | - |
| Title Funding - Other |  | - | 36,138 |  | - |
| School Food Service (Free Lunch) |  | - | 258,908 |  | - |
| Grants Page 18 | f 64 |  |  |  |  |






| Total Revenue <br> Total Expenses <br> Net Income <br> Actual Student Enrollment |  |  | 6,586,717 | - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | - - | 7,333,501 | - - |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} (746,784) \\ 1,259 \end{gathered}$ | - - |  |
|  |  |  |  | - | - |
|  | Ruarter-1/1-3/31 |  | 4th Quarter - 4/1-6/30 |  |  |
|  | Revised Budget | Variance | Original Budget | Revised Budget | Variance |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | $=$ | = | 7,333,501 | $=$ |  |
| NET INCOME | - | - | $(746,784)$ | - | = |




|  | ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE udget / Operating Plan2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | 26,171,370 | 26,171,370 | - | 26,171,370 | 26,171,370 | DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS |
| Total Expenses <br> Net Income | $\begin{array}{r} 24,934,922 \\ 1,236,448 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,934,922 \\ 1,236,448 \end{array}$ | - | $(24,934,922)$ $1,236,448$ | $(24,934,922)$ $1,236,448$ |  |
|  |  | Total Year |  | VARIA | ANCE |  |
|  | Original <br> Budget | Revised Budget | Variance | Original Budget vs. PY Budget | Revised Budget vs. PY Budget |  |
| Charter School Program (CSP) Planning \& Implementation | - | - | - | - - | - |  |
| Other | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Other | - | = | - | = | = |  |
| TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES | 1,343,340 | 1,343,340 | - | 1,343,340 | 1,343,340 |  |
| LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions and Donations | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Fundraising | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Erate Reimbursement | 22,823 | 22,823 | - | 22,823 | 22,823 |  |
| Earnings on Investments | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Interest Income | 500 | 500 | - | 500 | 500 |  |
| Food Service (Income from meals) | 14,460 | 14,460 | - | 14,460 | 14,460 |  |
| Text Book | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| OTHER | = | = | - | = | = |  |
| TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES | 37,783 | 37,783 | - | 37,783 | 37,783 |  |
| TOTAL REVENUE | 26,171,370 | 26,171,370 | $=$ | 20,111,510 | 20,111,510 |  |





|  | ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE Budget / Operating Plan 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | 26,171,370 | 26,171,370 |  | 26,171,370 | 26,171,370 | DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS |
| Total Expenses Net Income | $\begin{array}{r} 24,934,922 \\ 1,236,448 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,934,922 \\ 1,236,448 \end{array}$ |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered}(24,934,922) \\ 1,236,448\end{gathered}\right.$ | $\left(\begin{array}{l}(24,934,922) \\ 1,236,448\end{array}\right.$ |  |
| Actual Student Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total Year |  | VARI | ANCE |  |
|  | Original <br> Budget | Revised Budget | Variance | Original Budget vs. PY Budget | Revised Budget vs. PY Budget |  |
| TOTAL EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET INCOME | 1,236,448 | 1,236,448 | $=$ | 1,236,448 | 1,236,448 |  |





|  | ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE Budget / Operating Plan2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | 26,171,370 | 26,171,370 |  | 26,171,370 | 26,171,370 | DESCRIPTION OF ASSUMPTIONS |
| Total Expenses Net Income | $\begin{array}{r} 24,934,922 \\ 1,236,448 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 24,934,922 \\ 1,236,448 \end{array}$ | - | $(24,934,922)$ <br> $1,236,448$ | $(24,934,922)$ $1,236,448$ |  |
|  | Total Year |  | Variance | VARIANCE |  |  |
|  | Original <br> Budget | Revised Budget |  | Original Budget vs. PY Budget | Revised Budget vs. PY Budget |  |
| CASH FLOW ADJUSTMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES \{enter descriptions below\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Example - Add Back Depreciation | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 | - | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 |  |
| Other | $(1,500,000)$ | $(1,500,000)$ | - | $(1,500,000)$ | $(1,500,000)$ |  |
| Total Operating Activities | $(250,000)$ | $(250,000)$ | - | $(250,000)$ | $(250,000)$ |  |
| INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES \{enter descriptions below\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Example - Subtract Property and Equipment Expenditures | (30,807,750 | (30,807,750 | - | (30,807,75 | (30,807,75 |  |
| Other | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total Investment Activities | (30,807,750) | $(30,807,750)$ | - | (30,807,750) | $(30,807,750)$ |  |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES \{enter descriptions below\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Example - Add Expected Proceeds from a Loan or Line of Credit | 32,978,567 | 32,978,567 | - | 32,978,567 | 32,978,567 |  |
| Other | - | - | - | - - | - |  |
| Total Financing Activities | 32,978,567 | 32,978,567 | - | 32,978,567 | 32,978,567 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cash Flow Adjustments | 1,920,817 | 1,920,817 | - | 1,920,817 | 1,920,817 |  |
| NET INCOME | 3,157,265 | 3,157,265 | - | 3,157,265 | 3,157,265 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Cash Balance | 1,510,948 | 1,510,948 | - | - | - |  |
| ENDING CASH BALANCE | 4,668,213 | 4,668,213 | - | 3,157,265 | 3,157,265 |  |

## ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE

ALANCE SHEET
2018-19

Please enter balance sheet data for the Ed Corp Academy Charter School, The (Combined) only on thistemplate.
The balance sheet should include data for allcharterschools operated by the Ed Corp.

## ASSETS

## CURRENT ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents
Grants and contracts receivable
Accounts receivables
Prepaid Expenses
Contributions and other receivables
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS
PROPERTY, BUILDING AND EQUIPMENT, net

## OTHER ASSETS

TOTAL ASSETS

## LIABILITIES AND NET ASSETS

## CURRENT LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued expenses
Accrued payroll and benefits
Deferred Revenue
Current maturities of long-term debt
Short Term Debt - Bonds, Notes Payable
Other
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES

LONG-TERM DEBT and NOTES PAYABLE, net current maturities

TOTAL LIABILITIES
NET ASSETS
Unrestricted
Temporarily restricted

| Prior Year Q1 Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-18 |  | As of 9/30 | As of 12/31 | As of 3/31 | | As of 6/30 |
| :---: |


 $-$








|  |  |  |  |  | ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOC |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018-19 |  |
| Total Revenue | - 6,411,219 |  |  | - 6,586,717 |  |  | - |
| Total Expenses |  | 5,083,626 | - |  | 5,984,272 | - | - |
| Net Income |  | 1,327,593 | - | - | 602,445 | - | - |
| Actual Student Enrollment | - | 1,259 | - | - | 1,259 | - | - |
|  | 1st Quarter - 7/1-9/30 |  |  | 2nd Quarter - 10/1-12/31 |  |  | 3rd C |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed | Actual | Current <br> Budget | Variance | Actual | Current <br> Budget | Variance | Actual |
| SCHOOL OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Board Expenses |  | 6,000 | - |  | 6,000 | - |  |
| Classroom / Teaching Supplies \& Materials |  | 34,750 | - |  | 34,750 | - |  |
| Special Ed Supplies \& Materials |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Textbooks / Workbooks |  | 52,500 | - |  | 52,500 | - |  |
| Supplies \& Materials other |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Equipment / Furniture |  | 67,500 | - |  | 67,500 | - |  |
| Telephone |  | 12,250 | - |  | 12,250 | - |  |
| Technology |  | 26,500 | - |  | 26,500 | - |  |
| Student Testing \& Assessment |  | 4,200 | - |  | 12,600 | - |  |
| Field Trips |  | 4,000 | - |  | 12,000 | - |  |
| Transportation (student) |  | 1,000 | - |  | 3,000 | - |  |
| Student Services - other |  | 11,000 | - |  | 33,000 | - |  |
| Office Expense |  | 31,500 | - |  | 31,500 | - |  |
| Staff Development |  | 91,250 | - |  | 91,250 | - |  |
| Staff Recruitment |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Student Recruitment / Marketing |  | 10,500 | - |  | 10,500 | - |  |
| School Meals / Lunch |  | 7,500 | - |  | 7,500 | - |  |
| Travel (Staff) |  | 5,000 | - |  | 5,000 | - |  |
| Fundraising |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Other |  | $\underline{28,750}$ | - |  | 28,750 | - |  |
| TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS | - | 394,200 | - | - | 434,600 | - | - |
| FACILITY OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance |  | 50,000 | - |  | 50,000 | - |  |
| Janitorial |  | 24,500 | - |  | 24,500 | - |  |
| Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest |  | 578,464 | - |  | 578,464 | - |  |
| Repairs \& Maintenance |  | 80,000 | - |  | 80,000 | - |  |
| Equipment / Furniture |  | 5,000 | - |  | 5,000 | - |  |
| Security |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Utilities |  | 65,000 | - |  | 65,000 | - |  |
| TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE | - | 802,964 | - | - | 802,964 | - | - |
| DEPRECIATION \& AMORTIZATION |  | 340,002 | - |  | 340,002 | - |  |
| RESERVES / CONTINGENCY |  | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| DEFERRED RENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOC <br> Budget / Operating Pla |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2018-19 |  |  |
| Total Revenue | - | 6,411,219 | - | - | 6,586,717 |  | - |
| Total Expenses |  | 5,083,626 | - | - | 5,984,272 | - | - |
| Net Income |  | 1,327,593 | - | - | 602,445 | - | - |
| Actual Student Enrollment | - | 1,259 | - | - | 1,259 | - | - |
|  | 1st Quarter -7/1-9/30 |  |  | 2nd Quarter - 10/1-12/31 |  |  | 3rdC |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Actual | Current Budget | Variance | Actual | Current Budget | Variance | Actual |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | = | 5,083,626 | = | = | 5,984,272 | - | = |
| NET INCOME | - | 1,327,593 | $=$ | - | 602,445 | - | - |



|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JL, THE } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenue |  | 6,586,717 | - | - | 6,586,717 | - |
| Total Expenses |  | 6,533,523 | - | - | 7,333,501 | - |
| Net Income |  | 53,194 | - | - | $(746,784)$ | - |
| Actual Student Enrollment |  | 1,259 | - | - | 1,259 | - |
|  |  | 2uarter-1/1-3/31 |  | 4th Quarter - 4/1-6/30 |  |  |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed |  | Current Budget | Variance | Actual | Current Budget | Variance |
| REVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REVENUES FROM STATE SOURCES | 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per Pupil Revenue | Per Pupil Rate |  |  |  |  |  |
| HEMPSTEAD UFSD | 19,452 | 4,435,056 | - |  | 4,435,056 | - |
| UNIONDALE UFSD | 21,461 | 702,848 | - |  | 702,848 | - |
| ROOSEVELT UFSD | 17,883 | 214,596 | - |  | 214,596 | - |
| NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE | 15,307 | 205,711 | - |  | 205,711 | - |
| WESTBURY UFSD | 20,226 | 85,961 | - |  | 85,961 | - |
| BALDWIN UFSD | 16,736 | 66,944 | - |  | 66,944 | - |
| AMITYVILLE UFSD | 19,104 | 66,864 | - |  | 66,864 | - |
| MALVERNE UFSD | 21,730 | 73,339 | - |  | 73,339 | - |
| FREEPORT UFSD | 17,151 | 45,326 | - |  | 45,326 | - |
| SEWANHAKA CENTRAL HS DISTRICT | 13,842 | 48,447 | - |  | 48,447 | - |
| LONG BEACH CITY SD | 23,654 | 23,908 | - |  | 23,908 | - |
| WYANDANCH UFSD | 18,039 | 20,655 | - |  | 20,655 | - |
| VALLEY STREAM 30 UFSD | 20,089 | 17,578 | - |  | 17,578 | - |
| WEST HEMPSTEAD UFSD | 17,850 | 15,619 | - |  | 15,619 | - |
| SOUTH COUNTRY CSD | 17,426 | 15,248 | - |  | 15,248 | - |
| ALL OTHER School Districts: ( Count = 5 ) | 19,040 | 50,217 | - |  | 50,217 | - |
| TOTAL Per Pupil Revenue (Weighted Average Per Pupil Funding) | 19,336 | 6,088,315 | - | - | 6,088,315 | - |
| Special Education Revenue |  | 25,142 | - |  | 25,142 | - |
| Grants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stimulus |  |  | - |  | - | - |
| DYCD (Department of Youth and Community Development) |  |  | - |  | - | - |
| Other |  | 84,105 | - |  | 84,105 | - |
| NYC DoE Rental Assistance |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Other |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| TOTAL REVENUE FROM STATE SOURCES |  | 6,197,562 | - | - | 6,197,562 | - |
| REVENUE FROM FEDERAL FUNDING |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IDEA Special Needs |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Title I |  | 83,940 | - |  | 83,940 | - |
| Title Funding - Other |  | 36,138 | - |  | 36,138 | - |
| School Food Service (Free Lunch) |  | 258,908 | - |  | 258,908 | - |
| Grants |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter School Program (CSP) Planning \& Implementation |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Page 43 of 64 |  | - | - |  | - | - |





|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { دL, THE } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Revenue | 6,586,717 | - | - | 6,586,717 | - |
| Total Expenses | 6,533,523 | - | - | 7,333,501 | - |
| Net Income | 53,194 | - | - | $(746,784)$ | - |
| Actual Student Enrollment | 1,259 | - | - | 1,259 | - |
|  | 2uarter-1/1-3/31 |  | 4th Quarter - 4/1-6/30 |  |  |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed | Current Budget | Variance | Actual | Current <br> Budget | Variance |
| SCHOOL OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Board Expenses | 6,000 | - |  | 6,000 | - |
| Classroom / Teaching Supplies \& Materials | 34,750 | - |  | 34,750 | - |
| Special Ed Supplies \& Materials | - | - |  | - | - |
| Textbooks / Workbooks | 52,500 | - |  | 52,500 | - |
| Supplies \& Materials other | - | - |  | - | - |
| Equipment / Furniture | 67,500 | - |  | 67,500 | - |
| Telephone | 12,250 | - |  | 12,250 | - |
| Technology | 26,500 | - |  | 26,500 | - |
| Student Testing \& Assessment | 12,600 | - |  | 12,600 | - |
| Field Trips | 12,000 | - |  | 12,000 | - |
| Transportation (student) | 3,000 | - |  | 3,000 | - |
| Student Services - other | 33,000 | - |  | 33,000 | - |
| Office Expense | 31,500 | - |  | 31,500 | - |
| Staff Development | 91,250 | - |  | 91,250 | - |
| Staff Recruitment | - | - |  | - | - |
| Student Recruitment / Marketing | 10,500 | - |  | 10,500 | - |
| School Meals / Lunch | 7,500 | - |  | 7,500 | - |
| Travel (Staff) | 5,000 | - |  | 5,000 | - |
| Fundraising | - | - |  | - | - |
| Other | 28,750 | - |  | 28,750 | - |
| TOTAL SCHOOL OPERATIONS | 434,600 | - | - | 434,600 | - |
| FACILITY OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insurance | 50,000 | - |  | 50,000 | - |
| Janitorial | 24,500 | - |  | 24,500 | - |
| Building and Land Rent / Lease / Facility Finance Interest | 578,464 | - |  | 578,464 | - |
| Repairs \& Maintenance | 80,000 | - |  | 80,000 | - |
| Equipment / Furniture | 5,000 | - |  | 5,000 | - |
| Security | - | - |  | - | - |
| Utilities | 65,000 | - |  | 65,000 | - |
| TOTAL FACILITY OPERATION \& MAINTENANCE | 802,964 | - | - | 802,964 | - |
| DEPRECIATION \& AMORTIZATION | 340,002 | - |  | 340,002 | - |
| RESERVES / CONTINGENCY | - | - |  | - | - |
| DEFERRED RENT |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { JL, THE } \\ & \text { n } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| )L, THE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | $\mathrm{n}^{\mathbf{6 , 5 8 6}, 717}$ | - | - | 6,586,717 | - |
| Total Expenses | 6,533,523 | - | - | 7,333,501 | - |
| Net Income | 53,194 | - | - | $(746,784)$ | - |
| Actual Student Enrollment | 1,259 | - | - | 1,259 | - |
|  | 2uarter-1/1- |  | 4th | arter - 4/1- |  |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed | 2uactofrent1 <br> Budget | 31 <br> Variance | Actual | Current <br> Budget | Variance |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 6,533,523 | = | = | 7,333,501 | = |
| NET INCOME | 53,194 | = | = | $(746,784)$ | - |




|  |  |  |  |  | ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE Budget / Operating Plan |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | - | - <br> - <br> - | - | 26,171,370 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline(26,171,370) \\ 24,934,922 \\ (1,236,448) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - $26,171,3$ <br> - $24,934,9$ <br> - $1,236,4$ |  |
| Total Expenses |  |  |  | 24,934,922 |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income |  |  |  | 1,236,448 |  |  |  |  |
| Actual Student Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  Current <br> Budget <br> (Current <br> Actual Quarter) |  | Actual vs. <br> Current <br> Budget | Current <br> Budget - TY | TOTALS <br> Actual vs. Current Budget TY | ND VARIANCE ANALYS  <br> Original Actual <br> Budget vs. <br> (Current Original <br> Quarter) Budget |  | Origin <br> Budget - |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | - | - | - | - | = | - | - |  |
| TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES | - | - | - | 1,343,340 | $(1,343,340)$ | - | - | 1,343,3 |
| LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions and Donations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Contributions and Donations Fundraising | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Erate Reimbursement | - | - | - | 22,823 | $(22,823)$ | - | - | 22,8 |
| Earnings on Investments | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Interest Income | - | - | - | 500 | (500) | - | - |  |
| Food Service (Income from meals) | - | - | - | 14,460 | $(14,460)$ | - | - | 14,4 |
| Text Book | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| OTHER | - | - | - | = | = | = |  | = |
| TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES | - | - | - | 37,783 | $(37,783)$ | 37, |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $120,111,510$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL REVENUE | - | - | $=$ | 26,171,370 |  | - | - | 26,171,3 |


|  |  |  |  |  | ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE Budget / Operating Plan |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue |  |  |  |  | 26,171,370 | $(26,171,370)$ | $\begin{array}{r}- \\ - \\ - \\ \hline\end{array}$ | - 26,171,3 |  |
| Total Expenses <br> Net Income <br> Actual Student Enrollment |  | - | - | - | 24,934,922 | 24,934,922 |  |  | 24,934,9 <br> 1,236, |
|  |  | - | - | - | 1,236,448 | $(1,236,448)$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  | . |  |
| TOTALS AND VARIANCE ANALYSIS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed |  |  Current <br>  Budget <br> (Current  <br> Actual Quarter) |  | Actual vs. | Current Budget - TY | Actual vs. Current Budget TY | Original <br> Budget <br> (Current <br> Quarter) | Actual vs. <br> Original <br> Budget | Origin Budget |
|  |  | Budget |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXPENSES <br> ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS <br> Executive Management Instructional Management <br> Deans, Directors \& Coordinators <br> CFO / Director of Finance <br> Operation / Business Manager <br> Administrative Staff <br> TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF | Quarter 0 <br> No. of Positions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | 183,801 | 183,801 | - | - | 183,8 |
|  | - | - | - | - | 847,690 | 847,690 | - | - | 847,6 |
|  | - | - | - | - | 969,266 | 969,266 | - | - | 969,2 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  | - | - | - | - | 642,720 | 642,720 | - | - | 642,7 |
|  | - | - | - | - | 809,832 | 809,832 | - | - | 809,8 |
|  | - | - | - | - | 3,453,309 | 3,453,309 | - | - | 3,453,3 |
| INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers - Regular | - | - | - | - | 4,849,135 | 4,849,135 | - | - | 4,849,1 |
| Teachers - SPED | - | - | - | - | 414,414 | 414,414 | - | - | 414,4 |
| Substitute Teachers | - | - | - | - | 50,000 | 50,000 | - | - | 50,0 |
| Teaching Assistants | - | - | - | - | 965,185 | 965,185 | - | - | 965,1 |
| Specialty Teachers | - | - | - | - | 1,046,848 | 1,046,848 | - | - | 1,046,8 |
| Aides | - | - | - | - | 66,834 | 66,834 | - | - | 66,8 |
| Therapists \& Counselors | - | - | - | - | 365,812 | 365,812 | - | - | 365,8 |
| Other | - | - | - | - | 690,000 | 690,000 | - | - | 690,0 |
| TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL | - | - | - | - | 8,448,227 | 8,448,227 | - | - | 8,448,2 |
| NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nurse | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Librarian | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Custodian | - | - | - | - | 630,395 | 630,395 | - | - | 630,3 |
| Security | - | - | - | - | 735,310 | 735,310 | - | - | 735,3 |
| Other | - | - | - | - | 329,616 | 329,616 | - | - | 329,6 |
| TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL | - | - | - | - | 1,695,321 | 1,695,321 | - | - | 1,695,3 |
| SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS | - | - | - | - | 13,596,857 | 13,596,857 | - | - | 13,596,8 |
| PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Payroll TaxesFringe / Employee Benefits |  | - | - | - | 1,155,733 | 1,155,733 | - | - | 1,155,7 |
|  |  | - | - | - | 2,120,747 | 2,120,747 | - | - | 2,120,7 |
| Retirement / Pension |  | - | - | - | 291,380 | 291,380 | - | - | 291,3 |
| TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS |  | - | - | - | 3,567,860 | 3,567,860 | - | - | 3,567,8 |
| TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS | - | - | - | - | 17,164,717 | 17,164,717 | - | - | 17,164,7 |


|  | ACADEMY CHARTER SCHOOL, THE Budget / Operating Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2018-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenue | - | - | - | 26,171,370 | (26,171,370) | - | . | $\begin{array}{r} 26,171,3 \\ 24,934,9 \\ 1,236,4 \end{array}$ |
| Total Expenses |  |  |  | 24,934,922 | 24,934,922 |  |  |  |
| Net Income |  |  |  | 1,236,448 | (1,236,448) |  |  |  |
| Actual Student Enrollment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTALS | dD VARIAN | ANALYSI |  |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed | Actual | Current Budget (Current Quarter) | Actual vs. Current Budget | Current Budget - TY | Actual vs. Current Budget TY | Original Budget (Current Quarter) | Actual vs. <br> Original <br> Budget | Origin Budget |
| CONTRACTED SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounting / Audit | - | - | - | 40,000 | 40,000 | - | - | 40,0 |
| Legal | - | - | - | 80,000 | 80,000 | - | - | 80,0 |
| Management Company Fee | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Nurse Services | - | - | - | 10,000 | 10,000 | - | - | 10,0 |
| Food Service / School Lunch | - | - | - | 501,341 | 501,341 | - | - | 501,3 |
| Payroll Services | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Special Ed Services | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Titlement Services (i.e. Title I) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting | - | - | - | 869,000 | 869,000 | - | $=$ | 869,0 |
| TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES | - | - | - | 1,500,341 | 1,500,341 | - | - | 1,500,3 |






| Total Revenue <br> Total Expenses <br> Net Income <br> Actual Student Enrollment | $\begin{gathered} (26,171,370) \\ 24,934,922 \\ (1,236,448) \end{gathered}$ | - <br> - <br> - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed | Actual vs. Original Budget TY | PY Actual (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY | Actual CY vs. <br> Actual PY |
| Other | - | - | - |
| TOTAL REVENUE FROM FEDERAL SOURCES | $(1,343,340)$ | - | - |
| LOCAL and OTHER REVENUE |  |  |  |
| Contributions and Donations | - | - | - |
| Fundraising | - | - | - |
| Erate Reimbursement | $(22,823)$ | - | - |
| Earnings on Investments | - | - | - |
| Interest Income | (500) | - | - |
| Food Service (Income from meals) | $(14,460)$ | - | - |
| Text Book | - | - | - |
| OTHER | - | - | - |
| TOTAL REVENUE FROM LOCAL and OTHER SOURCES | $(37,783)$ | - | - |
| TOTAL REVENUE | $1[20,111,010$ | $=$ | = |


| Total Revenue <br> Total Expenses <br> Net Income <br> Actual Student Enrollment | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline(26,171,370) \\ 24,934,922 \\ (1,236,448) \end{array}$ | - <br> - <br> - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed | Actual vs. Original Budget TY | PY Actual (PY TY <br> / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY | Actual CY vs. <br> Actual PY |

EXPENSES
ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF PERSONNEL COSTS
Executive Management
Instructional Management
Deans, Directors \& Coordinators
CFO / Director of Finance
Operation / Business Manager
Administrative Staff
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF
INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS
Teachers - Regular
Teachers - SPED
Substitute Teachers
Teaching Assistants
Specialty Teachers
Aides
Therapists \& Counselors
Other
TOTAL INSTRUCTIONAL
NON-INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL COSTS
Nurse
Librarian
Custodian
Security
Other
TOTAL NON-INSTRUCTIONAL
SUBTOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS
PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS
Payroll Taxes
Fringe / Employee Benefits
Retirement / Pension
TOTAL PAYROLL TAXES AND BENEFITS
TOTAL PERSONNEL SERVICE COSTS

Quarter 0
No. of Positions
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| - | - | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - | - | - |
| 630,395 | - | - |
| 735,310 | - | - |
| $\underline{329,616}$ | - | - |
| $1,695,321$ | - | - |
| $13,596,857$ | - | - |


-

| $1,155,733$ | - | - |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2,120,747$ | - | - |
| $\underline{291,380}$ | - | - |
| $3,567,860$ | - | - |
| $17,164,717$ | - | - |


| Total Revenue <br> Total Expenses <br> Net Income <br> Actual Student Enrollment | $\begin{gathered} \hline(26,171,370) \\ 24,934,922 \\ (1,236,448) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed | Actual vs. Original Budget TY | PY Actual (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY | Actual CY vs. <br> Actual PY |
| CONTRACTED SERVICES |  |  |  |
| Accounting / Audit | 40,000 | - | - |
| Legal | 80,000 | - | - |
| Management Company Fee | - | - | - |
| Nurse Services | 10,000 | - | - |
| Food Service / School Lunch | 501,341 | - | - |
| Payroll Services | - | - | - |
| Special Ed Services | - | - | - |
| Titlement Services (i.e. Title I) | - | - | - |
| Other Purchased / Professional / Consulting | 869,000 | - | - |
| TOTAL CONTRACTED SERVICES | 1,500,341 | - | - |



| Total Revenue <br> Total Expenses <br> Net Income <br> Actual Student Enrollment | $\begin{gathered} (26,171,370) \\ 24,934,922 \\ (1,236,448) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed | Actual vs. Original Budget TY | PY Actual (PY TY / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY | Actual CY vs. <br> Actual PY |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 24,934,922 | - | = |
| NET INCOME | $(1,236,448)$ | $=$ | - |


| Total Revenue <br> Total Expenses <br> Net Income <br> Actual Student Enrollment | $\begin{gathered} \hline(26,171,370) \\ 24,934,922 \\ (1,236,448) \end{gathered}$ | - <br> - <br> - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| *NOTE: Enrollment, Revenue and Expediture Data IN the 'Total and Variance Analysis' Section is Based on LAST ACTUAL Quarter Completed | Actual vs. Original Budget TY | PY Actual (PY TY <br> / No. of COMPLETED Actual CY | Actual CY vs. <br> Actual PY |

|ENROLLMENT - *School Districts Are Linked To Above Entries*
HEMPSTEAD UFSD
UNIONDALE UFSD
ROOSEVELT UFSD
NYC CHANCELLOR'S OFFICE
WESTBURY UFSD
BALDWIN UFSD
AMITYVILLE UFSD
MALVERNE UFSD
FREEPORT UFSD
SEWANHAKA CENTRAL HS DISTRICT
LONG BEACH CITY SD
WYANDANCH UFSD
VALLEY STREAM 30 UFSD
WEST HEMPSTEAD UFSD
SOUTH COUNTRY CSD
ALL OTHER School Districts: ( Count = 5 )

## TOTAL ENROLLMENT

REVENUE PER PUPIL
EXPENSES PER PUPIL


*NOTE: THIS TAB ONLY NEEDS TO BE COMPLETED FOR Q4

## Disclosure of Financial Interest by a Current or Proposed Charter School

 Education Corporation Trustee
## Trustee Name:



Name of Charter School Education Corporation (for an unmerged school, this is the Charter School Name):


1. List all positions held on the education corporation board (e.g., president, treasurer, parent representative). Board

[^27]2. Is the trustee an employee of any school operated by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the positions) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
3. Is the trustee an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the/charter schools) governed by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the positions) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
4. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house have held or engaged in with the charter schools) governed by the Education Corporation during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, write None. Please note that if you answered Yes to Questions 2-4 above, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

| Dates) | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Steps taken to avoid <br> a conflict of interest, <br> (e.g., did not vote, <br> did not participate in <br> discussion) | Name of person <br> holding interest or <br> engaging in <br> transaction and <br> relationship to <br> yourself |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


5. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the schools) governed by the Education Corporation and in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family members) or persons) living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the schools) that is/are doing business with the schools) through a management or services agreement, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization, and the relationship between such organization and the schools). If there was no financial interest, write None.


Please note that this document is considered a public record and as such, may be made available to members of the public upon request under the Freedom of Information Law. Personal contact information provided below will be redacted.

Business Telephone:


Business Address: $\square$
E-mail Address:


Home Telephone: $\qquad$
Home Address: 158 Hither $A_{\Omega}$, itempstead, NU 11550

## Disclosure of Financial Interest by a Current or Proposed Charter School Education Corporation Trustee

## Trustee Name:



Name of Charter School Education Corporation (for an unmerged school, this is the Charter School Name):
$\qquad$

1. List all positions held on the education corporation board (e.g., president, treasurer, parent representative).

VICE CHAIR MAN BOARS OF TRUSTEES
2. Is the trustee an employee of any school operated by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes $\triangle$ No

If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the positions) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
3. Is the trustee an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter schools) governed by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes $\square$ No

If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the positions) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
4. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house have held or engaged in with the charter schools) governed by the Education Corporation during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, write None. Please note that if you answered Yes to Questions 2-4 above, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

| Dates) | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Steps taken to avoid <br> a conflict of interest, <br> (e.g., did not vote, <br> did not participate in <br> discussion) | Name of person <br> holding interest or <br> engaging in <br> transaction and <br> relationship to <br> yourself |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


5. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the schools) governed by the Education Corporation and in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family members) or persons) living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the schools) that is/are doing business with the schools) through a management or services agreement, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization, and the relationship between such organization and the schools). If there was no financial interest, write None.


Please note that this document is considered a public record and as such, may be made available to members of the public upon request under the Freedom of Information Law. Personal contact information provided below will be redacted.
Business Telephone: $\quad 516-292-3685$
Business Address: 78 NONET FRANKLIN ST. IKMOTIEMD NY $1155^{\circ}$ E-mail Address: rtanyson ole asiceco
Home Telephone: 5l6-850-2702
Home Address: 220 POTVO~~ NEWVE L4NBROLCNYIL5. N

## SUNY <br> Charter Schools Institute <br> The State University of New York

FILING FORSCHOOL YEAR: $\qquad$
DATE RECEIVED: $\qquad$

DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST
BY A NOT-FOR-PROFIT CHARTER SCHOOL EDUCATION CORPORATION TRUSTEE

1. Name of education corporation: the Academy Charter School
2. Trustee's name (print): Donovan N. Henry
3. Position(s) on board, if any: (e.g., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.): The Presbytery of Long Island, Trustee; Memorial Presbyterian Church Board of Deacons, Former Treasurer
4. Home address: 2 Noel Lane, Jericho, NY 11753
5. Business Address: 170 Tulip Avenue, $2^{\text {nd }}$ FI, Floral Park, NY 11001
6. Daytime phone: 516-359-9313
7. E-mail: donnhenry@aol.com
8. is Trustee an employee of the education corporation? $\qquad$ Yes. $\qquad$ No. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary and your start date.
9. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the education corporation during the prior school year. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None.". Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

| Date(s) .. | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Steps Taken to Avoid a <br> Conflict of Interest, (e.g., <br> did not vote, did not <br> participate in discussion) | Identity of Person Holding <br> interest or Engaging in <br> Transaction (e.g., you and/or <br> immediate family member <br> (name)) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None |  |  |  |

Page 1 of 2
10. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the education corporation ond in which such entity, during the preceding school year (July 1-June 30), you and/or your immediate family member(s) had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the education corporation that is doing business with the education corporation through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the education corporation that is pursuant to such agreement; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the education corporation. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."

| Entity <br> Conducting <br> Business with <br> the Education <br> Corporation | Nature of <br> Business <br> Conducted | Approximate <br> Value of the <br> Business <br> Conducted | Name of Trustee and/or <br> Immediate Family Holding an <br> Interest in the Entity <br> Conducting Business with the <br> Education Corporation and <br> the Nature of the Interest | Steps Taken to <br> Avoid Conflict <br> of Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None |  |  |  |  |



Donovan N. Henry Signature

April 5, 2018
Date

FOR INSTITUTE USE ONLY
FILING FOR SCHOOL
YEAR: $\qquad$
DATE RECEIVED:

DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST BY A NOT-FOR-PROFIT CHARTER SCHOOL EDUCATION CORPORATION TRUSTEE

1. Name of education corporation: $\qquad$
2. Trustee's name (print): $\qquad$ A. MCKENZIE.
3. Position(s) on board, if any: (e.g., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.): $\qquad$
TRUSTEE
4. Home address: $\qquad$ BOX 1605 FREPORT,NU11520
5. Business Address:
6. Daytime phone:
$\qquad$
$\square$ $516-633-8314$.
7. E-mail: $\qquad$
8. Is Trustee an employee of the education corporation? $\qquad$ Yes. X No. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary and your start date.
9. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the education corporation during the prior school year. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.


Page 1 of 1
10. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the education corporation and in which such entity, during the preceding school year (July 1 -June 30 ), you and/or your immediate family member(s) had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the education corporation that is doing business with the education corporation through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the education corporation that is pursuant to such agreement; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the education corporation. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."


FOR INSTITUTE USE ONLY
FILING FOR SCHOOL YEAR:
Charter Schools Institute
The State University of New York $\qquad$

## DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST BY A NOT-FOR-PROFIT CHARTER SCHOOL EDUCATION CORPORATION TRUSTEE

1. Name of education corporation: $\qquad$ The Academy
2. Trustee's name (print): $\qquad$ Marie M Graham
3. Position(s) on board, if any: (e.g., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.): $\qquad$
4. Home address:__ 2000 Front Street. East Meadow, NY 1155
5. Business Address:__-New York, NY 10007
6. Daytime
phone:
516-782-1635
cell
7. E-mail: $\qquad$ atomfhs@hotmail.com
8. Is Trustee an employee of the education corporation? $\qquad$ Yes. X No. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary and your start date.

- 

9. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the education corporation during the prior school year. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8 , you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

|  |  | Steps Taken to Avoid a <br> Conflict of Interest, | Identity of Person Holding <br> Interest or Engaging in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date(s) | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | (e.g., did not vote, did <br> not participate in <br> discussion) | immediate family member (e.g., you and/ <br> (name)) |

Page 1 of 3

|  |  | $3^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

10. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the education corporation and in which such entity, during the preceding school year (July 1 - June 30), you and/or your immediate family member(s) had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the education corporation that is doing business with the education corporation through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the education corporation that is pursuant to such agreement; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the education corporation. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."

| Entity <br> Conducting <br> Business with <br> the Education <br> Corporation | Nature of <br> Business <br> Conducted | Approximate <br> Value of the <br> Business <br> Conducted | Name of Trustee and/or <br> Immediate Family <br> Holding an Interest in <br> the Entity Conducting <br> Business with the <br> Education Corporation <br> and the Nature of the <br> Interest | Steps Taken <br> to Avoid <br> Conflict of <br> Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

 Signature


Form Revised November 16, 2015
$\qquad$

## DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST BY A NOT-FOR-PROFIT CHARTER SCHOOL EDUCATION CORPORATiON TRUSTEE

1. Name of education corporation: The Academy Choler School

2 Trustee's name (print): $\qquad$ Dale Jame:

3 Position(5) on board, if any: (eeg., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.): $\qquad$ None
4. Home address: 15 West $139^{\text {th }}$ Street, Apt 14 N , NY, NY 10037
5. BusIness Address: 200 West Street, NY, NY, 10282
6. Daytime shone: $\qquad$
7. E-mal: $\qquad$ ciale.j.james @,gmail.com
8. Is Trustee an employee of the education corporation? $\qquad$ Yes. $\qquad$ No. If yo. checked yes. pase provide a description of the position you hold, your salary ard your start date.
9. Identify each interest/transaction \{and provide the requested information t that you or any af your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the education corporation during the prior school year. If there has ven nc such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employmientstatus, salary, etc.


Page 1 of 2
10. Identify each indizidual, دusiness, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committeen proprietorshia, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other arganization or group of people doing business with the educetlon corporation and in which such entity, durlng the preceding school year duly 1 - June 30), you and/or your immediate family member(s) rad a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, offiter or emplayee of an organization formally partnered with the education corporation that is doing business with the education corporation trough a management or services agreement, ycu need not list every transact on between such entity and the education corporation that is pursuant to such agreement; rather; please identify on $y$ the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the education corporztion. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."

| Entity <br> Conducting <br> Business with <br> the Education <br> Corporation | Nature of <br> Business <br> Conducted | Appraximate <br> Value of the <br> Business <br> Conducted | Nanie of Trustee and/or <br> Immediate Farnily Hnlding ant <br> Interest in the Entity <br> Conducting Business with the <br> Education Corporation and <br> the Nature of the Intarest | Steps Taken to <br> Avaid Confliet <br> of Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None |  |  |  |



Form Revised dovember 16, 2015

# Disclosure of Financial Interest by a Current or Proposed Charter School Education Corporation Trustee 

## Trustee Name:

Roderick Roberts

Name of Charter School Education Corporation (for an unmerged school, this is the Charter School Name):

The Academy

1. List all positions held on the education corporation board (e.g., president, treasurer, parent representative). Trustee
2. Is the trustee an employee of any school operated by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes $\checkmark$ No

If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the position(s) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
3. Is the trustee an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school(s) governed by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes $\_\checkmark$ No

If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the position(s) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
4. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house have held or engaged in with the charter school(s) governed by the Education Corporation during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, write None. Please note that if you answered Yes to Questions 2-4 above, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

| Date(s) | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Steps taken to avoid <br> a conflict of interest, <br> (e.g., did not vote, <br> did not participate in <br> discussion) | Name of person <br> holding interest or <br> engaging in <br> transaction and <br> relationship to <br> yourself |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Pleasenwrite "None"Nônepplicaqle. Do nNóne ave this sp Noßeblank. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

5. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the school(s) governed by the Education Corporation and in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member(s) or person(s) living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the school(s) that is/are doing business with the school(s) through a management or services agreement, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization, and the relationship between such organization and the school(s). If there was no financial interest, write None.

| Organization <br> conducting <br> business with <br> the school(s) | Nature of <br> business <br> conducted | Approximate <br> value of the <br> business <br> conducted | Name of Trustee and/or <br> immediate family member <br> of household holding an <br> interest in the organization <br> conducting business with <br> the school(s) and the <br> nature of the interest | Steps Taken <br> to Avoid <br> Conflict of <br> Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pleas\& write "Nope" if applicoble. Do not leave this space blank. |  |  |  |  |
| None | None | None | None |  |

Please note that this document is considered a public record and as such, may be made available to members of the public upon request under the Freedom of Information Law. Personal contact information provided below will be redacted.

Business Telephone: 718-654-4789
Business Address: 3360 Fish Ave, Bronx NY 10469
E-mail Address: ___ramahlife@aol.com
Home Telephone: ___ 914-874-3980
Home Address: 42 Frost Hill Rd, Pleasant Valley NY 12569

## Disclosure of Financial Interest by a Current or Proposed Charter School Education Corporation Trustee

Trustee Name:
Peter J. Goodman

Name of Charter School Education Corporation (for an unmerged school, this is the Charter School Name):

The Academy Charter School

1. List all positions held on the education corporation board (e.g., president, treasurer, parent representative).
2. Is the trustee an employee of any school operated by the Education Corporation? ___Yes $X \quad$ No

If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the position(s) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
3. Is the trustee an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter school(s) governed by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the position(s) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
4. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house have held or engaged in with the charter school(s) governed by the Education Corporation during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, write None. Please note that if you answered Yes to Questions 2-4 above, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

| Date(s) | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Steps taken to avoid <br> a conflict of interest, <br> (e.g., did not vote, <br> did not participate in <br> discussion) | Name of person <br> holding interest or <br> engaging in <br> transaction and <br> relationship to <br> yourself |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| $N O N E$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

5. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the schools) governed by the Education Corporation and in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family members) or persons) living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the schools) that is/are doing business with the schools) through a management or services agreement, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization, and the relationship between such organization and the schools). If there was no financial interest, write None.

| Organization <br> conducting <br> business with <br> the schools) | Nature of <br> business <br> conducted | Approximate <br> value of the <br> business <br> conducted | Name of Trustee and/or <br> immediate family member <br> of household holding an <br> interest in the organization <br> conducting business with <br> the schools) and the <br> nature of the interest | Steps Taken <br> to Avoid <br> Conflict of <br> Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\sim \sim E$ | $\sim \Delta N E$ | $\sim \sim \sim$ |  |  |
| $\sim \Delta v e$ |  |  |  |  |



Please note that this document is considered a public record and as such, may be made available to members of the public upon request under the Freedom of Information Law. Personal contact information provided below will be redacted.
Business Telephone: 516-747-1136
Business Address:
6901 Jericho Tpke; Suite 230; Syosset, NY 11791
E-mail Address: pgoodman@bfslawfirm.com
516-361-4875
Home Telephone: $\qquad$
Home Address:
5 Prospect Avenue; Sea Cliff, NY 11579

## Disclosure of Financial Interest by a Current or Proposed Charter School Education Corporation Trustee

## Trustee Name:



Name of Charter School Education Corporation (for an unmerged school, this is the Charter School Name):
THE ACADEMY CHARTERED SCHOOL

1. List all positions held on the education corporation board (e.g., president, treasurer, parent representative).
TRUSTEE
2. Is the trustee an employee of any school operated by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes $\quad X$ No

If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the positions) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
3. Is the trustee an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter schools) governed by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$
If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the positions) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
4. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house have held or engaged in with the charter schools) governed by the Education Corporation during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, write None. Please note that if you answered Yes to Questions 2-4 above, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

| Dates) | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Steps taken to avoid <br> a conflict of interest, <br> (e.g., did not vote, <br> did not participate in <br> discussion) | Name of person <br> holding interest or <br> engaging in <br> transaction and <br> relationship to <br> yourself |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Please write "None" if applicaple. Do not leave this space blank. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NoNE | NONE | NONE | NONE |

5. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the school(s) governed by the Education Corporation and in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family member(s) or person(s) living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the school(s) that is/are doing business with the school(s) through a management or services agreement, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization, and the relationship between such organization and the school(s). If there was no financial interest, write None.


Please note that this document is considered a public record and as such, may be made available to members of the public upon request under the Freedom of Information Law. Personal contact information provided below will be redacted.

Business Telephone: $\quad 718-725$.
Business Address: 158-29 Archer Ayenue. Jamaica, N14. 11433
E-mail Address: Srowley 755 e G mail-com
Home Telephone: $\qquad$
Home Address: $\qquad$

Charter Schools Institute
The State University of New York
FILING FOR SCHOOL
YEAR: $\qquad$ DATE RECEIVED: $\qquad$

## DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INTEREST

BY A NOT-FOR-PROFIT CHARTER SCHOOL EDUCATION CORPORATION TRUSTEE

1. Name of education corporation:

2. Trustee's name (print): Dr. Roger Ball
3. Positions) on board, if any: (e.g., chair, treasurer, committee chair, etc.): $\qquad$
4. Home address:
5. Business Address:

6. Daytime phone: $\qquad$ $19-6217$
7. E-mail: $\square$
8. Is Trustee an employee of the education corporation? $\qquad$ Yes. $\square$ No. If you checked yes, please provide a description of the position you hold, your salary and your start date.
9. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members have held or engaged in with the education corporation during the prior school year. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, please write "None." Please note that if you answered yes to Question 8, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

| Dates) | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction | Steps Taken to Avoid a <br> Conflict of Interest, (eeg., <br> did not vote, did not <br> participate in discussion) | Identity of Person Holding <br> Interest or Engaging in <br> Transaction (e.g., you and/or <br> immediate family member <br> (name)) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MA | $\sim A / A$ |  |  |

10. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the education corporation and in which such entity, during the preceding school year (July 1 - June 30 ), you and/or your immediate family member(s) had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the education corporation that is doing business with the education corporation through a management or services agreement, you need not list every transaction between such entity and the education corporation that is pursuant to such agreement; rather, please identify only the name of the entity, your position in the entity as well as the relationship between such entity and the education corporation. If there was no financial interest, please write "None."

| Entity <br> Conducting <br> Business with <br> the Education <br> Corporation | Nature of <br> Business <br> Conducted | Approximate <br> Value of the <br> Business <br> Conducted | Name of Trustee and/or <br> Immediate Family Holding an <br> Interest in the Entity <br> Conducting Business with the <br> Education Corporation and <br> the Nature of the Interest | Steps Taken to <br> Avoid Conflict <br> of Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |



Signature


Form Revised November 16, 2015

## Disclosure of Financial Interest by a Current or Proposed Charter School

## Trustee Name:



Name of Charter School Education Corporation (for an unmerged school, this is the Charter School Name):


1. List all positions held on the education corporation board (e.g., president, treasurer, parent representative).
```
None
```

2. Is the trustee as employee of any school operated by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes 1 No
If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the positions) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
3. Is the trustee an employee or agent of the management company or institutional partner of the charter schools) governed by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes $L$ No
If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the position is) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
4. Identify each interest/transaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house have held or engaged in with the charter schools) governed by the Education Corporation during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, write None. Please note that if you answered Yes :o Questions 2-4 above, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary. etc.

| Dates) | Nature of Financial <br> interest/Transaction | Steps taken to avoid <br> a conflict of interest, <br> (e.g., did not vote, <br> did not participate in <br> discussion) | Name of person <br> holding interest or <br> engaging in <br> transaction amd <br> relationship to <br> yourself |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


5. Identify each individual, business, corporation, union association, firm, partnership, committee proprietorship, franchise holding company, joint stock company, business or real estate trust, non-profit organization, or other organization or group of people doing business with the school (s) governed by the Education Corporation and in which such entity, during the time of your tenure as a trustee, you and/or your immediate family members) or persons) living in your house had a financial interest or other relationship. If you are a member, director, officer or employee of an organization formally partnered with the schools) that is/are doing business with the schools) through a management or services agreement, please identify only the name of the organization, your position in the organization, and the relationship between such organization and the schools). If there was no financial interest, write None.

| Organization <br> conducting <br> business with <br> the schools) | Nature of <br> business <br> conducted | Approximate <br> value of the <br> business <br> conducted | Name of Trustee and/ar <br> immediate family member <br> of household holding an <br> interest in the organization <br> conducting business with <br> the schools) and the: <br> nature of the interest: | Steps Taken <br> to Avoid <br> conflict of <br> Interest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Please note that this document is considered a public record and as such, may be manta available to members of the public upon request under the Freedom of Information Law. Personal contact information provided below will be redacted.
Business Telephone: $\qquad$
Business Address: $\qquad$ $90-25166^{\text {st }}$ Sheet, drift 50, Jon
doeothymbutoneact. com
E-mail Address: $\qquad$ 5164155020
Home Telephone:
Home Address: 417 Woodland Estates ©ikive, Bold vain NYIIsio

##  Education Corporation Trumped

## Trustee Manner

D4 wiN west

Name of Charter School tiducation Corporation for an unmerged seloobt, thane is the Charter thehoal Numen:


1. List all positions held on the education corporation bowed (eq, president, treasurer. parent representative). Trust e
2. Is the trustee git employee of any school operated by the Educibon Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes No

If Yes, for each school, ploce provide a description of the ponition(s) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
3. Is the trustee an employee or agent of the management company of insthutionat partner of the charter school(s) governed by the Education Corporation?
$\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

If Yes, for each school, please provide a description of the position(t) you hold, your responsibilities, your salary and your start date.
4. Identify each interest/ransaction (and provide the requested information) that you or any of your immediate family members or any persons who live with you in your house have held or engaged in with the charter schools) governed by the Education Corporation during the time you have served on the board, and in the six-month period prior to such service. If there has been no such financial interest or transaction, write None. Please note that If you answered Yes to Questions $2-4$ above, you need not disclose again your employment status, salary, etc.

| Date(s) | Nature of Financial <br> Interest/Transaction |
| :---: | :---: |
| None |  |

Steps taken to avoid a conflict of interest, (e.g., did not vote, did not participate in discussion)

Name of person holding interest or engaging in transaction and relationship to yourself

量. isentify wadh indivifuat, tominess, gorporation, union insociation, firm, parthershig. cemmathee proprietarahip. flanchlae holjing compwny. foint stock company, buminens or real tutaite trunt, nom-pondit organization, or other organtantion or group of paopia doing buniness with the whoolve) poverned by the Eduction Cesporation and in which wuch entily, doring the firne of pour temum in a trustee, you andlor yout (irmmediate lamly nwemberi(i) or percon(s) tiving in your houpen had a frisucial intarest कr other relationship. it you are ie member, dirfotior, offioer or employet of on organirntion formally partinered with the whool(s) that isliare doing businese with the nchool(s) through a management or servions mgreement, pleswe identhy anly the name of the organikation, your powition in the crganization, and the relatoonghip butwewn such organizalion and the school(i)) If there was no financial intertest, wite Nome.


Please note mot this document is considened a puble record and as such, may be made avalablo to mombers of the public upon requast undor the Fnedom of Information Law. Pursontal contact information provided below will be modacfed.
Business Telophone:
(718) $347-2309$

Business Address: $\qquad$
E-mail Address: $\qquad$
Homer Tepeotonene
Home Address: 226 Cedor st thmpstad N4 11550

Created: 07/17/2018 • Last updated: 07/28/2018

## 1. Current Board Member Information (Enter info for each BOT member)

|  | Trustee Name and Email Address | Position on the Board | Committ ee Affiliation S | Voting Member Per ByLaws (Y/N) | Number of Terms Served | Start <br> Date of <br> Current <br> Term <br> (MM/DD/Y <br> YYY) | End Date of Current Term (MM/DD/Y YYY) | Board <br> Meetings <br> Attended <br> During <br> 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Bishop Barringto n Goldson | Chair | Finance, Judicial, Grievanc e and Complian ce committe es | Yes | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 01 / 20 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 08 / 31 / 20 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 9 |
| 2 | Robert Stewart | Vice Chair | Technolo gy and Instructio n Committ ee | Yes | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 01 / 20 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 08 / 31 / 20 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 9 |
| 3 | Dawn <br> West- <br> Bloise | Trustee/M ember | Communi ty Relations Committ ee | Yes | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 01 / 20 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 08 / 31 / 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 8 |
| 4 | Stephen Rowley | Trustee/M ember | Finance/A cademic | Yes | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 01 / 20 \\ & 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 08 / 31 / 20 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | 8 |
| 5 | Peter J. <br> Goodman | Trustee/M ember | Legal/ Real Estate | Yes | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 01 / 20 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 08 / 31 / 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |
| 6 | Roderick Roberts | Trustee/M ember | Fundraisi ng and Communi ty Relations Committ ees | Yes | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 09 / 01 / 20 \\ & 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 08 / 31 / 20 \\ & 20 \end{aligned}$ | 7 |
| 7 | Stephani <br> e Gomez | Parent Rep |  | No | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 08 / 31 / 20 \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 08 / 31 / 20 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |


| 8 | Donovan <br> Henry | Trustee/M <br> ember | Yes | 1 | $06 / 01 / 20$ <br> 18 | $06 / 30 / 20$ <br> 23 | 5 or less |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9 | Beth <br> McKenzie | Trustee/M <br> ember |  | Yes | 1 | $06 / 01 / 20$ <br> 18 | $06 / 30 / 20$ <br> 23 |

## 1a. Are there more that $9 \quad$ Yes

 members of the Board ofTrustees?

## 1b. Current Board Member Information

|  | Trustee <br> Name <br> and <br> Email <br> Address | Position on the Board | Committ ee Affiliation S | Voting <br> Member <br> Per By- <br> Laws <br> (Y/N) | Number of Terms Served | Start <br> Date of Current Term (MM/DD/Y YYY) | End Date of Current Term (MM/DD/Y YYY) | Board <br> Meetings <br> Attended <br> During <br> 2017-18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Marie Graham | Trustee/M ember |  | Yes | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 01 / 20 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 30 / 20 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |
| 11 | Dale James | Trustee/M ember |  | Yes | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 01 / 20 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 30 / 20 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |
| 12 | Roger <br> Ball | Trustee/M ember |  | Yes | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 01 / 20 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 30 / 20 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |
| 13 | Dorothy Atkinson | Trustee/M ember |  | Yes | 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 01 / 20 \\ & 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 06 / 30 / 20 \\ & 23 \end{aligned}$ | 5 or less |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1c. Are there more that 15 No members of the Board of

## Trustees?

## 2. Total number of members on <br> 7

June 30, 2018

## 3. Total number of members

4. Total number of members ..... 3departing the Board during the2017-18 school year
5. Number of voting members in ..... 13
2017-18, as set by the by-laws,resolution or minutes
6. Number of Board meetings ..... 9
conducted during the 2017-18
School Year
7. Number of Board meetings ..... 12
scheduled for the coming 2018-
19 school year
Thank you.

# Entry 10 Enrollment and Retention of Special Populations 

## Last updated: 07/17/2018

Instructions for Reporting Enrollment and Retention Strategies
Describe the efforts the charter school has made in 2017-18 toward meeting targets to attract and retain enrollment of students with disabilities, English language learners, and students who are economically disadvantaged. In addition, describe the school's plans for meeting or making progress toward meeting its enrollment and retention targets in 2018-19.

## ACADEMY CS (SUNY TRUSTEES)Section Heading

## Recruitment/Attraction Efforts Toward Meeting Targets

|  | Describe Recruitment Efforts in 2017-18 | Describe Recruitment Plans in 2018-19) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Econom ically Disadva ntaged | The Academy will engage in a continuous advertisement including radio and newspapers, mail drops in various communities, distribution of flyers and scheduled information sessions. | The Academy will engage in a continuous advertisement including radio and newspapers, mail drops in various communities, distribution of flyers and scheduled information sessions. |
| English <br> Langua <br> ge <br> Learner <br> s | Advertising will be targeted towards immigrant communities from Latin America and conducted in Spanish. The school will provide a Stand Alone ESL program. All advertisement includes language of accepting ESL and ENL students. | Advertising will be targeted towards immigrant communities from Latin America and conducted in Spanish. The school will provide a Stand Alone ESL program. All advertisement includes language of accepting ESL and ENL students. |
| Student <br> s with <br> Disabilit <br> ies | The school will serve Students with Disabilities and provide programs and staffing to service students according to their IEPs. The school will conduct outreach to all the Committees on Special Education of the various school districts from which it enroll students. All advertisement includes language of accepting Students with Disabilities. | The school will serve Students with Disabilities and provide programs and staffing to service students according to their IEPs. The school will conduct outreach to all the Committees on Special Education of the various school districts from which it enroll students. All advertisement includes language of accepting Students with Disabilities. |

## Retention Efforts Toward Meeting Targets

## Describe Retention Efforts in 2017-18

The Academy has engaged in various advertisement strategies including radio and newspapers, mail drops in various communities, distribution of flyers and scheduled information sessions. The school does not have a problem recruiting poor students as demonstrated by the current free and reduced lunch population of $86.4 \%$ which is much high than the school district's.

English
Langua
ge
Learner S

Student s with Disabilit ies

The school has also increased the numbers of ESL teachers and oversight of the ESL program. All advertisement included language of accepting ESL and ENL students.

The school has hired a second full time Director of Special Education; this has increase the oversight as follows: K-8, and high school. We have increased communication with the various Committees on Special Education from the various districts, and improved the internal referral process. All advertisement includes language of accepting Students with Disabilities, and the creation of ICT classes and increased resource room teachers.

Describe Retention Plans in 2018-19)
The Academy has engaged in various advertisement strategies including radio and newspapers, mail drops in various communities, distribution of flyers and scheduled information sessions. The school does not have a problem recruiting poor students as demonstrated by the current free and reduced lunch population of $86.4 \%$ which is much high than the school district's.

The school has also increased the numbers of ESL teachers and oversight of the ESL program. All advertisement included language of accepting ESL and ENL students.

The school has hired a second full time Director of Special Education; this has increase the oversight as follows: K-8, and high school. We have increased communication with the various Committees on Special Education from the various districts, and improved the internal referral process. All advertisement includes language of accepting Students with Disabilities, and the creation of ICT classes and increased resource room teachers.

## Entry 11 Classroom Teacher and Administrator Attrition

Last updated: 07/17/2018

Report changes in teacher and administrator staffing.
Instructions for completing the Classroom Teacher and Administrator Attrition Tables Charter schools must complete the tables titled 2017-2018 Classroom Teacher and Administrator Attrition to report changes in teacher and administrator staffing during the 2017-2018 school year. Please provide the full time equivalent (FTE) of staff on June 30, 2017; the FTE for any departed staff from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018; the FTE for added staff from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018; and the FTE of staff added in newly created positions from July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 using the tables provided.

## 1. Classroom Teacher Attrition Table

|  | FTE Classroom Teachers on 6/30/17 | FTE Classroom Teachers Departed 7/1/17 - 6/30/18 | FTE Classroom Teachers Filling Vacant Positions 7/1/17-6/30/18 | FTE Classroom Teachers Added in New Positions 7/1/17-6/30/18 | FTE of Classroom Teachers on 6/3018 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 65 | 12 | 12 | 3 | 68 |
| 2. Administrator Position Attrition Table |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | FTE <br> Administrative <br> Positions on 6/30/17 | FTE <br> Administrators <br> Departed 7/1/17 $-6 / 30 / 18$ | FTE <br> Administrators <br> Filling Vacant <br> Positions 7/1/17 - 6/30/18 | FTE <br> Administrators <br> Added in New <br> Positions 7/1/17 <br> - 6/30/18 | FTE <br> Administrative <br> Positions on 6/30/18 |
|  | 11 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 16 |

## 3. Tell your school's story

Charter schools may provide additional information in this section of the Annual Report about their respective teacher and administrator attrition rates as some teacher or administrator departures do not reflect advancement or movement within the charter school networks. Schools may provide additional detail to reflect a teacher's advancement up the ladder to a leadership position within the network or an administrator's movement to lead a new network charter school.

TACS is in an expansion phase including the high school grades, one grade at a time per year; as a result additional teachers have to hired each year. The school has recently become an Educational Corporation and has been approved for an additional K-6 school which will open as a K-2 school with 175 students in September 2018. The school uses the SED teacher rating system (HEDI) to evaluate instructional staff; some (4) teachers rated Ineffective have been terminated or not invited back for the 2018-2019 school year. A few teachers (3) have been terminated for violation of school policies.
4. Charter schools must ensure that all prospective employees receive clearance through the NYSED Office of School Personnel Review and Accountability (OSPRA) prior to employment. After an employee has been cleared, schools are required to maintain proof of such clearance in the file of each employee. For the safety of all students, charter schools must take immediate steps to terminate the employment of individuals who have been denied clearance. Once the employees have been terminated, the school must terminate the request for clearance in the TEACH system.

Have all employees have been cleared through the NYSED TEACH system?

Yes
5. For perspective or current employees whose clearance has been denied, have you terminated their employment and removed them from the TEACH system?
(No response)

## Thank you

| SEPTEMBER 16SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | M | T | W | T | F | S |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DECEMBER 15SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | M | T | W | T | F | S |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 30 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| MARCH 21SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | M | T | W | T | F | S |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 5 |  | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 |
| 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| JUNE 18SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S | M | T | W | T | F | S |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 |
| 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |



\[

\]



| 4-Sep | First day of School |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sep 10-11 | Rosh Hashanah School Closed |
| 19-Sep | Yom Kippur School Closed |
| 26-Sep | Grades K - 2 Curriculum Night |
| 27-Sep | Grades 3-8 Curriculum Night |
| 8-Oct | Columbus Day - School Closed |
| 6-Nov | Election Day School Closed / PD |
| Nov 7-8 | Parent Teacher Conferences |
| 12-Nov | Veteran's Day School Closed |
| 21-Nov | 12noon Dismissal |
| Nov 22-23 | Thanksgiving Recess |
| Dec 24-Jan 1 | Holiday Recess |
| 21-Jan | MLK Day School Closed |
| Jan 22-25 | High School Regents |
| Feb 6-7 | Parent Teacher Conferences |
| Feb 18-22 | Winter Break School Closed |
| April 2-4 | Grades 3 - 8 NYS ELA Test |
| April 16-17 | Parent Teacher Conferences |
| April 18-26 | Springs Break School Closed |
| May 1-3 | Grades 3 - 8 NYS Math Test |
| May 22 - June 3 | Grades 4 \& 8 NYS Science Test |
| May 24-27 | Memorial Day School Closed |
| 26-Jun | ast Day of School -12noon Dismissal |
|  | Inclement Weather |
| 24-May | 1st Snow Day (Otherwise Closed) |
| 18-Apr | 2nd Snow Day (Otherwise Closed) |
| 2pm - Early Dismissal |  |
|  | Total Student Days =183 |

## The Academy Charter School

## 2017-18 ACCOUNTABILITY <br> PLAN PROGRESS REPORT

Submitted to the SUNY Charter Schools Institute on:
September 17, 2018
By: Wayne Haughton
117 North Franklin Street
Hempstead, NY 11550

Wayne Haughton, Executive Director prepared this 2017-18 Accountability Progress Report on behalf of the school's board of trustees:

| Trustee's Name | Board Position <br> Bishop Barrington Goldson <br> Robert Stewart |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dice Chair; Technology and Instruction |  |
| Compliance Committee |  |$|$| Dawn West-Bloise | Trustee; Community Relations Committee |
| :---: | :---: |
| Steven Rowley | Trustee; Finance and Academic Committees |
| Peter J. Goodman | Trustee; Fundraising and Commmuntee |
| Relations Committee |  |

Wayne Haughton has served as the Executive Director since 2012.

The Academy Charter School ("The Academy") opened in the fall of 2009 with 165 students in grades K-2. Located in Hempstead, NY, a school district with approximately $70 \%$ free and reduced price lunch students, The Academy continues to successfully serve a student population with a large percentage of English language learners, special education students, and economically disadvantaged students. To further the mission, The Academy expanded to serve students in grades K-10 in the 201718 school year.

The Academy improves student academic achievement by focusing on the development of three important areas of children's growth: mastering core academic subjects, promoting character development, and fostering a lifelong behavior of giving back to the community. The Academy strives to have its children learn, lead, and serve.

The Mission of The Academy:
The Academy Charter School ("The Academy") will offer an exceptional interdisciplinary curriculum in a technology rich environment that challenges students to explore connections across subjects and use experiential learning to bridge the gaps between theory and practice. In addition to core subjects, our students will benefit from high expectations in physical education, health, and the arts. Our focus on character development and community service will cultivate a student body poised to be active, engaged and responsible members of the community. We will employ a committed staff whose teaching and high academic and behavioral expectations will promote the excellence we know our community's children can achieve. Our students will graduate from The Academy with the content mastery and life skills needed to move into the top five percent of their middle and high school classes.

School Enrollment by Grade Level and School Year

| School <br> Year | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2013-14$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $2014-15$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $2015-16$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $2016-17$ | 117 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $2017-18$ | 110 | 119 | N/A | N/A | 229 |

## HIGH SCHOOL COHORTS

## ACCOUNTABILITY COHORT

The state's Accountability Cohort consists specifically of students who are in their fourth year of high school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. For example, the 2014 state Accountability Cohort consists of students who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade anywhere in the 2014-15 school year, were enrolled in the school on the state's annual enrollment-determination day (i.e., BEDS day) in the 2017-18 school year, and
either remained in the school for the rest of the year or left for an acceptable reason. (See New York State Education Department's SIRS Manual for more details about cohort eligibility: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/ht)
The following table indicates the number of students in the Accountability Cohorts who are in their fourth year of high school anywhere and were enrolled at the school on BEDS Day in October and remained in the school until June $30^{\text {th }}$ of that year.

| Fourth <br> Year <br> Cohort | Year Entered <br> $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> Anywhere | Cohort <br> Designation | Number of Students <br> Enrolled on BEDS Day in <br> October of the Cohort's <br> Fourth Year | Number <br> Leaving <br> During the <br> School Year | Number in <br> Accountability <br> Cohort as of <br> June 30th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2015-16$ | $2012-13$ | 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $2016-17$ | $2013-14$ | 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| $2017-18$ | $2014-15$ | 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## TOTAL COHORT FOR GRADUATION

Students are also included in the Total Cohort for Graduation (referred to as the Graduation Cohort, Total Graduation Cohort, or Total Cohort interchangeably throughout this report) based on the year they first enter the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. Students enrolled for at least one day in the school after entering the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade are part of the school's Graduation Cohort. The school may remove students from the Graduation Cohort if the school has discharged those students for an acceptable reason listed in the SIRS manual, including the following: if they transfer to another public or private diploma-granting program with documentation, transfer to home schooling by a parent or guardian, transfer to another district or school, transfer by court order, leave the U.S., or are deceased.

Fourth Year Total Cohort for Graduation

| Fourth Year Cohort | Year <br> Entered $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Anywhere | Cohort Designation | Number of Students Enrolled on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's Fourth Year <br> (a) | Number of Students No Longer at the School Who Had Been Enrolled for at Least One Day Prior to Leaving the School and Who Were Not Discharged for an Acceptable Reason <br> (b) | Total Graduation Cohort (a) + (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 | 2012-13 | 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016-17 | 2013-14 | 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2017-18 | 2014-15 | 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## Fifth Year Total Cohort for Graduation

| Fifth Year Cohort | Year <br> Entered $9^{\text {th }}$ Grade Anywhere | Cohort Designation | Number of Students Enrolled on June $30^{\text {th }}$ of the Cohort's Fifth Year <br> (a) | Number of Students No Longer at the School Who Had Been Enrolled for at Least One Day Prior to Leaving the School and Who Were Not Discharged for an Acceptable Reason <br> (b) | Total Graduation Cohort (a) + (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-16 | 2011-12 | 2011 | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| $2016-17$ | $2012-13$ | 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | $2013-14$ | 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

## GOAL 1: HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION

All students at the school will graduate from high school.

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 needed for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) each year.

## METHOD

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of the high school cohort and examines students' progress toward graduation based on annual credit accumulation. The measure requires that, based on the school's promotion requirements, 75 percent of the first and second year high school Total Graduation Cohorts will earn the required number of credits.

Promotion decisions for scholars in grades 9-12 are based on credit accumulation, successful completion of coursework, and passing of Regents exams.

Our promotion in doubt process provides families with formal notifications (promotion in doubt letters) that their child is at risk of not meeting promotion standards and being retained in the same grade for the upcoming school year. This notification will occur at the conclusion of the first semester (midyear) and will be provided along with the second quarter report cards.
Throughout the course of the school year, several parent-teacher conferences are held in which parents are able to discuss student progress with their child's teacher. Report cards are also distributed at the conclusion of each academic quarter. Additionally, school counselors monitor the academic progress of the students within their assigned cohorts and meet with both, students and parents, to discuss the supports necessary to ensure successful completion of the school year.

The school principal, in consultation with counselors, makes promotion decisions for all students in mid-June. Promotion decisions are communicated to scholars and families by the end of the school year. High school scholars who do not meet promotion requirements in June have the right to attend summer school and be promoted in August if promotion standards are met at that time.
The following are the grade-by-grade promotion standards for the Academy Charter High School and reflect the minimum criteria for promotion from the scholar's current grade to the next grade.

| Grade | Units of <br> Credit | Passed Regents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 5 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 11 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 17 | 4 |


| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 22 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. For these two graduation cohorts the Academy achieved this measure.

## Percent of Students in First and Second Year Cohorts <br> Earning the Required Number of Credits in 2017-18

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> promoted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 110 | $96.4 \%$ |
| 2017 | 119 | $75.6 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. For these two graduation cohorts the Academy achieved this measure.

## Goal 1: Leading Indicator

Each year, 75 percent of students in the second year high school Total Graduation Cohort will score at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation.

## METHOD

This measure serves as a leading indicator of the performance of high school cohorts and examines their progress towards graduation based on Regents exam passage. The measure requires that 75 percent of students in each Graduation Cohort have passed at least three Regents exams by their second year in the cohort. In August of 2018, the 2016 cohort will have completed its second year.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The school met its goal of having over $75 \%$ of students in the 2016 and 2017 cohort earn at least five credits each year.
57.3 percent of The Academy's students in the 2016 cohort scored at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation. This cohort didn't not meet the goal of 75 percent of students in the second year of high school scoring at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation.

Percent of Students in their Second Year Passing Three Regents Exams by Cohort

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Passing Three <br> Regents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 | 110 | $57.3 \%$ |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

After every Regents administration period, the individual trajectories of every student are reviewed. In addition, the item analysis of each examination is reviewed as a component of evaluation of the instructional program. These reviews have resulted in the percentage of students in this cohort scoring at or above proficient on at least three different New York State Regents exams required for graduation increased over eight percentage points from the 2016-17 school year to the 2017-18 school year. It should also be noted that the instructional changes implemented have resulted in the 2017 Cohort having $65.5 \%$ of it's students passing 3 or more Regents after only one year.

## Goal 1: Absolute Measures

Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school Total Graduation Cohort and 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total Graduation Cohort will graduate.

## METHOD

This measure examines students in two high school Graduation Cohorts: those who entered the $9^{\text {th }}$ grade as members of the 2014 cohort and graduated four years later and those who entered as members of the 2013 cohort and graduated five years later. These data reflect August graduation rates. At a minimum, these students have passed five Regents exams required for high school graduation in ELA, mathematics, science, U.S. History, and Global History or met the requirements for the $4+1$ pathway to graduation. ${ }^{1}$
The school's graduation requirements appear in this document below the graduation goal's first measure pertaining to annual grade-by-grade promotion or credit accumulation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who have Graduated After Four Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

Percent of Students in Total Graduation Cohort Who Have Graduated After Five Years

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Graduating |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |

[^28]
## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## Goal 1: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the graduation rate of students completing their fourth year in the charter school's Total Graduation Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. ${ }^{2}$ Given that students may take Regents exams through the summer of their fourth year, district results for the current year are generally not available at this time.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

| Percent of Students in the Total Graduation Cohort who <br> Graduate in Four Years Compared to the District |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\qquad$Cohort Charter School  School District  <br> Designa <br> tion Number in <br> Cohort Percent <br> Graduating Number in <br> Cohort Percent <br> Graduating <br> 2012 N/A N/A N/A N/A <br> 2013 N/A N/A N/A N/A <br> 2014 N/A N/A N/A N/A |  |  |  |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## Goal 1: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort pursuing an alternative graduation pathway (commonly referred to as the $4+1$ pathway) will achieve a Regents equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment required for graduation by the end of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The New York State Board of Regents approved regulations establishing alternative pathways to graduation for all students. Students may replace one of the required Social Studies Regents exams with an approved alternative assessment. For more information about requirements and approved assessments refer to the NYSED resource online: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiple-pathways/.

[^29]The school will document the names of the alternative assessments administered and success rate for students in the templates bellow.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

Percentage of the 2014 Graduation Cohort Pathway Students Demonstrating Success by Exam Type

| Exam | Number of <br> Graduation Cohort <br> Members Tested <br> (a) | Number Passing or <br> Achieving Regents <br> Equivalency <br> (b) | Percentage Passing <br> $=[(\mathrm{b}) /(\mathrm{a})] * 100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Overall | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| Pathway Exam Passing Rate |
| :--- |
| $\qquad$by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort |
| Cohort Designation Number in <br> Cohort <br> Percent Passing a Pathway <br> Exam  <br> 2012 N/A N/A |
| 2013 |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATION GOAL

The Academy met one of two leading indicator goals. Because the school only served $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade in the 2017-18 school year, the absolute and comparative measures in this section do not apply.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Leading | Each year, 75 percent of students in first and second year high school <br> Total Graduation Cohorts will earn at least ten credits (if 44 needed <br> for graduation) or five credits (if 22 needed for graduation) each <br> year. | Met |
| Lndicator <br> Indicator | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Graduation <br> Cohort will score at least 65 on at least three different New York <br> State Regents exams required for graduation by the completion of <br> their second year in the cohort. | Not Met |


| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the fourth year high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will graduate. | N/A |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 95 percent of students in the fifth year high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort will graduate. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total <br> Graduation Cohort graduating after the completion of their fourth <br> year will exceed that of the Total Graduation Cohort from the school <br> district of comparison. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Total Cohort <br> pursuing an alternative graduation pathway will achieve a Regents <br> equivalency score and pass an approved pathway assessment <br> required for graduation by the end of their fourth year. | N/A |

## ACTION PLAN

To meet its goal of 75 percent of students in their second year scoring proficient on at least three different NYS Regents exams required for graduation, the school provides students the opportunity to complete three regents exams by the end of their $9^{\text {th }}$ grade year (one in math, one in science, and one in social studies). Students will have the additional opportunity to complete these exams during their August and January administration following their $9^{\text {th }}$ grade year. At the conclusion of the $10^{\text {th }}$ grade year, students will have the opportunity to complete two additional regents examinations (one in math and one in science) for a total of 5 regents examinations offered by the conclusion of their second year.

The teachers work with instructional leadership to align course goals with student achievement data collected during previous administration of regents examinations. Students requiring academic supports, based on regents examination data, qualify and receive academic intervention services. Additional learning time and academic intervention services is provided in-school, after school, on Saturdays, and during the Summer months.

The school has also departmentalized instruction and made provisions for instructional coaching to include content leads and two Assistant Principals. Assistant Principals have been given specific content areas of instructional oversight and work with content leads to support student achievement through instructional practice.

To ensure on-time graduation for scholars, the school provides curriculum and support for students to complete Regents examination requirements by the conclusion of their $11^{\text {th }}$ grade year. For students requiring additional assistance in meeting the graduation requirements on time, the school provides additional learning time and academic intervention services in-school, after school, on Saturdays, and through credit recovery programming. To ensure students have multiple pathways to graduate on time, the school will also be providing students with Career and Technical Education (CTE) coursework.

Further, the school's counselors monitor student progress toward graduation regularly. They work with school principal, assistant principals, students and families to identify the supports needed to assist students in graduating on time.

## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

## GOAL 2: COLLEGE PREPARATION

All students will be prepared to attend college

Goal 2: Absolute Measure
Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate their preparation for college by at least one or some combination of the following indicators:

- Passing an Advanced Placement ("AP") exam with a score of 3 or higher;
- Earning a score of 4 or higher on an International Baccalaureate ("IB") exam;
- Passing a College Level Examination Program ("CLEP") exam;
- Passing a college level course offered at a college or university or through a school partnership with a college or university;
- Achieving the college and career readiness benchmark on the SAT; or,

Earning a Regents diploma with advanced designation; or,

## METHOD

Schools use any method listed here, or any combination thereof, to demonstrate that at least 75 percent of graduates are prepared to engage in rigorous college level coursework. The school should select only those methods listed here that it uses to demonstrate the college readiness of its students and eliminate those that it will not. For instance, high schools that do not deliver an IB Program as part of their high school design do not report on the IB option. The school reports on the number of students who attempted to achieve each indicator, the number who succeeded, and the corresponding percentage. Additionally, the school should report on the overall number of students who graduated after four years, the number of those graduates who achieved any of the relevant measures, and the overall percentage achieving the measure.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students graduating in the 2017-18 school year.
Percentage of the 2014 Total Cohort Graduates Demonstrating College Preparation by Indicator

| Indicator | Number of Graduates <br> who Attempted the <br> Indicator | Number who Achieved <br> Indicator | Percentage of Graduates <br> who Achieved Indicator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Overall | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

Goal 2: Absolute Measure
Each year, the College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index ("CCCRI") for the school's Total Cohort will exceed the Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

## METHOD

The state's recently finalized ESSA plan includes a College, Career, and Civic Readiness Index that measures the rate of completion among the Total Cohort of a variety of indicators of readiness for the next step after high school. Indicators that are more rigorous and that are therefore more difficult to attain receive greater weight in the new CCCRI (e.g., attaining a Regents diploma and a score of 4 or higher on an IB exam). Conversely, some less rigorous indicators that were not included in the College and Career Readiness Index under the state's NCLB accountability system are included in the CCCRI (e.g., completion of a high school equivalency program). ${ }^{3}$

To achieve this measure, the school must have a CCCRI value that equals or exceeds the 2017-18 CCCRI MIP for all students. The state will calculate and disseminate the MIP in the summer of 2018. The CCCRI is calculated by multiplying the number of students in the cohort demonstrating college and career readiness by the weighting for the method by which the student demonstrated college and career readiness, divided by the number of students in the cohort. The highest possible CCCRI is 200.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

CCCRI Performance by Cohort Year

| Graduation Year | Cohort | Number of <br> Students in <br> Cohort | MIP | School CCCRI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2015-16$ | 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A |

[^30]| $2016-17$ | 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2017-18$ | 2014 | N/A | TBD | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## Goal 2: Comparative Measure

Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will exceed that of the district of comparison's Total Cohort.

## METHOD

The school compares the CCCRI of students from the fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## CCRI of Fourth-Year Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School | School District |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## Goal 2: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate into a college or university in the year after graduation.

## METHOD

The ultimate measure of whether a college prep high school has lived up to its mission is whether students actually enroll and succeed in college. Schools track and report the percentage of fourth-year Total Cohort graduates who matriculate into a two or four-year college program in the fall following graduation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

| Matriculation Rate of Graduates by Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort | Number of <br> Graduates | Number Enrolled <br> in 2 or 4-year <br> Program in Fall <br> (b) | Matriculation <br> Rate |  |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | $=[(\mathrm{b}) /(\mathrm{a})] * 100$ |  |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |

## SUMMARY OF THE COLLEGE PREPARATION GOAL

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

| Type | Measure (Accountability Plan from 2012-13 or later) | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will demonstrate <br> their prearation for college by one or more possible indicators <br> of college readiness. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, the CCCRI for the school's Total Cohort will <br> exceed that year's state MIP set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the school's CCCRI for the Total Cohort will <br> exceed that of the district's Total Cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, 75 percent of graduating students will matriculate <br> into a college or university in the year after graduation. | N/A |

## ACTION PLAN

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

## GOAL 3: ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

## Goal 3: English Language Arts <br> All students at the school will become proficient in the reading and writing of the English language

## BACKGROUND

Teachers at every grade level plan and implement learning experiences aligned with the State Standards. Teachers utilized the Holt McDougal Literature curricula, and other standards-based supplementary texts from the EngageNY modules.

Students in grade 9 receive 90 minutes of instruction daily while students in grades 10-11 receive 45 minutes. At-risk students also received forty-five minutes of pullout instructions in small groups two times per week. The school utilizes the assessment data provided by the, NWEA MAP diagnostic and progress monitoring assessment, unit assessments and school-designed interim assessments to measure students' progress and inform instructional planning.

## HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS

Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered a Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100 . The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core). ${ }^{4}$ This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

> Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 on Regents English Common Core Exam
> by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{5}$

| Cohort |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designation | Number <br> in <br> Cohort | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 4 on Common Core <br> exam (or Percent Scoring at <br> Least 75 if student took the <br> Regents Comprehensive <br> English Exam) |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

This measurement does not apply to The Academy because the school does not serve students in these cohorts.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2015-16$ |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | N/A | N/A |

[^31]
## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered a Regents English exam that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100 . The State Education Department currently defines the cut off for passing and meeting the requirement for graduation as scoring at or above Performance Level 3 (partially meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core). This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 3 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on Regents English Common Core Exam
by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{6}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in <br> Cohort | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 on the Regents <br> English Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

| Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort <br> Designation | $2015-16$ |  | $2016-17$ |  | $2017-18$ |  |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | N/A | N/A |

## Goal 3: Absolute Measure

[^32]Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents English exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

## METHOD

The state's finalized and approved ESSA plan in 2018 includes a revised calculation of the high school Performance Index. In it, schools now receive additional credit for students scoring at Accountability Level $4 .^{7}$ To achieve this measure, all tested students in the Accountability Cohort must have a PI that equals or exceeds the state's 2017-18 English language arts MIP for all students. The state plans to calculate and disseminate the MIP in summer 2018.

The Performance Index is calculated as such: (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 2) $+2 *($ percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 3) $+2.5 *$ (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 4). Thus, the highest possible PI is 250. The basis for the percent of students is the school's fourth year Total Cohort for Graduation. The Regents Examination in English Language Arts (Common Core) is scored on a scale from 0 to 100; 0 to 64 is Accountability Level 1,65 to 78 is Accountability Level 2; 79 to 84 is Accountability Level 3, and 85 to 100 is Accountability Level 4.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

| English Language Arts Performance Index (PI) <br> For the 2014 High School Accountability Cohort |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number in | Percent of Students at Each Accountability Level |  |  |  |  |
| Cohort | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |  |
|  | [?] | [?] | [?] | [?] |  |
| PI |  | [?] | [?] | [?] | [?] |
|  |  | [?] | [?] | [?] |
|  |  |  | (.5)*[?] | [?] |
|  |  |  | PI | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

[^33]
## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective Total Cohort of students in the school district of comparison. In order to meet or exceed Common Core expectations, a student must achieve Performance Level 4 or 5. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Percent Achieving Performance Level 4 or Higher on English Regents of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Level 4 or 5 | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 or <br> 5 | Number <br> in Cohort |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective Total Cohort of students in the school district of comparison. In order to at least partially meet Common Core expectations, a student would need to pass the exam and score at Performance Level 3 or higher (i.e. scoring at least 65).Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent Achieving Performance Level 3 or Higher on English Regents of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number <br> in Cohort |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") in Regents English of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Accountability Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

English Regents Performance Index (PI) ${ }^{8}$
of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PI | Cohort <br> Size | PI | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

[^34]
## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on Common Core exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{9}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 4 <br> on Common Core exam (or <br> Scoring at Least 75 on the <br> Regents Comprehensive <br> English Exam) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English language arts exam will at least partially meet

[^35]Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its English language arts program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to meet the English requirement for graduation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on Common Core exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{10}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 3 <br> on Regents English Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 3: Optional Measure

[Include additional measures that are part of the Accountability Plan.]

METHOD:
RESULTS AND EVALUATION:
ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE:
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## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS GOAL ${ }^{11}$

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts <br> (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts <br> (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) on the Regents English exam of <br> students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet <br> the state Measure of Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA <br> accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English <br> Language Arts (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable <br> students from the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting <br> Common Core expectations on the Regents Exam in English Language Arts <br> (Common Core) will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the <br> district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents English of students in the <br> fourth year of their high school Accountability Cobort will exceed that of <br> comparable students from the school district of comparison. (Using 2016-17 <br> school district results.) | N/A |
|  | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8th grade English <br> language arts exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations <br> (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on the Regents Exam in <br> English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth <br> year in the cohort. | N/A |
| Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8t grade English <br> language arts exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations <br> (currently scoring at least Performance Level 3 on the Regents Exam in <br> English Language Arts (Common Core)) by the completion of their fourth <br> year in the cohort. | N/A |  |

## ACTION PLAN

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

[^37]
## GOAL 4: MATHEMATICS


#### Abstract

Goal 4: Mathematics All students at the school will demonstrate competency in the understanding and application of mathematics computation and problem solving.


## BACKGROUND

The Mathematics program at The Academy Charter School is based on the premise that students come to school with a wide range of abilities. Through a developmentally appropriate and rigorous approach in Mathematics, students develop their ability to communicate ideas, feelings, and facts effectively while honing their skills in Mathematics. The Academy Charter School uses the Amsco series, and supplementary materials from the EngageNY modules in meeting the New York State Next Generation Learning Standards. Students complete multiple learning experiences in which they are able to utilize their classroom technology, and manipulatives for practice. Students in Algebra 1 receive 90 minutes of instructional daily while students in other mathematics courses receive 45 minutes of instruction daily. At-risk students also received forty-five minutes of pullout instructions in small groups at least two times per week. The school utilizes the assessment data provided by the NWEA MAP diagnostic and progress monitoring assessment, unit assessments and school-designed interim assessments to measure students' progress and inform instructional planning.

## HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the college and career readiness standard as scoring at or above Performance Level 4 (meeting Common Core expectations) on any Regents Common Core mathematics exams. ${ }^{12}$ This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 4 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.
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## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Percent Scoring at Least Level 4 on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam

by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{13}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in <br> Cohort | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. While, this measurement is not applicable to the school for this Accountability Report, the school is monitoring this indicator for the 2016 and 2017 Cohorts and increasing the rigor where required to have more students meet this benchmark.

Percent Achieving at Least Level 4 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2015-16$ |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | N/A | N/A | 110 | $5.5 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | 119 | $7.6 \%$ |

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school administered the Regents mathematics exam(s) that students must pass to graduate. The school scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100. The State Education Department currently defines the cut off for passing and meeting the requirement for graduation as scoring at or above Performance Level 3 (partially meeting Common Core expectations) on the Regents mathematics exams. This measure examines the percent of the Accountability Cohort that achieved at least Performance Level 3 by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.
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## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. It should be noted, however, that the Academy's 2016 and 2017 Cohorts have already met this measure. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Percent Scoring at Least Level 3 on a Regents Mathematics Common Core Exam <br> by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{14}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in <br> Cohort | Percent Scoring at Least <br> Level 3 on a Regents <br> Mathematics Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

As shown in the chart below, the school's 2016 and 2017 cohorts both had over 80 percent if students partially meeting Common Core expectations, scoring at Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam, by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

| Percent Achieving at Least Level 3 by Cohort and Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cohort Designation | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | 115 | 73.0\% | 110 | 80.9\% |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | 119 | 80.7\% |

## Goal 4: Absolute Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") on the Regents mathematics exam of students completing their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state's Measure of Interim Progress ("MIP") set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system.

## METHOD

The state's finalized and approved ESSA plan in 2018 includes a revised calculation of the high school Performance Index. In it, schools now receive additional credit for students scoring at Accountability Level $4 .{ }^{15}$ To achieve this measure, all tested students in the Accountability Cohort must have a PI that equals or exceeds the state's 2017-18 mathematics MIP for all students. The state plans to calculate and disseminate the MIP in summer 2018.

[^40] www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/documents/2017RegentsScoreRangesforAnnualandAccountabilityReporting.pdf

The Performance Index is calculated as such: (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 2) $+2 *($ percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 3) $+2.5 *$ (percent of students scoring at Accountability Level 4). Thus, the highest possible PI is 250. The basis for the percent of students is the school's fourth year Total Cohort for Graduation. Regents Common Core mathematics exams are scored on a scale from 0 to 100; 0 to 64 is Accountability Level 1, 65 to 79 is Accountability Level 2 ( 65 to 77 for Algebra II); 80 to 84 is Accountability Level 3 ( 78 to 84 for Algebra II), and 85 to 100 is Accountability Level 4.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Mathematics Performance Index (PI) <br> For the 2014 High School Accountability Cohort

| Number in <br> Cohort | Percent of Students at Each Accountability Level |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 |
|  | $[?]$ | $[?]$ | $[?]$ | $[?]$ |



## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district meeting or exceeding Common Core expectations.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective Total Cohort of students in the school district of comparison. In order to meet or exceed Common Core expectations, a student must achieve Performance Level 4 or 5. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.
of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Level 4 or 5 | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 4 or <br> 5 | Number <br> in Cohort |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort at least partially meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exams will exceed the percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting Common Core expectations.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Total Cohort to that of the respective Total Cohort of students in the school district of comparison. In order to at least partially meet Common Core expectations, a student would need to pass the exam and score at Performance Level 3 or higher (i.e. scoring at least 65).Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Percent Achieving Performance Level 3 or Higher on a Mathematics Regents of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Level 3 or <br> Higher | Number <br> in Cohort |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 4: Comparative Measure

Each year, the Performance Index ("PI") in Regents mathematics of students in the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of comparable students from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school Accountability Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available school district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Mathematics Regents Performance Index (PI) ${ }^{16}$
of Fourth-Year Accountability Cohorts by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PI | Cohort <br> Size | PI | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will meet the college and career readiness standard (currently scoring at Performance Level 4 and fully meeting Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

[^41]
## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to grow to meeting the mathematics requirement for the college and career readiness standard.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 4 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{17}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 4 <br> on Common Core Exam |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 4: Growth Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort who did not score proficient on their New York State $8^{\text {th }}$ grade mathematics exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

The school demonstrates the effectiveness of its mathematics program by enabling students who were not meeting proficiency standards in the eighth grade to move to meeting the English requirement for graduation.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year

## Percent Achieving at Least Performance Level 3 on a Mathematics Regents Exam among Students Who Were Not Proficient in the $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{18}$

[^42]| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent Achieving Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10 th grade students. While this measurement is not applicable to the school, it should be noted that the school is monitoring students for whom we have grade 8 scores. The chart below displays their current status:

| Cohort | \# of <br> Student in <br> Cohort | \# of Grade 8 <br> Math Scores <br> Available | \# of Students <br> Scoring Level 1 <br> or 8 in Grade 8 | \# of Students Scoring <br> Level 3 or Above on <br> Math Regents | \% of Students Scoring <br> Level 3 or Above on <br> Math Regents |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | 110 | 30 | 15 | 13 | 86.7 |
| 2017 | 119 | 83 | 55 | 42 | 76.1 |

this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year

## Goal 4: Optional Measure

[Include additional measures that are part of the Accountability Plan.]

## METHOD:

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION:

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE:

## SUMMARY OF THE HIGH SCHOOL MATHEMATICS GOALS ${ }^{19}$

The Academy met the only absolute goal for which it could be measure as a result of the school's current grade configuration.

| Type | Measure | Outcome |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, 65 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
| Absolute | Each year, 80 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or | Met |

[^43]|  | above Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Absolute | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in mathematics of students completing <br> their fourth year in the Accountability Cohort will meet the state Measure of <br> Interim Progress (MIP) set forth in the state's ESSA accountability system. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will <br> exceed the percentage of comparable students from the district meeting or <br> exceeding Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the percentage of students in the Total Cohort partially meeting <br> Common Core expectations on a Regents mathematics exam will exceed the <br> percentage of comparable students in the district at least partially meeting <br> Common Core expectations. | N/A |
| Comparative | Each year, the Performance Index (PI) in Regents mathematics of students in <br> the fourth year of their high school Accountability Cohort will exceed that of <br> comparable students from the school district of comparison. (Using 2016-17 <br> school district results.) | N/A |
|  | Each year, 50 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8th grade mathematics <br> exam will meet or exceed Common Core expectations (currently scoring at or <br> above Performance Level 4 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
|  | Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort <br> who did not score proficient on their New York State 8th grade mathematics <br> exam will at least partially meet Common Core expectations (currently <br> scoring at least Performance Level 3 on a Regents mathematics exam) by the <br> completion of their fourth year in the cohort. | N/A |
| Growth |  |  |

## ACTION PLAN

The Academy has departmentalized instruction. Each assistant principal has been given specific areas of instructional oversight. Content leads have been assigned for each area and work closely with the assistant principals to change and improve academic programming.

Additionally, the Academy has increased the opportunities for teacher collaboration and coteaching to implement the math program. Academic intervention services are being provided three times per week through the specific design and implementation of additional math courses through the collaborative effort of math teachers and school leadership.

## GOAL 5: SCIENCE

## Goal 5: Science <br> All students at the school will demonstrate competency in the understanding and application of scientific reasoning

## BACKGROUND

The Science program at The Academy Charter School utilizes Biology by Miller and Levine, for Living Environment, Chemistry by Pearson for Chemistry, Earth Science: The Physical Setting by Perfection Learning for Earth Science, and Marine Science by Pearson for Marine Biology to implement instruction. Students receive six (6) forty-five minute periods of instruction per week; one period is dedicated to lab activity and five (5) periods for theory. Unit assessments and school-designed interim assessments are used to measure students' progress and inform instructional planning.

## HIGH SCHOOL SCIENCE

## Goal 5: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on a New York State Regents science exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

New York State schools administer multiple high school science assessments; current Regent exams are Living Environment, Earth Science, Chemistry and Physics. The school administered Living Environment and Chemistry. It scores Regents on a scale from 0 to 100 ; students must score at least 65 to pass. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass any one of the Regents science exams by their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken a particular Regents science exam multiple times or have taken multiple science exams. Students have until the summer of their fourth year to pass a science exam.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.
Science Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65

by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{20}$ \begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}

\hline | Cohort |
| :---: |
| Designation | \& | Number in |
| :---: |
| Cohort | \& | Percent |
| :---: |
| Passing with |
| a score of 65 | <br>

\hline 2012 \& N/A \& N/A <br>
\hline 2013 \& N/A \& N/A <br>
\hline 2014 \& N/A \& N/A <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

As shown in the chart below, The Academy's 2017 student cohort is already meeting this goal and the 2016 student cohort is less than ten percentage points below the goal of 75 percent proficiency.

## Science Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

[^44]| Cohort <br> Designation | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | N/A | N/A | 110 | $65.5 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | 119 | $76.5 \%$ |

## Goal 5: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing a Regents science exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available district results.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Science Regents Passing Rate <br> of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## GOAL 6: SOCIAL STUDIES

## Goal 6: Social Studies

All students at the school will demonstrate competency in the understanding and application of social studies.

The Social Studies program at The Academy Charter School utilizes United States History by Pearson for United States History and World History by Pearson for Global History 1 and Global History 2 to implement instruction. Students receive forty-five minutes of daily instruction in Social Studies. Unit assessments and school-designed interim assessments are used to measure students' progress and inform instructional planning.

## Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents U.S. History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

New York State administers two high school social studies assessments: U.S. History and Global History. In order to graduate, students must pass both of these Regents exams with a score of 65 or higher. This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the two exams by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exams multiple times and have until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

## RESULTS

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

| U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 |
| :--- |
| $\qquad$by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{21}$ |
| Cohort <br> Designation |
| Number in <br> Cohort |
| Percent <br> Passing with <br> a score of 65 |
| 2011 | N/A $_{\text {N/A }}^{\text {N/A }}$| 2012 | N/A |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | N/A |

## EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9 th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

As shown in the chart below, The Academy's 2017 student cohort is already meeting this goal and the 2016 student cohort is 12.3 percentage points below the goal of 75 percent proficiency.
U.S. History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2015-16$ |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |

[^45]| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  | N/A | N/A | 110 | $62.7 \%$ |
| 2017 |  |  |  |  | 119 | $80.7 \%$ |

## Goal 6: Comparative Measure

Each year, the percent to students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents U.S. History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, school presents the most recently available district results.

## RESULTS

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.
U.S. History Passing Rate
of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size | Percent <br> Passing | Cohort <br> Size |
| 2011 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Goal 6: Absolute Measure

Each year, 75 percent of students in the high school Accountability Cohort will score at least 65 on the New York State Regents Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort.

## METHOD

This measure requires students in each Accountability Cohort to pass the Global History exam by the completion of their fourth year in the cohort. Students may have taken the exam multiple times, and had until the summer of their fourth year to pass it. Once students pass it, performance on subsequent administrations of the same exam do not affect their status as passing.

## RESULTS

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## Global History Regents Passing Rate with a Score of 65 <br> by Fourth Year Accountability Cohort ${ }^{22}$

| Cohort <br> Designation | Number in <br> Cohort | Percent <br> Passing with <br> a score of 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A |

## EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Global History Regents Passing Rate with a score of 65 by Cohort and Year

| Cohort <br> Designation | $2014-15$ |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2014 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2015 |  |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2016 |  |  |  |  | N/A | N/A |

## Goal 6: Comparative Measure

[^46]Each year, the percent of students in the high school Total Cohort passing the Regents Global History exam with a score of 65 or above will exceed that of the high school Total Cohort from the school district of comparison.

## METHOD

The school compares the performance of students in their fourth year in the charter school high school Total Cohort to that of the respective cohort of students in the school district of comparison. Given that students may take Regents exam up through the summer of their fourth year, the school presents most recently available district results.

## RESULTS

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

Global History Passing Rate
of the High School Total Cohort by Charter School and School District

| Cohort | Charter School |  | School District |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort | Percent <br> Passing | Number <br> in Cohort |
| 2011 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2012 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2013 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## EVALUATION

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

In the 2017-18 school year, the Academy was in its second year of offering high school classes and only served 9th and 10th grade students. Thus, this measurement is not applicable to the school. The school will expand to serve 9th through 12th grade by the 2019-20 school year.

## GOAL 7: ESSA

## Goal 7: ESSA

The Academy will be in good standing pursuant to the state's ESSA accountability system.

## Goal 7: Absolute Measure

Under the state's ESSA accountability system, the school is in good standing: the state has not identified the school for comprehensive or targeted improvement.

## METHOD

Because all students are expected to meet the state's performance standards, the federal statute stipulates that various sub-populations and demographic categories of students among all tested students must meet the state standard in and of themselves aside from the overall school results. As New York State, like all states, is required to establish a specific system for making these determinations for its public schools, charter schools do not have latitude in establishing their own performance levels or criteria of success for meeting the ESSA accountability requirements. Each year, the state issues School Report Cards that indicate a school's status under the state accountability system.

## RESULTS AND EVALUATION

The school met its goal of good standing for the 2017-18 school year.

## ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE

The Academy has been in good standing in each of the last three school years.

Accountability Status by Year

| Year | Status |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2015-16$ | Good standing |
| $2016-17$ | Good standing |
| $2017-18$ | Good standing |
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[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The state's guidance for the 4+1 graduation pathway can be found here: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiple-pathways/.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ Schools can retrieve district level graduation rates from the SED's Information and Reporting Services office. News releases and an Excel workbook containing these data are available from the IRS Data Release webpage.

[^4]:    ${ }^{3}$ For more detail about the weighting of college readiness methods for calculation of the CCCRI, see page 64 of the state's finalized ESSA plan here: www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/essa/documents/nys-essa-plan-final-1-16-2018.pdf

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ Students exempted from this exam according to their Individualized Education Program (IEP), because of English Language Learners (ELL) status, or absence for at least some part of the exam.

[^6]:    ${ }^{5}$ Schools can acquire these data when the New York State Education Department releases its database containing grade level ELA and math test results for all schools and districts statewide. The NYSED announces the release of the data on its News Release webpage.

[^7]:    ${ }^{6}$ See Guidelines for Creating a SUNY Accountability Plan for an explanation.
    ${ }^{7}$ Schools can acquire these data from the NYSED's Business Portal: portal.nysed.gov.

[^8]:    ${ }^{8}$ Students in the 2014 and 2015 high school Accountability Cohorts may have taken the Regents Comprehensive English exam. As such, for 2017-18 and 2018-19, the Institute will continue to count any student who achieved at least a scale score of 75 (the previous target for college and career readiness) on that exam as having met the target for this measure.
    ${ }^{9}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^9]:    ${ }^{10}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^10]:    ${ }^{11}$ For more details on the score ranges used to determine Accountability Levels as distinguished from Performance Levels, see
    www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/documents/2017RegentsScoreRangesforAnnualandAccountabilityReporting. pdf

[^11]:    ${ }^{12}$ For an explanation of the procedure to calculate the school's PI, see page 28.

[^12]:    ${ }^{13}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam
    ${ }^{14}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^13]:    ${ }^{15}$ If the school includes a middle school component, add these measures to the subject area goal for the younger grades.

[^14]:    ${ }^{16}$ Students exempted from this exam according to their Individualized Education Program (IEP), because of English Language Learners (ELL) status, or absence for at least some part of the exam.

[^15]:    ${ }^{17}$ Schools can acquire these data when the New York State Education Department releases its database containing grade level ELA and math test results for all schools and districts statewide. The NYSED announces the release of the data on its News Release webpage.

[^16]:    ${ }^{18}$ See Guidelines for Creating a SUNY Accountability Plan for an explanation.
    ${ }^{19}$ Schools can acquire these data from the NYSED's business portal: portal.nysed.gov.

[^17]:    ${ }^{20}$ Students in the 2014 and 2015 high school Accountability Cohorts may have taken the non-Common Core mathematics exams. As such, for 2017-18 and 2018-19, the Institute will continue to count any student who achieved at least a scale score of 80 (the previous target for college and career readiness) on that exam as having met the target for this measure.

[^18]:    ${ }^{21}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a mathematics Regents exam

[^19]:    ${ }^{22}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a mathematics Regents exam
    ${ }^{23}$ For more details on the score ranges used to determine Accountability Levels as distinguished from Performance Levels, see www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/documents/2017RegentsScoreRangesforAnnualandAccountabilityReporting. pdf

[^20]:    ${ }^{24}$ For an explanation of the procedure to calculate the school's PI, see page 46.

[^21]:    ${ }^{25}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^22]:    ${ }^{26}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the mathematics Regents exam
    ${ }^{27}$ If the school includes a middle school component, add these measures to the subject area goal for the younger grades.

[^23]:    ${ }^{28}$ This table uses the prior year's results as 2017-18 district science scores are not yet available.

[^24]:    ${ }^{29}$ Based on the highest score for each student on any science Regents exam

[^25]:    ${ }^{30}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a science Regents exam

[^26]:    ${ }^{31}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a science Regents exam

[^27]:    Chairman

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ The state's guidance for the $4+1$ graduation pathway can be found here: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/ciai/multiple-pathways/.

[^29]:    ${ }^{2}$ Schools can retrieve district level graduation rates from the SED's Information and Reporting Services office. News releases and an Excel workbook containing these data are available from the IRS Data Release webpage.

[^30]:    ${ }^{3}$ For more detail about the weighting of college readiness methods for calculation of the CCCRI, see page 64 of the state's finalized ESSA plan here: www.p12.nysed.gov/accountability/essa/documents/nys-essa-plan-final-1-162018.pdf

[^31]:    ${ }^{4}$ Students in the 2014 and 2015 high school Accountability Cohorts may have taken the Regents Comprehensive English exam. As such, for 2017-18 and 2018-19, the Institute will continue to count any student who achieved at least a scale score of 75 (the previous target for college and career readiness) on that exam as having met the target for this measure.
    ${ }^{5}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^32]:    ${ }^{6}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^33]:    ${ }^{7}$ For more details on the score ranges used to determine Accountability Levels as distinguished from Performance Levels, see
    www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/sirs/documents/2017RegentsScoreRangesforAnnualandAccountabilityReporting.pdf

[^34]:    ${ }^{8}$ For an explanation of the procedure to calculate the school's PI, see page 20.

[^35]:    ${ }^{9}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^36]:    ${ }^{10}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam

[^37]:    ${ }^{11}$ If the school includes a middle school component, add these measures to the subject area goal for the younger grades.

[^38]:    12 Students in the 2014 and 2015 high school Accountability Cohorts may have taken the non-Common Core mathematics exams. As such, for 2017-18 and 2018-19, the Institute will continue to count any student who achieved at least a scale score of 80 (the previous target for college and career readiness) on that exam as having met the target for this measure.

[^39]:    ${ }^{13}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a mathematics Regents exam

[^40]:    ${ }^{14}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a mathematics Regents exam
    ${ }^{15}$ For more details on the score ranges used to determine Accountability Levels as distinguished from Performance Levels, see

[^41]:    ${ }^{16}$ For an explanation of the procedure to calculate the school's PI, see page 29.

[^42]:    ${ }^{17}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the English Regents exam
    ${ }^{18}$ Based on the highest score for each student on the mathematics Regents exam

[^43]:    ${ }^{19}$ If the school includes a middle school component, add these measures to the subject area goal for the younger grades.

[^44]:    ${ }^{20}$ Based on the highest score for each student on any science Regents exam

[^45]:    ${ }^{21}$ Based on the highest score for each student on a science Regents exam

[^46]:    22 Based on the highest score for each student on a science Regents exam

